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SUMMARY

Aspiration is the foundation on which the advancement of a country depends. Adolescence is a critical time for forming aspirations for the future, especially with regard to career aspirations (Child Development, 2004). A number of factors such as parental encouragement, peer acceptability, socio-economic status etc. may influence the formation of aspirations (Bardick, A.D. andBernes, K.B., 2005). Parental encouragement is significantly related to adolescents' educational aspirations (Zhou, Y. and Glick, J., 2005). Peer environment was the most important indirect effect on career aspiration (Xin Ma and Jianjun Wang, 2001). Socio-economic resources play significant role in explaining educational aspirations of adolescents (Zhou, Y. and Glick, J., 2005).

INTRODUCTION:- Adolescence is a stage, which includes mental, emotional and social as well as physical development. During this period they are faced with numerous problems like plans for the future including education and choice of vocation. The standard that a person expects to reach in a particular performance, is generally referred to by psychologists as level of aspiration.

Parents are the first contact that the adolescent has since childhood. Evidences from empirical literature also lend support for the dominance of parents in shaping adolescent's career aspirations. They need a certain level of parental encouragement to fulfill their educational and vocational aspirations because they may feel motivated for higher academic qualifications. They want to be aware of the point of view of their parents regarding their future vocational aspirations. Parents send clear messages
regarding the value of education and their beliefs about their children’s academic potential.

The adolescents have a qualitatively different form of relationship with their peer group. During this period peer group becomes more important than their family. They want to be accepted by their peer group for a better adjustment in their school. Studies have shown that peer group was an important factor in determining educational and vocational aspirations. Most of the ideas, thoughts, beliefs etc. of the adolescents tend to be modified according to their peer group.

Socio- economic status of the family actually determines the level and quality of education that can be provided. The extent to which the adolescents can be educated as well as the kind of courses, which a family can afford to provide for the adolescents, depends on the socio- economic status. He becomes aware of the economic constraints of his family regarding the expenses related to various professional and non professional courses. With the result the adolescent may modify his educational and vocational aspirations according to his socio- economic status.

During the high school years, students make significant career decisions regarding their educational and career plans (Gushue, G.V. and Whitson, M.L., 2006). Last year in high school is an important period in adolescents’ career decision-making process (Germeijs, V. and Verschueren, 2006).

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The present study is concerned with the influence of parental encouragement, peer acceptability and socio- economic status on the
development of educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents (12 – 15 years).

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1. To assess the influence of parental encouragement on the development of educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents.
2. To assess the influence of peer group social acceptability on the development of educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents.
3. To assess the influence of SES on the development of educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents.
4. To assess the influence of interactive effects of parental encouragement, peer group social acceptability and SES on the development of educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents.

HYPOTHESES

1. Parental encouragement influences the development of educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents.
2. High socio-economic status adolescents have higher educational and vocational aspirations than low socio-economic status.
3. Peer group social acceptability influences the development of educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents.
4. Parental encouragement, peer group social acceptability and SES have an interactive influence on the development of educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents.

PROCEDURE:- The investigator has taken a sample of 400 adolescents of the age group 12-15 years, their parents and peer group from schools of Aligarh City. The type of sampling method used in this study is cluster and incidental sampling. TOOLS:- The tools used in the study were:
Parental Encouragement Scale (PES), Test for Social Acceptability Among Peers (TSAAP), Socio-Economic Status Scale, Educational Aspiration and Occupational Aspiration made by R.R.Sharma, S.L.Chopra, R.L.Bharadwaj, Sharma and Gupta and Grewal respectively. 

STATISTICAL TECHNIQUES:- The statistical technique to be used in this study is descriptive statistics such as: (i) mean, Standard Deviation and Correlation. (ii) Analysis of variance using factorial design.

FINDINGS:- The main findings of the study were:

PARENTAL ENCOURAGEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS

(i) The mean score of girls (66.675) on parental encouragement was higher than that of boys (66.495). But this difference was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. 
(ii) The mean score of girls (24.355) on educational aspirations was higher than that of boys (23.845). But this difference was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. 
(iii) Parental encouragement received by the students was positively correlated to their educational aspirations but was not significant. 
(iv) Parental encouragement received by the girls was also positively correlated to their educational aspirations but was not significant. 
(v) Parental encouragement received by the boys was also positively correlated to their educational aspirations but was not significant. 
(vi) Parental encouragement received by the students was also positively correlated to their socio-economic status and was significant. 
(vii) Parental encouragement received by the girls was also positively correlated to their socio-economic status but was not significant. 
(viii) Parental encouragement received by the boys was also positively correlated to their socio-economic status and was significant. 
(ix) Parental encouragement received by the students was also positively correlated to
their peer acceptability but was not significant. (x) Parental encouragement received by the girls was also positively correlated to their peer acceptability but was not significant. (xi) Parental encouragement received by the boys was also positively correlated to their peer acceptability but was not significant.

PARENTAL ENCOURAGEMENT AND VOCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS
(xii) The mean score of boys (54.290) on vocational aspiration was higher than that of girls (51.895). This difference was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. (xiii) Parental encouragement received by the students was positively correlated to their vocational aspirations and it was significant. (xiv) Parental encouragement received by the girls was also positively correlated to their vocational aspirations and it was also significant. (xv) Parental encouragement received by the boys was also positively correlated to their vocational aspirations but was not significant.

PEER GROUP ACCEPTABILITY AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS
(xvi) The mean score of girls (11.125) on peer group acceptability was higher than that of boys (11.025). But this difference was also not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. (xvii) Peer group acceptability of the students was positively correlated to their educational aspirations but was not significant. (xviii) Peer group acceptability of the girls was also positively correlated to their educational aspirations but was not significant. (xix) Peer group acceptability of the boys was also positively correlated to their educational aspirations but was not significant.

PEER GROUP ACCEPTABILITY AND VOCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS
(xx) Peer group acceptability of the students was positively correlated to their vocational aspirations but was not significant. (xxi) Peer group
acceptability of the girls was also positively correlated to their vocational aspirations but was not significant. (xxii) Peer group acceptability of the boys was also positively correlated to their vocational aspirations but was not significant. (xxiii) Peer group acceptability of the students was also positively correlated to their socio-economic status and was significant. (xxiv) Peer group acceptability of the girls was also positively correlated to their socio-economic status but was not significant. (xxv) Peer group acceptability of the boys was also positively correlated to their socio-economic status and was significant.

SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS
(xxvi) The mean score of girls (641.995) on socio-economic status was higher than that of boys (633.655). But this difference was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. (xxvii) Socio-economic status of the students was negatively correlated to their educational aspirations but was not significant. (xxviii) Socio-economic status of the girls was also negatively correlated to their educational aspirations but was not significant. (xxix) Socio-economic status of the boys was also negatively correlated to their educational aspirations but was not significant.

SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS AND VOCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS
(xxx) Socio-economic status of the students was positively correlated to their vocational aspirations and it was significant. (xxxi) Socio-economic status of the girls was also positively correlated to their vocational aspirations and it was also significant. (xxxii) Socio-economic status of the boys was also positively correlated to their vocational aspirations but was not significant. (xxxiii) Vocational aspirations of the students was also positively correlated to their educational aspirations but was not significant. (xxxiv) Vocational aspirations of the girls was also positively
correlated to their educational aspirations but was not significant. (xxxv) Vocational aspirations of the boys was also positively correlated to their educational aspirations but was not significant.

STUDY OF THE INTERACTIVE EFFECTS (xxxvi) When ANOVA was conducted on the entire sample of 400 students, the influence of all the three variables i.e. parental encouragement, peer group acceptability and socio-economic status was not significant, independently or in an interactive manner, on the educational aspirations. (xxxvii) When ANOVA was conducted on vocational aspirations of the entire sample of 400 students, it was found that parental encouragement and socio-economic status had significant independent influence on the vocational aspirations but there was no interactive influence. (xxxviii) The influence of peer group acceptability on vocational aspirations was not significant, independently as well as in an interactive manner.

(xxxix) In case of girls, the influence of all the three variables i.e. parental encouragement, peer group acceptability and socio-economic status was not significant, independently or in an interactive manner, on their educational aspirations. (xxxx) Parental encouragement and socio-economic status of girls had a significant independent influence on their vocational aspirations but there was no interactive influence. (xxxxi) The influence of peer group acceptability of girls on their vocational aspirations was not significant, independently as well as in an interactive manner.

(xxxxii) In case of boys, parental encouragement and socio-economic status had a significant independent influence on their educational aspirations but there was no interactive effect. (xxxxiii) Peer Acceptability did not have an independent or an interactive effect on the
educational aspirations of boys. (xxxxiv) Parental encouragement of boys had a significant independent influence on their vocational aspirations. (xxxxv) The influence of peer group acceptability of boys was not significant, independently or in an interactive manner on their vocational aspirations. (xxxxvi) Socio-economic status of boys did not have a significant independent influence on their vocational aspirations. (xxxxvii) Parental encouragement and socio-economic status of boys had an interactive influence on their vocational aspirations.

EDUCATIONAL IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS:

The above results can be of great help to the career counselors who can guide the adolescents to plan their educational future. The counselors can help the adolescents to choose a particular stream or field of education according to his SES, educational aspirations etc. They can provide them knowledge about the various avenues of higher education open to them according to their SES e.g. if the adolescents belong to low SES there may be certain courses which his family may not be able to afford. The counselors can provide them with appropriate options and choices.

They can guide them to prepare for various competitions, acquaint them with pre-requisites of various courses (especially financial needs) etc. They can arrive at a consensus regarding the educational future of the adolescents, taking into account their educational aspirations, their SES and the extent of the parental encouragement they received. They can suggest various alternatives for their educational future.
The above results were also useful to the career counselors who assist the adolescents in planning their future. There are certain vocations (or professions), which are not preferred by their parents. As a result the parents might discourage them to pursue such careers.

Similarly, the counselors can suggest various career options according to the SES of the adolescents. The adolescents of low SES may not be able to take up certain professions due to the financial burden on their families. So accordingly, the counselors have to help them to arrive at a common ground regarding their career taking into account their own vocational aspirations, their SES as well as the extent of parental encouragement they receive.
Influence of Parental Encouragement, Peer Group Acceptability and Socio-Economic Status on the Development of Educational and Vocational Aspirations of Adolescents (12-15 Yrs)

THESIS
SUBMITTED FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF
Doctor of Philosophy
IN
EDUCATION

BY
Ms. DOLLY THOMAS

UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF
PROFESSOR C. P. S. CHAUHAN
Chairman

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
ALIGARH MUSLIM UNIVERSITY
ALIGARH (INDIA)
2006
CERTIFICATE

It is certified that the thesis titled 'Influence of Parental Encouragement, Peer Group Acceptability and Socio- Economic Status on the Development of the Educational and Vocational Aspirations of Adolescents (12 – 15 years)' is an original work conducted by Ms. Dolly Thomas under my supervision and guidance. It may be considered for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Education.

Professor C.P.S. Chauhan
CHAIRMAN
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Research highlights various aspects of an issue. It enlightens the educationists about different ways in which they can improve the system of education. It helps them to prepare the adolescents for their academic future, for their future profession and for life in general.

Gratefulness cannot be expressed merely in words to different people who extended their help and cooperation in order to complete this work. The words that follow express my deep sense of indebtedness towards them.

I am extremely grateful to my supervisor Professor C.P.S. Chauhan for helping and encouraging me to complete my work successfully. His meticulous suggestions at various stages of research have facilitated the outcome of a systematic presentation of the work. His guidance has helped me to enhance my work to a great extent.

I am thankful to all the staff members of the Department of Education, A.M.U. for their moral support. I would also like to thank the librarians of the Seminar Library, (Department of Education, A.M.U.) as well as that of the Maulana Azad Library, A.M.U. for providing me various books, journals, etc. connected with my work.

I would like to express gratitude to Dr. Sudha Mathur (I.C.S.S.R., New Delhi), the librarians of the I.C.S.S.R. and N.C.E.R.T.. New Delhi and Dr. Muthaiyya Koganuramath (Librarian, Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Mumbai) for providing me the latest journals as well as other reading materials related to my topic.
My special thanks to the subjects who cooperated with me to give all the data. I would also like to express my gratitude towards the principals as well as teachers of all the schools from which data has been collected without whose cooperation I could not have completed my work. I am grateful to Mohd. Asif Khan, U.D.C. as well as the entire office staff for their help and support.

Dolly Thomas
Ms. DOLLY THOMAS
DEDICATED
TO
MY
PARENTS
## CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Titles of the Chapters</th>
<th>Page No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 ADOLESCNCE</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 ASPIRATIONS</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4 VOCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 PARENTAL ENCOURAGEMENT</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6 PEER ACCEPTABILITY</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7 SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 THE PROBLEM</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1 THE PROBLEM IDENTIFIED AND DEFINED</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 DEFINITIONS OF THE TERMS USED</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 HYPOTHESES</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6 DELIMITATIONS</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 METHODOLOGY</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1 UNIVERSE</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 DATA COLLECTION</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 VARIABLES OF THE STUDY</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4 RESEARCH DESIGN</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5 STATISTICAL TECHNIQUES</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 INTERPRETATIONS</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.1 PARENTAL ENCOURAGEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS
4.2 PARENTAL ENCOURAGEMENT AND VOCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS
4.3 PEER GROUP ACCEPTABILITY AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS
4.4 PEER GROUP ACCEPTABILITY AND VOCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS
4.5 SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS
4.6 SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS AND VOCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS
4.7 STUDY OF THE INTERACTIVE EFFECTS

5 FINDINGS
5.1 PARENTAL ENCOURAGEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS
5.2 PARENTAL ENCOURAGEMENT AND VOCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS
5.3 PEER GROUP ACCEPTABILITY AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS
5.4 PEER GROUP ACCEPTABILITY AND VOCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS
5.5 SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS
5.6 SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS AND VOCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS
5.7 STUDY OF THE INTERACTIVE EFFECTS

6 RESULTS AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS
6.1 PARENTAL ENCOURAGEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>PARENTAL ENCOURAGEMENT AND VOCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>PEER GROUP ACCEPTABILITY AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>PEER GROUP ACCEPTABILITY AND VOCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>SOCIO- ECONOMIC STATUS AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>SOCIO- ECONOMIC STATUS AND VOCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS</td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>STUDY OF THE INTERACTIVE EFFECTS</td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>EDUCATIONAL IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS</td>
<td>272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APPENDIX A – REFERENCES 275-304

APPENDIX B – QUESTIONNAIRES
# LIST OF TABLES

## TITLES OF THE TABLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table No</th>
<th>Titles of the Tables</th>
<th>Page No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Distribution showing Mean and Standard Deviations of Parental Encouragement and Educational Aspiration</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Distribution showing t-test scores indicating Significance of Difference between Means of Male/Female Groups of Parental Encouragement and Educational Aspiration</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Correlation Matrix for the Total Sample (N=400) indicating the correlation between Parental Encouragement and Educational Aspiration</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Correlation Matrix for Female Students (N=200) indicating the correlation between Parental Encouragement and Educational Aspiration</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Correlation Matrix for Male Students (N=200) indicating the correlation between Parental Encouragement and Educational Aspiration</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Distribution showing Mean and Standard Deviations of Parental Encouragement and Vocational Aspiration</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Distribution showing t-test scores indicating Significance of Difference between Means of Male/Female Groups of Parental Encouragement and Vocational Aspiration</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8 Correlation Matrix for the Total Sample (N=400) indicating the correlation between Parental Encouragement and Vocational Aspiration

9 Correlation Matrix for Female Students (N=200) indicating the correlation between Parental Encouragement and Vocational Aspiration

10 Correlation Matrix for Male Students (N=200) indicating the correlation between Parental Encouragement and Vocational Aspiration

11 Distribution showing Mean and Standard Deviations of Peer Acceptability and Educational Aspirations

12 Distribution showing t-test scores indicating Significance of Difference between Means of Male/Female Groups of Peer Acceptability and Educational Aspiration

13 Correlation Matrix for the Total Sample (N=400) indicating the correlation between Peer Acceptability and Educational Aspiration

14 Correlation Matrix for Female Students (N=200) indicating the correlation between Peer Acceptability and Educational Aspiration

15 Correlation Matrix for Male Students (N=200) indicating the correlation between Peer Acceptability and Educational Aspiration

16 Correlation Matrix for the Total Sample (N=400) indicating the correlation between Peer Acceptability and Vocational Aspiration
Correlation Matrix for Female Students (N=200) indicating the correlation between Peer Acceptability and Vocational Aspiration

Correlation Matrix for Male Students (N=200) indicating the correlation between Peer Acceptability and Vocational Aspiration

Distribution showing Mean and Standard Deviations of Socio-Economic Status and Educational Aspirations

Distribution showing t-test scores indicating Significance of Difference between Means of Male/Female Groups of Socio-Economic Status and Educational Aspirations

Correlation Matrix for the Total Sample (N=400) indicating the correlation between Socio-Economic Status and Educational Aspiration

Correlation Matrix for Female Students (N=200) indicating the correlation between Socio-Economic Status and Educational Aspiration

Correlation Matrix for Male Students (N=200) indicating the correlation between Peer Acceptability and Educational Aspiration

Correlation Matrix for the Total Sample (N=400) indicating the correlation between Socio-Economic Status and Vocational Aspiration

Correlation Matrix for Female Students (N=200) indicating the correlation between Socio-Economic Status and Vocational Aspiration
26 Correlation Matrix for Male Students (N=200) indicating the correlation between Socio- Economic Status and Vocational Aspiration

27(a) ANOVA Summary: For the Educational Aspiration Scores of the Sample

27(b) Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement, Peer Acceptability and Socio- Economic Status

27© Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement and Peer Acceptability

27(d) Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement and SES

27(e) Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of SES and Peer Acceptability

28(a) ANOVA Summary: For the Vocational Aspiration Scores of the Sample

28(b) Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement, Peer Acceptability and Socio- Economic Status

28© Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement and Peer Acceptability

28(d) Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement and SES

28(e) Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of SES and Peer Acceptability

29(a) ANOVA Summary: For the Educational Aspiration Scores of Girls
29(b) Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement, Peer Acceptability and Socio-Economic Status

29© Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement and Peer Acceptability

29(d) Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement and SES

29(e) Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of SES and Peer Acceptability

30(a) ANOVA Summary: For the Vocational Aspiration Scores of Girls

30(b) Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement, Peer Acceptability and Socio-Economic Status

30© Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement and Peer Acceptability

30(d) Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement and SES

30(e) Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of SES and Peer Acceptability

31(a) ANOVA Summary: For the Educational Aspiration Scores of Boys

31(b) Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement, Peer Acceptability and Socio-Economic Status

31© Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement and Peer Acceptability
31(d) Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement and SES

31(e) Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of SES and Peer Acceptability

32(a) ANOVA Summary: For the Vocational Aspiration Scores of Boys

32(b) Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement, Peer Acceptability and Socio-Economic Status

32© Table showing Mean Differences
CHAPTER –I

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Adolescents of today are the future citizens of tomorrow. In order to build a progressive nation, they should have a definite goal in life. Aspiration or goal is the foundation on which the advancement of a country depends. Adolescence is a critical time for forming aspirations for the future, especially with regard to career aspirations (Child Development, 2004).

A number of factors such as parental encouragement, peer acceptability, socio-economic status etc. may influence the formation of aspirations (Bardick, A.D. and Bernes, K.B., 2005). Parental encouragement is significantly related to adolescents’ educational aspirations (Zhou, Y. and Glick, J., 2005). Peer environment was the most important indirect effect on career aspiration (Xin Ma and Jianjun Wang, 2001). Socio-economic resources play significant role in explaining educational aspirations of adolescents (Zhou, Y. and Glick, J., 2005).

1.1 ADOLESCENCE

The term “adolescence” comes from the Latin word ‘adolescere’, which means, “to grow to maturity”. The term adolescence today has a broader meaning and includes mental, emotional and social as well as physical maturity (Hurlock, E., 1973). Vocational aspirations in the formative years of life are supposed to determine success in later life in regard to job satisfaction, productivity, personality adjustments etc. (www.education.nic.in).

Adolescence is a period when the individual is expected to prepare for adulthood by replacing childish attitudes and behavioral
patterns with those of an adult type. Hechinger, G. and Hechinger, F.M. emphasized this point of view when they said: “The task now is to make clearly understood that adolescence is a stage of human development, not an empire or even a colony. The mission of adult world is to help teenagers become adults by raising their standards and values to maturity than by lowering adulthood to their insecure maturity. The task for the adult world is to make adolescence a step toward growing up, not a privilege to be exploited. The young adolescent’s status in our modern society is vague and confused. At one time, he is treated as a child, and when he acts like a child he is reproved and told to “act his age”. When he attempts to act like an adult, he is often accused of being “too big for his britches”.

Studies of the problems of young adolescents have revealed that the problems are numerous and, for most part, center around schoolwork, plans for the future including education, choice of vocation etc. Adolescence is a time when a great number of young people make choices that will have an important bearing on the rest of their lives. Adolescence is a time of idealism and optimism. It is a time of dreaming about the future, when the adolescent aspires to reach great heights and confidently expects to do so. He may be particular about having a glamorous job, which will provide him with money. This would provide him with status symbols, which he regards as pre-requisites to happiness. He believes that acquiring these status symbols will automatically give him opportunity to new horizons.

Changes during adolescence are characterized by adolescents asserting their independence and spending greater amount of time away from parents (Padilla – Walker, 2006). The counterweight to the desire for independence in adolescence is greater responsibility expected by parents. Parents present career exploration, through which the adolescent
may be able to identify tentative educational and occupational goals, as a means to greater responsibility (Young et al, 2006).

1.2 ASPIRATIONS

A student has some goal or objectives in mind when he attempts a task. If he attains the expected results, he is, in one sense of the word, satisfied. If things work out such that the student falls short of his aims, he expects some degree of failure. This leads to seemingly contradictory results. In any performance, a person has a target. He strives to attain, what he thinks he can attain. Everyone has fantasies about some goals that he knows are unrealistic. The standard that a person expects to reach, in a particular performance, is generally referred to by psychologists as his level of aspiration. Aspiration is very complex and it is difficult to think of it in terms of level. Still, level of aspiration can be measured by asking a person what score he hopes to make on the next trial of a task.

Level of aspiration is a standard a person hopes to reach in a given performance. Because he has not yet reached his goal, his level of aspiration is discrepancy between his achievement and his stated goals. The distance between his achievement and his stated goals might be realistic in the sense that he has a good chance of success. On the other hand, distance may be so great that his chances of reaching his stated goals are slim; therefore his level of aspiration is unrealistic. In the views of Hoppe (1930) level of aspirations represents a person’s goals, claims or his future achievement in a given task. In everyday usage “ambitions” and “aspirations” are synonymous and are used interchangeably. The definition of ambition as given in the standard dictionary means an eagerness for honour, superiority, power or attainment. It suggests a “personal uplifting”. Aspiration means a longing for what is above one, with advancement as it’s
end; the subtle distinction lies in the emphasis on what is above one” is not just honour, power, superiority or attainment.

Hoppe (1930), one of the most outstanding German psychologists, made the first and a very extensive study on goal setting behaviour. It was he who formulated the concept of level of aspiration. What Hoppe understood of the term ‘level of aspiration’ may be stated as follows “the study almost undertakes the task, with certain demands, which can change in the course of the activity, the totality of this constantly shifting, now undefined and now precise expectations, goal setting or demands, in common with and one’s own future performance, we shall term level of aspiration of the subjects.”

Another German psychologist, Dembo (1931), while performing her experiments on anger, as induced by an over demanding situation, noted that some of her subjects resorted to a very unusual course of action. Instead of working for a high level of goal, indicated for them by the experimenter, which was beyond their reach, they set up their own goal of a low difficulty level, for attaining this goal, which the subjects had set for themselves, was termed by Dembo as their momentary level of aspiration.

Hoppe inferred the goals and expectations constituting the level of aspiration of an individual in an activity from the individual’s spontaneous remarks, expressions of success and failure experiences and the way he worked during the course of action.

Hausmann’s (1933) approach of requiring the subjects to make a bid before each trial represents a step forward in this direction. Hausemann, like Hoppe, relied heavily on the influences drawn from the subject’s verbal and motor responses for determining level of aspiration.
The credit for making the procedure truly objective goes to Frank (1935) who specified a set of operations, which made it possible to arrive at a quantitative measurement of level of aspiration. He defined level of aspiration operationally as “the level of future performance in a familiar task, which an individual, knowing his level of past performance in that task explicitly undertakes to reach.”

According to Gardner (1940), aspiration may be qualitative or quantitative, special or vague, stable or transient, or more at a time. But when we say level of aspiration, we attribute specificity and definiteness to aspiration. Gardner, therefore, maintained that level of aspiration could only refer to a quantitative indicator of the goal, which an individual makes regarding his future performance in a task.

An individual according to Lewin, while undertaking to perform a task, builds up a goal structure, comprising a number of goals of various magnitudes, for instance, there may be a dream goal, a wish goal, an action goal, also a low level goal which he is sure to attain, even if, luck was against him. It is the action goal or the goal an individual is trying for, at a particular time, which Lewin regards, as the index of level of aspiration. This action goal- based concept of level of aspiration has won the status of universal acceptance. It needs to be emphasized that action goal as conceived by Lewin, is of an immediate nature. The operational definition of level of aspiration, as given by Frank, was accepted by Lewin because it conformed admirably, with his criteria of level of aspiration.

One's expectation or aspiration has three aspects:

1) What characteristics of performance he considers as desirable
2) How well he expects to perform on each of these characteristics
3) How important each of these characteristics is to him.
A person's goals are arranged in a sort of program, which states where he expects to be at a certain time. He will have a definite idea of the consequences, which he expects in the near future. A person who has not planned his ultimate aims or doesn't consider the connection between his present actions and these remote consequences can't guide his present conduct. Foresight involves knowledge of probable consequences, some of which may be remote. Education helps people to take advantage of the experiences of others. Understanding of remote consequences is developed in the study of history, literature and science. Sometimes a person knows of the remote consequences but doesn't think about them sufficiently. He is so engrossed in immediate aims that they affect each of his decisions. Foresight is learned gradually. As children grow older, they place more and more emphasis on future goals.

When short-term plans are successful the person becomes confident in his ability and develops interest to continue a longer activity. Short-term goals can provide frequently rewarding accomplishment. As a person succeeds in attaining one goal, he begins to learn that foresight pays and he becomes readier to dedicate himself to slightly more remote goal. Remote goals become useful for motivation when the path to achieve them becomes clear. One's life stabilizes, when a sense of direction and enthusiastic concern develops for greater ends. It also gives motivation and interest to daily activities when difficulties are faced. Goals and interests are learned in many ways. For many people, a religious belief is a source of direction and energy. Others acquire major goals from their parents and friends.

Aspirations as measured and analyzed in the social-Psychological literature, are forms of attitude. This is especially the case with respect to educational and occupational aspirations and expectations.
According to the International Encyclopedia of Education, an educational or occupational aspiration or expectation designates a readiness to act towards the educational and occupational goals. Much research has been conducted on the educational and occupational aspirations of young people, and their influence on subsequent attainments. Such studies include both the determinants of aspirations, and also the work on the consequences of aspirations. The relationship between these career predispositions and attainments has become an important part of the study of school-to-work transition.

Aspirations clarify the notion of career plans or ambitions. It has been argued that the class structure, reinforced by a school system which inculcates values and imparts knowledge to the advantage of the dominant class, “socializes” youth in such a way, that educational and occupational choices coincide with a person’s location and class structure. A study was conducted by Sewell et al in 1969,70 on Levels of Educational and Occupational Aspirations of Youth of Both Sexes and it was found that aspirations were associated with the social class, when the effect of intelligence was controlled.

J. Steven Picou conducted a study in 1973 on Variations in a Model of the Occupational Aspiration Process of 582 Whites and 333 Black students and found that Occupational aspirations of Blacks and Whites were similar. Father’s occupation and education were more often related to occupational aspirations for Whites and family income was more often related to occupational aspirations for Blacks and more variance was explained for Whites than for Blacks.

Another study was conducted by D. Kaur in 1990 on Educational and Vocational Aspirations of Students Belonging to Different Socio- Economic Locales of Jammu Division and the main findings of this
study were that both educational and vocational aspirations were influenced by sex, SES and locality when taken independently. Urban students differed significantly from their rural counterparts in their educational preferences and vocational aspirations. While rural students were found to aspire for high academic degree/a degree in arts, the urban students aspired for high professional degree/a degree in science.

Grace Kao and Marta Tienda in 1998 conducted a study on Educational Aspirations of 24599 Minority Youth and found that among males who aspired to graduate school in tenth grade, Asians were most likely to maintain their aspirations (79%), while Blacks were least likely (54%). Among females with high aspiration, only Asians were more likely than Whites to maintain their aspirations. 84% of Asian females maintained their aspirations to attend graduate school, while comparable figures were 67% of Hispanics, 69% of Blacks and 68% of Whites. High educational aspirations of minority youth in eighth grade and each subsequent year and the large shares of minority students that persisted through high school who held high aspirations.

Ingrid Schoon and Samantha Parsons conducted a study in 2002 on Teenage Aspirations for Future Careers and Occupational Outcomes and found that teenage aspirations in combination with educational attainments were a major driving force in the occupational development of young people and that they mediated the effect of socio-economic background factors. Difference in the experiences of young people grown upto 12 years apart indicated that the socio-historical context played a key role in shaping occupational progression. For the later born cohort, the importance of educational credentials had increased, both in influencing teenage aspirations and predicting adult occupational outcomes.
A study was conducted by Justin Dandy and Ted Nettelbeck in 2002 on The Relationship Between IQ, Home Work, Aspirations and Academic Achievement for 160 Chinese, Vietnamese and Anglo- Celtic Australian School Children. It was found that Chinese and Vietnamese Australian children were higher in grades. They spent more time studying and were likely to desire an occupation requiring test qualifications than Anglo- Celtic Australian peers.

There has been research on the effect of aspirations and expectations on the educational and occupational attainment in Australia. In a small follow-up study of student educational and occupational aspirations, Poole (1982) found that 36% of those interviewed held the job they expected to have when they were 14 years old. Further Carpenter et al (1980) found that the effect of 12-year educational aspirations on eventual university enrollment was 0.48 for males and 0.42 for females. Their findings were consistent with the findings of comparative U.S. and Swedish studies and showed that “aspirations not only affect attainments but also act as key mediating variables in transmitting anterior factors into subsequent behavior.” (Carpenter et al 1981) In the study of urban Australian youth from all capital cities, Saha (1985) found that students’ occupational aspirations (preferred occupations) exercised important independent effects (net of socio-economic background and type of school attended) on both expected educational and occupational attainments.

The students’ views about educational and occupational goals have a wide range of interpretations. According to some writers, aspirations may signify a realistic estimation of the youth about socially desirable objectives. This shows that these estimations indicate both the assimilation of social values as well as the identification of the processes involved in realizing those goals (both in terms of advantages and obstacles).
Other researchers argue that aspirations reflect the values instilled by the parents and can be interpreted as a form of family encouragement. Aspirations could be considered as substitutes for parental encouragement and a part of cultural assets passed on to the younger generation in realizing their ultimate educational and occupational attainments. Francis-Dee Burlin conducted a study in 1976 on The Relationship of Parental Education and Maternal Work and Occupational Status to Occupational Aspirations in 139 Adolescent Females and found that innovative choices were made significant more often as an ideal aspiration than as a real aspiration, reciprocally, traditional occupations were chosen less, often as an ideal aspiration than as a real aspiration.

T. Abernathy and W. Davis conducted a study in 1978 on Student Perception of Influence on Career and Educational Decision Making and found that their career and educational goals were influenced by various sources (e.g. self, father, mother, friends, relatives, counselors). These sources differed by both the age and sex of the student.

Further, a study was conducted by V. Gautam in 1988 on Educational and Vocational Interests of Students at the Delta Stages and It’s Implication for their Future Curriculum. The main findings of this study were that significant conclusion was found in the preference orders of boys of classes VIII and X in both educational and vocational interest areas. Significant differences were found between the scores of boys and girls in all the areas of educational and vocational interests.

Anshu in 1988 conducted a study on Level of Aspiration, Achievement, Motivation and Adjustment of Adolescent Effects of Family Climate and found that in case of rural adolescents and rural boys intelligence and SES were assessed to be more effective. Family climate was highly responsible for one’s realistic aspirations.
A study was conducted by Don Hossler and Francis K. Stage in 1992 on Family and High School Experience Influence on the Post Secondary Educational Plans of Ninth Grade Students. It was found that parental influence and both high experiences were significantly related to student aspirations. Gender was directly related to student aspiration and Females in the sample had higher educational aspirations.

Educational and occupational plans have been studied extensively in the form of aspirations. This research was mainly concerned with the extent to which educational and occupational attainments were linked with career orientations and the factors, which determine these orientations. Research in industrial societies has shown that a variety of factors contribute to career orientations, the most important of which are the socio-economic status background of the student, the peer group, and the school itself (Sewell and Hauser, 1975, Kerckhoff 1976).

While social-psychological variables such as aspirations have been found to exert considerable influence on future occupational attainment (Otto and Haller, 1979), there is less agreement about the origins and meanings of these variables, or the manner by which they influence attainment. Alexander and Cook (1979), e.g. contend that career plans are, at most, a “rough sketch of some course of action”. Nevertheless they also find that students who firmed up their career plans early in life are not necessarily unrealistic or fantasy based. Thus, they argue that career plans are not to be regarded solely as educational outcomes i.e. as consequences of educational experiences and performances; but rather as intervening variables (along with school experience) between background factors and career attainment.

There have been many theories about the formation of aspirations. It has been suggested that they represent the influence of family, peer group, and school socialization on the formation of occupational “role
maps”. (Musgrave 1967, Ford and Box 1967) or on the assessment of personal abilities. (Blau et al 1956, Portes et al 1978). Grace Kao and Marta Tienda in 1998 conducted a study on Educational Aspirations of 24599 Minority Youth and found that family structure had little effect on the educational aspirations of eighth grade boys but exerted strong influence on aspirations of eighth grade girls. Gender was more influential in the formation of aspirations prior to high school at which time sex difference remained relatively stable. Female youth had slightly higher educational aspirations than their male counterparts. By the tenth grade, girls continued to have higher educational aspirations than their male counterparts.

Educational performance and attainment are both the aim and origin of aspirations and later attainments. The motivation with which young people set about their studies and other tasks connected to schooling is specifically related to their school performance and ultimate attainment. Specific aspirations are related to educational objectives alone. Other aspirations are related to educational objectives only as a stepping-stone to various long-term objectives. Thus educational aspirations may be understood in terms of career aspirations, such as for an occupation, wealth, or a particular life-style.

V.P. Bhargava and L. Singh in 1968 studied Determinants of Educational Choice- Behaviour of Adolescents of class IX studying in high school and intermediate colleges of Agra District (U.P.). They found that students seemed to care more for the material prospects than the educational vocational relationship as the criterion of personal satisfaction. There was a marked positive correlation between dimensions of material prospects and educational vocational relationship. The general desirability of an educational group was determined by its popular regard and material satisfaction more than by the personal satisfaction it offers.
Both males and females agreed to a considerable extent with regard to the material prospects of the educational courses. About the popular regard ratings boys and girls have a marked similarity. There is little resemblance in ratings on the dimension of educational and vocational relationship. It may be due to the different vocational aims of boys and girls.

Next, a study was conducted by K. Chaudhry in 1990 on Vocational Aspirations of 196 Standard IX students of English Medium Schools in Pune city. It was found that 40% of the respondents wanted to become doctors and engineers and majority of the students preferred the science stream for continuing their studies and future career.

A study was conducted by Ganesh Lal Jain in 1990 on Values, Level of Aspirations and Personality Traits of Rural and Urban Adolescent Girls of Rajasthan. It was found that Both rural and urban (secondary and higher secondary) adolescent girls aspire to study science as their first preference, and preferred government service as a first choice, followed by banking, civil services and clerical work and the aspiration level of both rural and urban girls was found to be average.

A person's level of aspiration is affected by his earlier experiences. Each person has an idea of what he can achieve and of how others will react to him. People like to do things if they expect to succeed. This confidence, or expectation of success, is the result of previous successful experiences. But the past success alone is not the only factor responsible for motivating a person for an activity. A person will not be encouraged, even if he has been very successful in the past, if he thinks the present work will be more difficult.

Mostly adolescents like to have more successes or pleasant experiences than failures or unpleasant experiences. Successes are based on the level of performance of a person. As this level goes beyond the
level of aspiration, there is an inclination to keep the level of aspiration low. This inclination conflicts with the tendency to keep the level of aspiration high, which develops from its relation to the ego-level.

1.3 EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS

The first challenge, an adolescent has to face in life is to plan his own educational career. He visualizes certain life goals and tries to plan his educational career accordingly. If a student has a sincere desire to achieve a particular educational goal, then many obstacles can be overcome.

Adolescents are said to belong to a distinct adolescent subculture and to be influenced more by their peers than by their parents. However, the extent to which the values and goals of adolescents and adults differ and the degree to which significant adults and peers actually influence the development of adolescents have not been adequately tested and specified (Berger, 1960). Most researches on parental and peer influences on educational plans of adolescents have considered one influence or the other but not both simultaneously.

Parental encouragement is significantly related to children’s educational goals. Parental involvement and family communication help motivate children’s higher aspirations. As a result students whose parents have more knowledge of children’s school life and attend more school activities have higher educational aspirations (Zhou, Y. and Glick, J., 2005).

Findings indicate adolescents who strongly identify with their mothers in particular placed a greater emphasis on school as important to their future, and possessed higher levels of academic self-efficacy and educational aspiration.

In a panel study of students in their first and last years of high school, McDill and Coleman (1965) concluded that:”... the end of the
senior year of high school, the prestige of the adolescent in the school social system contributes more to variations in their stated college plans than does their father’s or mother’s education”. However, data on the parent’s actual desires for their children, also presented by McDill and Coleman, indicate that even in the senior year the influence of parental desire on adolescent plans is greater than school status.

Herriot (1963) used adolescent perception of the educational expectations held by eleven different types of persons as sources of data on parental and peer influences. The highest correlation was obtained between adolescent educational aspirations and perceived expectations of a same age friend.

Most studies of parental influence use parental social class or education as indicators of parental educational aspiration for their children (Haller and Butterworth, 1960, McDill and Coleman, 1965, Sewell and shah, 1967). Benjamin J. Hodgkins and Arnold Parr in 1965 conducted a study on the Educational and Occupational Aspirations among 214 Rural and Urban Male Adolescents in Alberta and found that significant difference between upper and lower status subjects were obtained. However, in educational aspirations for both rural and urban male adolescents and social status or class seemed to be the important variable in terms of its effect upon educational aspirations.

Smith- Maddox (1999) pointed out that the educational aspirations of students from low –income families were positively related to their regular communication with parents.

Alan E. Bayer in 1970 conducted a study on Marriage Plans and Educational Aspirations on 4000 high school students and found that SES, aspirations and marital plans, each exerted a strong independent influence on the educational aspiration of both male and female high school
seniors. However, while social class is strongly related to educational goals, parental aspiration or parental encouragement of higher education can override lower-class background (Bordua, 1960, Kohl, 1953, Rehberg and Westby, 1967, Sewell and Shah, 1968a and b etc.).

A study was conducted by S. Gerald Lesser and B. Denise Kandel in 1969 on Parental and Peer Influence on Educational Plans of 2327 Adolescents. It was found that concordance on educational goals was highly significant for both the mother and the best school friend. Concordance was higher for mother than for best school friend both among boys and girls. Girls had higher levels of agreement with their mothers than boys. Thus they were able to replicate with respect to educational goals the oft reported finding of greater consensus among mother-daughter than mother-son pairs.

Similarly, studies of peer influence on academic achievement and aspiration have been concerned with the influence of the value climate of schools on the adolescent within these schools, and rarely with the specific plans and attitudes of the adolescent’s friends (Boyle, 1966, Coleman, 1961, McDill et al, 1966, Wilson, 1959 etc.).

The consensus between matched pairs of adolescents in the school has been investigated in two studies, which reach opposite conclusions. Alexander and Campbell (1964) in a study of male seniors in 30 high schools, conclude that “a student and his best friend tend to be similar in college plans and that the extent of similarity is greater when the choice is reciprocated.” Haller and Butterworth (1960), in contrast, found low intra class correlation on levels of occupational and particularly, educational aspirations in 245 pairs of 17-year old high school boys and their best school friend (Duncan et al, 1968).
Friesen David conducted a study in 1983 on Changing Plans and Aspirations of 12951 High School Students and found that a greater proportion of boys and a smaller proportion of girls had chosen their profession. A larger proportion of students planned to pursue technical education. Smaller proportion of boys and a larger proportion of girls planned to pursue university type education. A greater proportion of 1981 students saw maths and science as their favourite subjects compared to the 1969 students. There was a slight trend towards an academic orientation i.e. about 55% planned to enter university and 35% planned for technical education.

A study was conducted by Ellen Brantlinger in 1992 on Unmentionable Futures: Post School Planning for Low Income Teenagers. It was found that all the students who were still in school said that they wanted to graduate. Regarding high school graduation, educational aspirations were high. 92.5% parents were perceived as wanting their offspring to remain in school. 52.5% students believed that their parents would be vehemently opposed to any notions of quitting and would take action to prevent them. 65% of students wanted to go to college. 37.5% students named college as their post school plan and an additional 20% named professions that required college degrees.

1.4 VOCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS

Both theory and empirical research highlight to varying degrees the family’s role in influencing children’s occupational aspirations. Roe’s (1957) theory e.g. focuses on the importance of early parent-child relationship in career development, whereas Holland (1985,p.93) emphasizes the environment that parents create for their offspring such that “types produce types”. The developmental theories of Super (1990) also recognized the family as a source of influence on self-concept and career
maturity. Eccles' expectancy-value model emphasizes parents as role models, sources of reinforcement and providers of information, resources and opportunities for their children (Eccles, 1993).

Linda S. Gottfredson (1981) has presented a theory of the development of occupational aspiration. According to this theory, the first stage of development is that of orientation to size and power (3 – 5 years), when youngsters grasp the concept of being an adult. Gender self-concept is consolidated in the next stage of development: Orientation to sex rules (approximately ages 6 – 8 years). Children next enter the stage of orientation to social valuation (around ages 9 – 13 years), when the more abstract self-concepts of social class and ability become important determinants of social behaviour and expectations. With an increasing ability to deal with abstract, complex concepts and with the emotional stresses of adolescence, youngsters become more attuned to their own internal feelings and distinctive capacities. The fourth stage is thus an orientation to the internal, unique self (beginning around age 14) and is often referred to as the adolescent identity crisis.

As the present study is concerned with adolescents belonging to the age group of 12 – 15 years, so the last two stages of development of occupational aspiration, according to Linda S. Gottfredson's (1981) theory are relevant to this study. At the beginning of stage of orientation to social valuation (around ages 9 – 13 years), adolescents begin to recognize prestige differences among jobs as well as social class and ability differences among people. Their preferences for prestige level of work come to differ considerably by social class. Social class, sex and ability differences become a part of everyday life and the associated differences in aspirations also appear "natural" to adolescents, parents and those around them.
There are many symbols of social class for example education, occupation, income, place of residence, clique membership etc. The development of social class awareness is best understood as a process, covering many years, in which, the most concrete and observable of these symbols or cues are recognized first, with the most abstract or unobservable ones being gradually recognized later. The proportion of both boys and girls saying, that they want manual or unskilled work drops conspicuously by adolescence (Rosenberg and Rosenberg, 1981). Higher social class adolescents have higher aspirations. Adolescents tend to see a large proportion of people in jobs closest to their own status background (Weinstein, 1958). Occupational and a sense of social mobility depend on the social class to which one belongs (Himmelweit et al, 1952). These conclusions are similar to studies conducted by other workers like Trusty (1999).

During the fourth stage, which is, orientation to the internal, unique self (beginning around age 14), the adolescents discover sets of adult social roles that they, their parents and their friends consider acceptable. Adolescents are still dependent on adults for knowledge and direction, but they begin to seek independence. Vocational reasoning and the bases of decision-making become more complex in adolescence.

In many earlier societies, the vocational problems of adolescents were much simpler than they are today. Such societies didn't provide a large number of work choices. In earlier days, when both geographic and social mobility were limited, young people grew up likely to have observed adults performing the kind of work they would be doing in future. In societies with informal education system, most young people were slowly absorbed into the working life of adults. Even in Western societies with more formal education system, young people, in the past, were able to
see at first hand, the various crafts and professions that their society offered. Presently, with the growing technological complexities of societies all over the world, number of different professions, crafts and skilled trades are generated yearly.

During early or middle childhood, children cite active, exciting and glamorous occupations like airhostess, football star, pilot etc. These choices are based on emotions rather than cognition. As the child grows older, he realizes that, what he would really like to do in his fantasies about adult life may not be possible, and he begins to work out a compromise between what he is interested in and the choices that will, realistically, be available to him.

Then there is a tentative stage in which he will become influenced by the social status of the preferred occupation. Findings have shown that as societies begin to offer occupations similar to those found in economically and technologically developed societies, occupational prestige begins to resemble the following prestige-ranking: professional, white collar, supervisory, skilled and unskilled. The social status of different occupations influences the adolescents to make decisions. The realization of the adolescent that all occupations are not open to everybody supersedes the prestige or status of any occupation. Thus in the West, social class differences in vocational aspirations begin to appear at this stage. Adolescents start becoming aware of educational qualifications that may be needed for high-status occupations. They begin to work out whether or not they will be in a position to get such qualifications both financially and academically. In the final or the realistic stage, the young person has almost certainly decided upon the work area he is interested in. If he is fortunate enough to have a choice of particular jobs accessible to him, he begins to assess the important aspects of the job.
Studies in this area show that while money and security are often important factors, they are not the only criteria in choosing jobs. Studies have shown that there are many criteria for choosing jobs e.g. interest in the job, abilities and personality well suited, whether it offers a chance to serve the community, the pay, whether it is a secure and stable job, prospects for promotion etc.

Studies on British adolescents show the following criteria for choosing a job e.g. pay, variety involved in a job, chances of promotion, convenient timings, opportunity to help others, involvement of mind and need, thought and concentration. A study was conducted by Powell, Marvin and Bloom, Viola in 1962 on Development of and Reasons for Vocational Choices of Adolescence through the High School Years. It was found that more than one third of the subjects did not expect to enter the preferred occupation for reasons like inadequate finance, lack of abilities and influence of parents. Significant sex difference and developmental trends were noticed among these reasons. More boys than girls attached more importance to the financial aspect of occupation. The students were influenced by parents, relatives and teachers i.e. girls were influenced more by mothers while boys were influenced more by fathers.

For the adolescent, success in schoolwork creates confidence and proper career attitudes, which form a basis of their progress into the adult working world. Traditionally, adolescents followed the profession of their parents, by associating closely with their parents. Today, not all adolescents follow their parents’ profession. Because of high industrialization and technological advancement, tasks have become more and more complex because of high competition.

Choosing a discipline, paves the way for selecting a career in future. A study was conducted by B. Krishnan in 1958 on
Vocational and Educational Ambitions of over 800 High School Students. It was found that a large majority indicated their preference for science courses. A majority of students had indicated their desire to enter government service.

Parents may express their interest in the adolescent’s studies even if the discipline has been chosen by the adolescents themselves, or by their (parents’) choice. A study was conducted by S.L. Chopra in 1969 on Educational and Vocational Planning by High School Students. The sample consisted of 119 randomly selected students of class IX drawn from two schools from the age group of 13 – 14 years. It was found that about 55% planned about their job and a majority of these planned to take up profession, administration or other skilled jobs and 35% were influenced by their parents in their planning.

Another study was conducted by S. S. Chadha in 1979 on Some Psychological and Social Factors as Related to Vocational Aspirations of 713 Rural and Urban High School Children. It was found that the urban boys aspired for engineering (48%), protective (11%) and health (10%) occupations. Vocational relationship to health were less popular as only 6% to 12% boys and their fathers aspired for them. The agreement between fathers’ and sons’ vocational aspiration was found to be 64% for urban.

Mullis, Mullis and Gerwhels (1998) found that students career aspirations tended to match their parents occupation e.g. students whose parents were in unskilled occupations tended to be more interested in realistic occupations while students whose parents were in professional or skilled occupations tended to be more interested in artistic, social or conventional occupations. These findings highlight the influence of parental role modeling on children’s occupational interests.
Next, a study was conducted by Lisa Y. Flores and Karen M. O'Brien in 2002 on Career Development of 364 Mexican American Adolescent Women. It was found that feminist attitudes and parental support predicted career aspirations. Preparation of a vocational plan is a major problem of the adolescents. The usual development of vocational aspiration is from dynamic, exciting occupations of low prestige value (e.g. being a construction worker) to emotionalized ambition having great prestige (i.e. being a famous trial lawyer) and finally to some occupation that represents a compromise between what a person would like and what he thinks he can do.

According to researches by Kahl, Floud and associates and Bordua, a working class boy is relatively likely to seek advanced educational and occupational mobility if his parents urge him to do so and unlikely to seek mobility if his parents don’t exert pressure in this direction. On the other hand, studies by Beilin and Wilson suggest that anticipatory socialization into middle- class values by middle- class peers at school may be the decisive factor. Beilin reports the working- class boys who plan to attend college, like middle- class boys, tend to participate heavily in organizing extra-curricular activities, and Turner feels that mobility- oriented working- class high school students should be “studied separately to discover whether or not they are incorporated into higher level cliques…”

Being the member of a particular social class influences vocational goal in many ways. It helps to determine the kinds of occupations with which the adolescent will be familiar and will help to determine the formulation of the kind of occupational aim. It plays a major role in determining the social acceptability (i.e. the reward value) of a given occupation to the adolescent and to his peers. Certain types of occupations are considered appropriate to members of a particular social class, while
others are considered inappropriate. Adolescents from lower socio-economic backgrounds may not have access to career resources and may not efficiently utilize available resources as those from a higher socio-economic background (Valadez, 1998).

A study was conducted by E.I. George and V. George Mathew in 1966 on Vocational Aspirations of School Leaving Pupils. It was found that out of six vocations, in general, pupils from the higher income groups desired to become doctors and engineers while the vocation ‘teacher’, ‘clerk’ and ‘nurse’ were favoured by the lower income groups. Slightly larger proportions of the lowest and middle income groups seemed to favour the group of defense personnel. More children of farmers and fishermen aspired to become teachers and nurses, while children of clerks and businessmen aspired to become doctors. Fewer children of clerks aspired to become teachers and nurses, of farmers and fishermen to become doctors and engineers and fewer children of businessmen and unskilled labourers aspired to become teachers. More urban pupils aspired to become doctors and engineers, while rural children to become teachers, clerks and nurses.

Choosing low status occupations, are contrary to the parents’ views about appropriate behavior for a member of their social class and as a result the adolescents are likely to be discouraged. Parents may become apprehensive about such choices, which may lead to general social disapproval both of their child as well as themselves. If economic rewards of the occupational choice are inadequate, parents may worry that the child may not be able to live in the same kind of environment as other members of his or her social class, or to afford the same social, recreational and educational milieu.
Members of the individual’s social class may exhibit social disapproval if the adolescents aspire towards high social status occupations as they may view them as a threat to themselves. But disapproval here may not be much strong. Most young people aspire to jobs with a rather higher socioeconomic status than those of their parents. Many adolescents may have unrealistic vocational goals. But they may be aware about the practical obstacles that may alter their vocational aspirations, which may also be affected by their social class status.

William D. Knill in 1964 conducted a study on occupational aspirations of 266 Northern Saskatchewan students and found that students aimed at those roles with relatively high community status and in some cases with an aura of glamour. Not a single aspired to be a Hollywood or TV star, a millionaire or a radio cowboy. In this respect they were not unrealistic and had moved away from childish ambitions.

Another study was conducted by Thomas R. Flores and Leroy C. Olsen in 1967 on Stability and Realism of Occupational Aspirations in Eighth and Twelfth Grade Males. It was found that in all cases mean scores on realistic Level of Aspiration (LOA) lower than mean scores on idealistic LOA and mean scores on short range LOA tended to be lower than mean scores on long range LOA. LOA of eighth grade males was probably sufficiently realistic and well formed to allow eighth grade males to make valid choices of secondary school courses and curriculum. LOA’s of the younger group were more stable over a six month period than were the LOA’s of the older groups.

After this, a study was conducted by M. B. Watson and C.D. Foxcroft in 1997 on Occupational Aspirations of Black South African Adolescents. It was found that most subjects aspired to social and investigative occupation and occupation with a high status.
According to a study by Simpson, R.L. (1962), ambitious middle-class boys showed the highest percentage of parental support, mobile working-class boys ranked a close second. In contrast, unambitious middle-class boys and nonmobile working-class boys ranked far behind in percentage of parental support.

In the current era, few opportunities are there for artists, musicians, historians, social workers etc. More final rewarding prospects are likely to be found in fields like computer programming, business management, branches of engineering etc. A study was conducted by Bhojak and Paras Mehta in 1969 on Vocational Interests of 240 Tenth Class students. It was found that science boys gave first three places of merit to the social service, computational and scientific areas respectively. Besides others, science girls gave first three places to computational, science and social science areas respectively.

Another study was conducted by Mildred L. Fortner in 1970 on Vocational Choices of High School Girls regarding their prediction. It was found that girls tended to choose occupations like professional and managerial ones as well as skilled occupations to a greater extent than semi-professional, small business, semi-skilled and unskilled professions. Girls who stated occupational preference in professional and managerial occupations tended to choose occupations at a higher level than their parental occupations and also higher than their level of their own IQ or self-evaluation scores. Percentage of correct predictions was greater for those who chose occupations in semi-skilled and unskilled occupations.

A study was conducted by A. Rangaswamy and M. Feroz in 1976 on Students' Knowledge about Professions and their Vocational Aspirations on 300 students. It was found that boys and girls were interested in computational area. 59% of students were not willing to follow their
parents’ profession and 29% were willing to follow for various reasons. NCC boys and even non NCC girls were willing to join the defense profession.

It is as relevant as finding and playing an appropriate role in society, as having an identity of one’s own, as doing one’s thing, as having a means of self-support, as getting and holding a job, or as eventually retiring.

Boys who have a specific occupational goal or who choose a specific field of study in high school and college tend to be differentiated from boys with other objectives, and those who change objectives tend to change to aspirations that could be predicted by use of their aptitude and interest patterns. William D. Knill in 1964 conducted a study on occupational aspirations of Northern Saskatchewan students and found that girls choosing professional careers were chiefly interested in nursing and teaching. Boys were chiefly interested in engineering, teaching, medicine and research. In the second group of occupations, the girls wished to be stenographers, hairdressers and store clerks. But no boys chose clerical, commercial or service careers. Over one-fifth of the boys chose careers in transportation- most of them wished to be aeroplane pilots. The girls in this category wished to be stewardesses. In the fourth group the boys favored mechanics over fishing, trapping and farming. Twenty boys chose the armed forces and law enforcement agencies. Nine boys chose professional sports.

A study was conducted by E.I.George and V. George Mathew in 1966 on Vocational Aspirations of 2038 School Leaving Pupils. It was found that the six most popular vocations i.e. teacher, doctor, engineer, clerk, nurse and defense personnel were mentioned by 79.87% of the students. ‘Teacher’ was the most desired vocation. The frequency of
preferences and aspirations were roughly the same for all the vocations i.e. 72.33% of pupils’ aspirations as well as preferences happened to be one of the first six vocations. Choices of boys are more dispersed over different fields than those of girls. Results show that more boys aspire to become ‘doctor’ and ‘engineer’ while more girls aspire for the vocation of teacher and nurse.

Edward D. Smith and Edwin L. Herr in 1972 conducted a study on Sex Differences in the Maturation of Vocational Attitudes among 2160 Adolescents. It was found that females expressed more maturity in terms of their attitudes towards work and career planning than did males in the eighth and tenth grades. Tenth grade students possessed more mature judgment in endorsing expression of attitudes relevant to variation in vocational behaviour than did the eighth grades. Adolescent girls maintained more attitudes towards factors involved in the process of career choice than do adolescent boys.

S. S. Srivastava in 1972 conducted a study on Sex and Grade Differences of Students’ Occupational Choices as influenced by their Fathers’ Occupational Expectations. It was found that boys were found to become more independent and realistic in their choices as they grew in age, expectation and grade. The level of vocational choice was similar for boys and girls of lower grade but in upper grades, girls’ choices were somewhat fanciful as compared to boys who showed maturity and realistic professions. Girls’ choices were more homogeneous and consistent across age and grades in comparison to boys. Girls were also more influenced by their fathers’ suggestions and expectations especially at younger age as compared to boys. People who attach importance to knowledge and understanding tend to be attracted towards philosophy or science. Those who value economic returns tend to be interested in business management. Those who cherish aesthetics
tend to be interested in art, literature or music. And those who place great emphasis on variety and independence tend to be more interested in the condition under which they do it rather than what they do.

B.N. Panda conducted a study in 1994 on Vocational Interests and Academic Performance of 200 Tribal Adolescents. It was found that there was significant difference between male and female students in scientific, extra curricular, artistic, social and household areas of vocational interests. The tribal male adolescents were more interested in the areas of scientific, extra curricular and social as compared to their female counterparts whereas the female adolescents had shown more vocational interests in the areas of artistic and household work.

Another study was conducted by Xin Ma and Jianjun Wang in 2001 on Examination of Walberg’s Model of Educational Productivity in Student Career Aspiration. They found that there was a strong direct link between educational outcomes and career aspirations. There was an indirect relationship between factors of educational productivity and career aspirations via educational outcomes. Peer environment was the most important indirect effect on career aspiration through educational outcomes. Factors of educational productivity enhanced students’ career aspirations through improved educational outcomes of students.

As compared to earlier years, adolescence is a period in which planning for the future must be seriously considered. As part of such an assessment, the adolescent must evaluate his ability and reach decisions related to training and work. His decisions about his future program will have some reference to work. It will also represent an attempt to combine the expectations he has for himself and the expectations others have for him. The extent to which the individual’s chosen career integrates his various
self-perceptions, will depend upon the degree to which the situation provides him an opportunity for a choice of careers as well as upon the level to which he is able to use his capability.

The adolescent is often asked to give an early and specific view of his occupational plans. It is perhaps more practical for him to give utmost priority to his general objectives related to work areas such as those of the sciences, the professions or business. Whatever broad spheres of training he chooses, he should make a conscious effort to acquire maximum general knowledge from various possible fields. Occupational selection may be made because the person enjoys the work itself. He may also enjoy its prestige value. An individual may be influenced in his occupational choice by its prestige, being aware of the effects of the above needs upon his decision.

A study was conducted by Helen Olive in 1973 on Sex Differences in Adolescent Vocational Preferences. It was found that the females showed a significantly higher social class status occupation than that of the males. The females surpassed the males in their potential occupational striving for prestige and status. The female adolescents still didn’t aspire to the most prestigious post i.e. physicians, dentists, college presidents, upper levels of administration etc. Their responses clustered around professions like group workers, social work, teaching and secretarial work. These posts required somewhat less education, training, and responsibility and, therefore, return somewhat less earning power and status. They also include fields still considered to be typically acceptable as feminine areas of occupational involvement.

Another study was conducted by R.P. Goyal in 1975 on Vocational Choice of 433Higher Secondary School Students. It was found that occupations which were higher on the socio-economic ladder were
preferred mostly, especially, the professions- technical jobs e.g. those of engineers, physicians, science, doctors, teachers, jurists, nurses and draftsmen. Jobs, which are clerical, are some of the least preferred ones. 25% of the preferred occupations were undecided and unclassifiable. The choices of girls clustered around professional- technical jobs while the boys showed varied choices. Jobs of doctors, teachers, military officers and engineers, police officers, accountants, patwaris, telephone operators, wiremen, musicians, magistrates, hostesses and IFS were preferred by a few.

S. Toong conducted a study in 1982 on Vocational Aspiration in Relation to Creativity, Personality, Achievement and SES of 1039 High School Students and found that the highest percentage of student aspiration were for the teaching and welfare field. The lowest percentage of student aspiration was for artistic fields, close to which was also the percentage of student aspiration for a literary field. The highest percentage of student (47.65%) aspiration for level II vocations and level I vocations ranked three with 24.83% aspiration for it. Although the highest percentage of students in the field of engineering and health aspired for high level vocations and in the teaching and welfare field the highest percentage of student aspiration for low level vocations, yet percentage of students aspiring for medium level vocations in these fields was significantly higher than the percentage of students aspiring for low level vocations in the field of engineering and health, and high level vocation in teaching and welfare field. The significant percentage difference was observed between realistic and unrealistic aspirants for vocations.

Another study was conducted by Rashmi Nagar in 1991 on Vocational Aspirations of Educated Girls in Gorakhpur Division and Facilities Available to them. She found that as the education level increased the SES showed upward trend. Level of educational influenced the
vocational aspiration of urban girls at all three educational levels – primary, secondary and higher. Urban counterparts preferred science area. As children mature and approach adulthood they become more and more conscious of the need for choosing their vocation. Teenagers mostly mention problems related to personal financial status, job choice and vocational training. Teenagers can be considered as mature only when they attain economic independence.

P.P. Hoult and M.C. Smith conducted a study in 1978 on Age and Sex Differences in the Number and Variety of Vocational Choices, Preferences and Aspirations and found that subjects were able to distinguish between these categories i.e. vocational choices, preferences and aspirations. The pattern of the total number of occupations chosen in all three categories showed that, rather than the number being reduced, as the subjects got older, there was an inverted U pattern with a gradual rise to age 13 and slight decline to 16. Males tended to list a greater range of choices, a slightly greater range of preferences, and an almost similar range of aspiration to females.

Next, a study was conducted by N. Jayapoorani in 1982 on Vocational Interests of Higher Secondary School Students. It was found that majority of students (84%) preferred natural science, maths and English. While boys showed interest in engineering jobs, girls preferred to work as doctors. Both boys and girls developed their vocational interests between 13-15 years of age.

Fred W. Vondracek, Rainer K. Silbereisen, Mathias Reitzle and Margit Wiesner in 1990 conducted a study on Vocational Preferences of Early Adolescents and found that the formation of early vocational preferences among the 10-13 year old respondents appeared to be associated with more advanced identity development. These young
adolescents appeared to be remarkably “tuned in” to the world of occupations, suggesting greater realism than might be predicted on the basis of conventional career development theories.

Ashton D. Trice conducted a study in 1991 on Career Development: Relationship Among First Aspirations, Parental Occupation and Current Occupation and found that 59% indicated a first career aspiration before the age of 13 years. 46% of adolescent first aspirations matched current occupations. Subjects reporting early aspirations in the same category as their fathers’ occupations were more likely to remain within the same category at middle age than those whose early career aspirations did not match their father’s occupation.

Thomas D. Cook, Mary B. Church, Subira Ajanaku and William R. Junior Shadish et al conducted a study in 1996 on The Development of Occupational Aspirations and Expectations Among Inner City Boys and found that subjects tended to be more realistic about occupational aspirations and expectations the older they were.

Occupational and educational decisions are the product of a complex of interacting variables. Such factors as the adolescent’s self-concept, his experiences in the school situation, his home environment, his socio-economic background, and his interpersonal relationships all contribute to his educational and vocational attitudes, interests, aspirations etc.

Fortune survey was conducted in 1942. This survey indicated that high school students as a group tend to aspire to rather high goals in their vocational planning. Many of them are planning upon entering vocations that will require post high school training. There is also a marked tendency for these adolescents to be interested in securing a position, which will offer them a high degree of security. This desire for securing a position
is more pronounced among adolescents from low-income families than it is among the adolescents from higher-income groups, for many of those from low-income families have probably experienced the emotional turmoil that comes from a lack of security.

The problem of deciding on a vocation is more relevant for the adolescent boy than for the adolescent girl. Pressures on him to select a career are somewhat similar to those that promoted the need for independence. Parents, peers etc. encourage the boy to make this decision during this period. In order to maintain an identity with the adult role, he must decide on some vocation. Most adult males have chosen a way to make a living, and in order to assume the role of the adult the boy must also take a vocational decision. A vocation is like a socially approved way to attain indirect or substitute satisfaction for motives, which may have been partially gratified. Motives such as social recognition, domination over others, aggression etc. can be satisfied, to some extent, in a variety of occupations. The choice of army as a career may be motivated in some cases, by the desire to dominate other men and gratify aggressive urges. Medicine, law or the theatre affords opportunities for social recognition and prestige in the community. Social work, nursing or medicine offer the adult the opportunity to nurture and to care for other people.

After that, a study was conducted by Helen S. Farmer in 1983 on Career and Home Making Plans for High School Youth. It was found that boys and girls had similar educational aspiration levels. Females scored higher on a measure of home making commitment compared to boys and boys didn’t increase their non-traditional career choices in the fantasy conditions compared with realistic conditions. They chose 3% non-traditional career types in both conditions. Females instead of scoring similar to males on level of occupational choice scored significantly higher than
males. Females didn't increase the number of non-traditional careers chosen in a fantasy condition compared to a realistic condition nor was the level of career chosen in each condition significantly different for either sex. The girls were choosing more from traditional careers than boys (35% vs 3% for the realistic conditions and 34% vs 3% for the fantasy conditions). Overall sex difference for career choice type was significantly different for both realistic and fantasy conditions.

Keshav Sharma and Tsering Dhundup in 1988 conducted a study on Sex Difference in Educational and Vocational Aspirations of Tibetan Children's Village School and found that there was no significant sex difference in the educational aspirations of the students. As compared to the girls the Tibetan boys had a greater range of vocational choice, were more ambitious vocationally and preferred challenging, prestigious, well paid, creative and adventurous types of jobs. The girls preferred clean jobs characterized by routine work, security, quietness and non-competitiveness. A significant sex difference was noted: a) in favour of boys in the artistic group of vocations b) in favour of girls in clerical group of vocations.

B.N. Panda conducted a study in 1994 on Vocational Interests and Academic Performance of Tribal Adolescents and found that there was significant difference between male and female students in scientific, extra curricular, artistic, social and household areas of vocational interests. The tribal male adolescents were more interested in the areas of scientific, extra curricular and social as compared to their female counterparts whereas the female adolescents had shown more vocational interests in the areas of artistic and household work.

Most parents, and society in general, have encouraged and emphasized, the importance of education, to youth. This was boosted due to numerous factors like the growing rate of technology, occupations,
the need for increased education for the more desirable jobs, and the greater availability of education etc. A college degree has become a symbol of prestige and has been considered by most of the people as the only way to upward socio-economic mobility, since the World War II. Even if the final selection of appropriate training and a satisfactory occupation should be made by the youth himself, he will often need the support of his parents.

A study was conducted by Karen M. O’Brian and Ruth E. Fassinger in 1994 on Career Orientation and Career Choice of 409 Adolescent Women. It was found that career orientation and career choice of adolescent women were predicted by abilities and gender role attitudes and relationship with mother. Young women who possessed liberal role attitudes were instrumental and efficacious with regard to mothers and careers, and exhibited a moderate degree of attachment and independence from their mothers tended to value their career pursuits. Adolescent women who selected non-traditional and prestigious careers showed a high ability and strong agentic characteristics.

When girls and boys reach the high school age, they think seriously about their future and the kind of vocation they want, to make their life work. Many factors influence their future plans like the economic stability of the family, their sex, the type of social-class group to which they belong to (where planning ahead is encouraged) etc. Mostly, adolescents from middle-class families are encouraged to plan ahead more than those from the lower classes (who are more concerned about the present situation).

P.H. Mehta, R.K. Mathur and D. Pant in 1985 conducted a study on Influences on Level of Occupational Aspirations of 285 Adolescents and found that there was a strong indication of sex difference on level of occupational aspirations in favour of girls among both semi
urban and urban students. In the case of girls significant predictors of level of occupational aspirations turned out to be the SES index.

A study was conducted by T.S. Sodhi in 1988 on Vocational Interests and Occupational Choices of 1015 Adolescent Girls of Chandigarh. It was found that very few adolescent girls were able to make correct occupational choices in accordance with their vocational interests. It was also observed that occupational choices and vocational interests were comparatively more congruent for girls of urban background and those belonging to the high income group as against their counterparts from semi-urban areas and low income group.

After that, a study was conducted by Anil Saraswat in 1988 on Achievement Motivation, Occupational Aspirations and Academic Achievement of Adolescents in Different Types of School Climate in Aligarh District. He found that boys and girls significantly differed in their occupational aspirations. The coefficients of correlations among achievement motivations, occupational aspirations and academic achievements were significant.

Further, study was conducted by Becky Francis in 2002 on the Impact and Implications of Gender for 14-16 Year Olds Career Choices. It was found that girls occupational choices had become far more ambitious than was previously the case. However, boys’ occupational aspirations remained high, questioning some assumptions in the literature. Yet it was maintained that the choices of both girls and boys still reflected to some extent a deeply embedded gender dichotomy, and that in this sense their choices demonstrated little recognition of choice in the adult employment market.

Generally, the unrealistic vocational aspiration of childhood develops into a realistic concept of definite fields of work,
according to ability, education and training, and the individual’s capacities. Adolescents realize that they are likely to follow the pattern of their fathers in their occupational selection. But sometimes circumstances make it possible for them to attain a higher education and thus move into a higher vocational bracket. Many adolescents aspire to jobs above the occupational level of their family. Mostly, girls are more stable in their vocational aspirations than the boys. Boys, normally, want jobs that have glamour and excitement. They also want jobs with high prestige, even if these jobs pay less than those with lower prestige. Many boys from lower status families hope to achieve higher social status through high status occupations.

L.J. Saha conducted a study in 1982 on Gender, School Attainment and Occupational Plans. He found that male students had higher level of occupational aspirations than females.

After this, a study was conducted by J.W. Rojewski in 1995 on Impact of At Risk Behaviour on the Occupational Aspiration and Expectations of 129 Male and Female Adolescents in Rural Settings. It was found that rural adolescents aspired for careers with prestige levels similar to those held by non rural peers. Female youth at substantial risk aspired to higher level careers than male peers at the same risk levels. At risk status accounted for a relatively small amount of the total variance for occupational aspirations. It was concluded that career compromise and circumscription were critical in determining success in attaining one’s occupational aspiration.

Girls, mostly, show a preference for occupations with greater security, with less demand on their time. The vocational choices of girls generally lay emphasis on service to others, such as teaching or nursing. Adolescent females have been found to possess greater degrees of career maturity than adolescent males. A study was conducted by B.K. Passi
in 1981 on Patterns of Vocational Aspirations of 600 Higher Secondary School Adolescents in Relation to Sex and Residential Backgrounds. It was found that a definite hierarchy between boys and girls existed with marginal emphasis on the vocations of doctors, teachers, engineers and professors. Vocational aspirations differed significantly with reference to the variations of sex and residential status of the students – Boys were found more than girls.

The experiencing of failure may have the effect of reducing the level of aspirations. Continued and repeated failure or even a single traumatic experience with failure can have long-term effects. The fear of failure can be so disturbing that the person becomes sure of failure, due to extreme anxiety. It can also cause the individual to aspire to attain only those goals that can be reached with little or no chance for failure. Thus, the individual doesn’t come close to his level of achievement but has learned that failure is so distasteful that it must be avoided.

A level of aspiration, that is either too low or too high, is inappropriate. The emotional stress of the capable high-school graduate with an adequate socioeconomic background, (who ignores his opportunity for occupational training corresponding with his ability,) is the same as that of the individual with limited resources, who struggles to attain a vocation that for him is unfeasible. The school can be of service by helping individuals maintain or develop levels of aspiration that are according to their abilities, personalities, and socioeconomic status.

An adolescent understands that some people win praise and some do not. He develops standards to assess himself, or an ideal of what a good person ought to do. If his performance and conduct are generally accepted, and he makes steady progress towards the ideal, he realizes that he is capable of meeting the standards of goodness. If he feels
that he will never come near the ideal, he develops a sense of inadequacy. For adolescents, developing a career goal is an important part of creating an identity. They can commit to formal study as a vital part of the career preparation process more sincerely, as their career goals become more distinct. Without this focus on desired outcomes, it can be difficult to remain committed to school and postsecondary study.

There are many instances of young people who know their career goals, who have realistically assessed both their personal abilities and the labor market projections. Such people are ready to move smoothly from education to their chosen occupations. Existing research and theory suggests that a number of characteristics of the family are particularly relevant to vocational development. These include the location of the family in the broader social context (for example, socioeconomic status and racial/ethnic background); the structural features of the family such as single or dual parenthood; and family processes such as transmission of family work values, planned parental career-related interventions, and family interaction style (Schulenberg et al., 1984).

1.5 PARENTAL ENCOURAGEMENT

Parents are the first contact that the adolescent has since childhood. Evidences from empirical literature also lend support for the dominance of parents in shaping adolescent’s career aspirations. Many studies have found that students identify parents as the strongest influence on their career and course decisions (Bender, 1994; Lunneborg, 1982). Scientists also agree that parents are the single most influential factor in the career development and choice of their children (Clark and Horan, 2001).

J. Downing and L.M. D’Andrea conducted a study in 1994 on Parental Involvement in Children’s Career Decision Making and found that parents exhibited some marked educational and career choice
bias. Parents in the UK and US had a strong bias in favour of university attendance and related careers. Swiss parents were much more inclined to leave these decisions to their children.

Researchers have shifted focus to the exploration of family processes (e.g. parents’ role modeling, attitudes and behavior) that might contribute to the development of adolescent’s occupational aspirations. Adolescent active choices and occupational aspirations are mainly influenced by parents through their roles as analysts of reality and providers of experiences for their children. Several investigators have recorded a positive association between parents’ perception of their children’s academic skills and adolescent’s self-concepts of these abilities.

Parents can promote children’s interests and actual choices through the experiences they provide in the home and their specific parenting practices. Parents may translate their values and beliefs into actions and thereby transfer them to the child by simply engaging in different activities. Parents, who read to their children, e.g., send a clear message regarding the value of academic pursuits. In this way, specific parenting behaviors may affect adolescent activity preferences, their beliefs about themselves, and ultimately, their educational and occupational choices.

A study was conducted by John S. Mahony and Stephen R. Merritt in 1994 on Educational Hopes of Black and White High School Seniors in Virginia. It was found that parental encouragement was at least somewhat important to both groups. Parental behaviour associated with children’s academic achievement includes parenting styles, verbal interactions, book reading, helping with homework and school involvement. Parental identification has been associated with higher levels of educational
achievement, occupational status and vocational aspirations among adolescents and young adults alike.

It has been noted that disagreements among adolescent-adult relationships seem to be mostly concerned with relatively minor issues. In the Danish-American survey conducted by Lesser and Kandel (1969), it was found that there was similarity in educational values between adolescents' parents and their friends. However, particularly in the long term, where differences existed, parental influences in this area outstripped the influence of friends.

On the other hand, in a study of occupational aspirations of high school students, Simpson (1962) found that for both middle-class and lower-class boy, “parental influence was more strongly related to aspirations than peer influence.” Parental influence was measured by the child’s report of his parental pressure to enter a profession. High peer influence was defined by two criteria: belonging to two or more school clubs, and mentioning at least one middle-class friend.

Mark Davies and Denise B. Kandel in 1981 conducted a study on Parental and Peer Influences on 762 Adolescents Educational Plans and found that parental influence on their adolescent children’s aspirations were much stronger than the influence of best friends. Peer effect though weaker than parental effect were much stronger for girls than for boys i.e. friends appeared to be relatively unimportant in determining the aspirations of boys but were quite influential in determining the aspirations of girls. Far from declining over the adolescent years the influence of parents related to that of best friends increased. The greater peer orientation of girls than of boys was accompanied by greater susceptibility to the influence of peers in the form of future life plans.
Parents who are in agreement with aims and objectives of secondary schools, and who regard high school education as a useful preparation for later life, are likely to encourage their children to prepare their homework carefully, attend school regularly and prepare for exams in a responsible manner.

Conger (1977, p.427): A lower class girl whose parents are unable to, or uninterested, helping her to go to college is less likely to aspire to be doctor than one whose parents encourage such a vocational choice and who are in a position to help her. Similarly, a boy whose parents expect him to go to work upon completion of the ninth grade is not likely to spend much time contemplating the idea of becoming an engineer.

The role that parents play in the life of the adolescent depends a lot on the structure of the family. In a joint family, the role of other family members also becomes as important as their parents. In a nuclear family, the family consists of just the parents and siblings and the circle of interaction is smaller, so the parental role is more prominent. Parental role in the decision-making process of the adolescent is only up to advice -giving. As the parents are consulted, the extent of their approval is also important. Parental interest in his adolescent child is very important, as this encourages the adolescent to do well. It also helps to share the small burdens that he faces in college life. If interest is developed in the adolescent’s study in a mature and adult way, he will not feel like a school child.

Parents express their interest in the adolescent’s studies in many ways. They have a lot of interest in the progress of the adolescent’s academics. Studies indicate that adolescents are concerned about what their parents have to say in regard to their occupational aspirations. They are aware that parents perhaps do know more than them and want to consult
them before finally taking up anything. Duvall (1977) said “seeking counsel and getting specific knowledge about possible fields within the limits of real possibilities.” This is what perhaps the parents provide while they help the adolescent choose their career. Data collected show that both parents and adolescents have played a co-operative venture in the adolescents’ occupational aspirations. Adolescents turn increasingly to their peers with their personal confidences during the teen years. As Duvall has said parents who understand that young people must identify with their own generation, if they are to emerge as full fledged young adults refrain from paying pressures that alienate them even further from their teenagers.

Parents are in a powerful position to influence the career development of the children. Bell (1963) was interested in parents’ influence on their children’s aspirations. He correlated parental motivation with the aspiration levels of high IQ male high school students. He asked if parents wanted their sons to attend college; whether they punished them for doing poorly and rewarded them for doing well in school. Boys whose parents provide high motivation tended to be more ambitious than those who received little motivation from their parents. Girls who have a working mother as a role model associate maternal employment with less traditional sex-role concepts. These girls perceive both men and women in a wide variety of activities, ranging from using a sewing machine to hunting with a gun. They tend to approve more of employment outside the home and to evaluate female competence more highly.

Perhaps the most revealing evidence of parental influence is reported in a study of French adolescent’s perceptions of how their parents affected their orientation to work; young apprentices and students two or three years older prepare for advanced technical training. The results suggest that those in the first group, who were placed on the job market with no
preparation or training, thought that their parents did the least to support, counsel or supervise them. These adolescents were the most dissatisfied with the role their parents and had played and blamed them for their indifference rather than blaming society or socioeconomic factors. Almost all the teenagers who participated thought that supervision of studies was among the most important of parental duties.

Family influence of parents and siblings may also play a significant role in vocational aspirations. Parental motivation plays a prominent role in this. It has been hypothesized that a working-class boy is likely to seek advanced educational and occupational mobility if his parents urge him to do so and unlikely to seek mobility if they do not exert pressure in this direction. Such a boy with strong parental support may prove more ambitious than a middle-class boy without such parental support and urging. Parental motivation has been found to be significantly related to students’ aspiration levels, even when social-class status and IQ are held constant. In general, students whose parents ranked high on aspirational motivations (i.e. held high educational and occupational goals for their kids and rewarded good school work) tended to have a high aspirational level themselves. (i.e. a “desire for an occupation above that of their parents’ social class level”). But this relation was strongly among students scoring high on personality measures of authoritarianism and conformity. Apparently, nonauthoritarianism and nonconforming adolescent males are less susceptible than their more dogmatic, conforming peers.

Father’s occupation exerts a significant influence on the career aspirations of sons, though, apparently not of daughters. James G. Goodale and D. T. Hall conducted a study in 1976 on The Influence of Sex, Values and Parents and found that the basic path linking social origin to career plans (Parental background - Students College Plans = Students
Occupational Plans) was found for males, while parental background did not enter the path for females who perceived less parental interest and pressure regarding their school work than did males. Student work values did not mediate the relationship between parental background and career aspirations.

John A. Fleishman and Peter G. Carpenter conducted a study in 1987 on College Plans and College Attendance of 14000 Australian Students and found that fathers’ occupational prestige led to greater parental encouragement to enter college. More women (71%) than men (64%) planned to enter college. Gender interacted with parental encouragement to affect intentions and not behaviour.

The number of sons following in their father’s footsteps greatly exceeds what one would expect by chance, even if social- class influences are taken into account e.g. sons of physicians, lawyers and scientists are far more likely to enter these occupations than are other young men of similar socio- economic status. In part these findings can probably be accounted for on the basis of such obvious factors as:

1) Greater opportunity to become familiar with father’s occupation as compared with others.
2) Greater likelihood of access to the occupation; and
3) At least in some cases (e.g. physicians strong parental motivation- and sometimes pressure- for the son to enter the occupation.)

Parents send clear messages concerning the value of education and their beliefs about their children’s academic potential regardless of their level of involvement. Other contexts beyond the family, such as the school or peer group, may also begin to assume a larger role in socializing adolescents around academic pursuits. Parents’ values and beliefs predicted adolescent occupational aspirations via both direct and indirect
pathways, however the pattern of relation differed across achievement domains e.g. in the academic model relation between maternal beliefs about positive outcomes and adolescent aspirations for a professional career were mediated by youths’ educational aspirations.

A study was conducted by M. Jodl Kathleen, Alice Michael, Oksana Malanchuk, Jacquelynne S. Eccles and Arnold Sameroff in 2001 on Parents Role in Shaping Early Adolescence Occupational Aspirations. It was found that mothers who viewed their children as having a greater chance for positive outcomes and who held high educational expectations/ aspirations were more likely to have children who valued school as being important for their future. Mothers’ educational expectations/ aspirations for their children were positively related to higher levels of educational expectations/ aspirations among youth. Adolescents who strongly identified with their mothers tended to place a greater value on school being important to the future and to possess higher academic self-concepts and educational expectations/ aspirations independent of their mothers’ actual academic values and beliefs.

Like mothers, fathers who held high educational expectations/ aspirations for their adolescents were more likely to have children who valued school as important for their future and who had high educational expectations/ aspirations for themselves respectively. Only youths educational expectations and aspirations significantly predicted professional career aspiration after controlling for gender and GPA of adolescents. All above results suggested that the link between parents’ values and occupational aspirations was mediated via youths’ educational expectations/ aspirations.

Teens who possessed higher educational aspirations were more likely to desire a professional career in the future. The emotional
climate in which the child struggles to achieve new competencies is another important factor in his later perception of himself in relation to his work. The child whose parents encourage and help him to try different tasks and who provide affectionate reassurance when his efforts don’t succeed, will approach difficult and challenging tasks with more confidence and enthusiasm than with one who is scolded for failure or who is not encouraged to try a new activity.

S. Gerald Lesser and B. Denise Kandel in 1969 conducted a study on Parental and Peer Influence on Educational Plans of Adolescents. It was found that concordance on educational goals was highly significant for both the mother and the best school friend. Concordance was higher for mother than for best school friend both among boys and girls. Girls had higher levels of agreement with their mothers than boys. Thus they were able to replicate with respect to educational goals the oft reported finding of greater consensus among mother– daughter than mother– son pairs. 85% of adolescents planned to continue their education when their mothers also provided strong encouragement. Only 53% planned if they didn’t get encouragement. Concordance on educational plans with reciprocated best friends overall was higher than for any other category of friendship. Controlling external social factors like social class suggested that influence of mother and friend, especially that of mother was intrinsic for the interaction itself.

Another study was conducted by Joseph C. Bledsoe and R. Gene Wiggins in 1974 on Self- Concepts and Academic Aspirations of ‘Understood’ and ‘Misunderstood’ Boys and Girls in Ninth Grade. It was found that understood subjects perceived themselves more favourably in parent teenager relations and academic adequacy and had higher desired and expected aspirations. Parents sometimes fail to understand the issues
involved in a child's learning to take responsibility for him. They make demands, which he is physically, socially and emotionally unable to meet. The anxious parent who fears his child will not be able to take his proper place in society because he lacks competence in some activities e.g. reading, may unwittingly contribute to the child's difficulties in acquiring that competence. A parent who nags, scolds, and punishes a child when he fails certainly doesn't contribute to the child's enthusiasm for new activities, the risk of failure is always greater for tasks requiring new skills and behavior than it is for previously learned tasks.

Parents who attempt to influence the choice of vocation for their children often do so as a compensation for their own deficiencies. When parents find that they can no longer hope to become famous or to achieve their adolescent dreams, they project their hopes for glory into the brightest or favorite child of the family and compel the child to enter into the profession, which, in their opinion, offers the desired prestige or wealth. Stanely Krippner in 1963 conducted a study on Junior High School Students' Vocational Preferences and their Parents Occupational Levels and found that all occupational strata (except the highest), the fathers apparently suggested careers that would boost their sons slightly above their own job level. Parents and adolescent children spend some part of their time getting along with each other very nicely, sharing discoveries and fresh looks at experiences, talking over problems and plans, and simply enjoying each other's company. Adolescent feels a secret relief when his parents add the weight of their authority to his own uncertain controls.

It is probably a rare parent who in one way or another doesn't influence the decision of a son or daughter with respect to his life's work. This may be by way of example, by way of definite urging, by way of "non directive" help in thinking through the problem of a vocation. A child's
employment depends upon, the parent's personality, his ability, his economic achievement, all of which, either by way of heredity (in the case of ability) or by way of environmental advantage or disadvantage, determine the final result.

Most children attain the occupational level either on the same or the level closest to that of their fathers. The occupational world has a wide range of stratification and depends upon the type of background from which the individual comes. This stratification appears is based on factors transmitted through family inherited- (through the biological inheritance of a given level of intelligence) intelligence, of possible temperament; through the cultural inheritance of personality, attitudes, vocational aspirations and through the materialist inheritance of financial backing for education, first office expenses, equipment etc.

G. Dyer in 1958 conducted a study on Parental Influence on the Job Attitudes of 51 children from Two Occupational Strata and found that the white collar families were more satisfied with their fathers occupation than the blue collar ones. The family into which one is born generally influences one's future. In some cases, there is upward mobility in the occupational world, but is a rare feature. Fathers in different levels of occupations can have sons, who can be found in any level of occupation. The total influence of parents and family is very significant. The individual himself may be unaware of their effect, especially if he has chosen an occupation different from that of the parents. He even fails to understand that his choice was within a frame of reference, grown out of family background. But even so, parents received most votes for being the most influential factor in determining choice among 380 high-school seniors in Missouri.
The relationship between children and their fathers is obvious at a young age, when a large number of children choose the same occupation as their fathers. This may predominantly be due to “hero worship” or may emerge out of familiarity with only that line of work. As they grow older, overlapping between specific choice and father’s occupation diminishes.

The study conducted by G. Dyer in 1958 on Parental Influence on the Job Attitudes of children from Two Occupational Strata indicated that neither the parents nor the children from either level wanted the children to follow their fathers occupation. Since both groups fell in the lower categories of occupations it was felt that the prestige value of their fathers occupation played an important part in the evaluation of jobs and its influence on their children.

A study was conducted by R. V. Rao in 1977 on Relationship Between 138 Students and their Parents in Respect of Choice of Vocation and it was found that there was significant positive correlation between parents and their wards in respect of choice of vocation for the latter, but this relationship was not too high.

Trends of this type do not indicate that father’s major influence has reduced but it may be exerting itself in other significant ways. The most significant contribution made by the parents is to provide an environment, where the children can have different types of vocational experiences. They help the youngster ponder over the issue but don’t force their choice upon him. When a young person is dealt with in this manner, he would be unaware of the influences actually exerted, and might even, refuse to acknowledge, that the parent had an influence.

A study was conducted by Marjoribanks in 1992 on Ethnicity, Family as Opportunity Structures and Adolescent Aspirations. It
was found that initial relationship between parents and adolescents’ aspirations were mediated by the association between adolescent perceptions of family contexts and their aspirations. Parental aspirations continued to have modest significance, linear association with realistic occupational aspiration in the Anglo-Australian group. After a mean level of perception scores was attained however, adolescent perception of family opportunities structure had strong association with their occupational aspirations.

Parents’ aspirations acted as a threshold variable, such that until a mean value of parental aspiration was attained, there was a positive relationship between the parental scores and adolescent occupational aspirations. After that threshold level, however, further increments in parental aspirations were not related to children in realistic occupational aspirations. At each level of parental aspiration, adolescent perception of family opportunity structure had a U-shaped association with their occupational aspirations. Parents act in important ways by working through the learning situations, which place responsibility upon the young adolescent. An adult is well aware that vocational choices keep changing because a number of factors influence them. They might be influenced by a friend’s choice, which may die out when that friend moves away. A brief work experience may lead to the emergence of another choice.

Courtland C. Lee in 1984 conducted a study on Predicting the Career Choice, Attitudes of 520 Rural Black, White and Native American High School Students and found that parental influence and self-concept interacted with ethnicity in the prediction of career choice attitudes. Each person has a reasonably unique history of the development of his vocational decisions. The vocational experiences, indecisions and decisions result in his settling down to a life work ultimately. Family influence is stronger in early adolescence than it is in the later years of this
period. In late childhood and early adolescence, there is a closer relationship with parents and other adults than in the late adolescent years, when the tendency to rebel against adult authority becomes most pronounced. As the influence of adults begins to wane, the influence of friends and age-mates becomes progressively more powerful.

In American society, as a person’s occupation is of prime importance, most parents encourage their children to remain in school and to prepare for some vocation that will provide financial security and a favorable social status. Generally, the adolescent is free to select an occupation, according to his potential and his socio-economic status to prepare for such an occupation. Vocational choice and preparation for it, is a developmental task, which becomes increasingly important as the individual matures and nears the end of his schooling. Studies show that occupational planning and preparation are chief concerns of a majority of adolescents. Sometimes adolescents are unrealistic about their vocational goals, still they may have some awareness of practical obstacles, which may modify their vocational aspirations. The boy, whose parents are unwilling to help him go to college or medical school, is not likely to aspire to be a doctor than one whose parents encourage such a vocational choice. Similarly, the boy, whose parents expect him to go to work upon completion of the ninth grade, is not likely to spend time contemplating the idea of being an engineer.

Most of the parents encourage their offspring to assert their independence and make their own vocational decisions, in spite of their father’s occupational levels. When lower-class parents want to have their child improve his status in life by going to college, they start to urge him to be college-oriented. At this time, parents’ attitudes are more important than peer attitudes, though they don’t lose their effectiveness even after peer attitudes become more powerful forces.
L. Richard Simpson in 1962 conducted a study on Parental Influence, Anticipatory Socialization and Social Mobility and found that parental influence was associated with mobility aspiration among working class boys. Parental advice was a much better predictor of high ambition than is the boys' social class. In parental influence, peer group membership and extra curricular activities, the mobile working class boys resembled the ambitious middle class boys more than the unambitious middle class boys.

Sometimes parents may pressurize their children to achieve in school beyond their capacity but these pressures are quite subtle. Father gives the general impression that his achievement in school was much higher than it actually was. Mother is delighted by good grades and only passively is acceptant of average grades. The rewards for success are great, and the disappointment of failure extreme. Children are expected to achieve in school, not at the level of their parents, but at an ideal level or one at which the parent wishes he had performed. Such pressures can cause children to be overanxious regarding their school achievement. They can also cause them to set unrealistic goals for themselves, in terms of intellectual ability, aptitude, and social and economic circumstances, to instill a child who has limited ability and inadequate personal and economic attributes. This invites frustration, anxiety, and inevitable discontent. Feelings of futility and concern for personal worth can probably be the result.

Some homes are exceptionally encouraging where effort is made to attain accomplishments, develop interests and ideas rapidly and build up maturity. Other homes let the children freely follow their own interests. There are also homes, which wish to keep the child immature and dependent. Some homes are warm, whereas others are emotionally cold. The
warm homes provide love and encourage the child to direct and express his feelings. Family members react to each other on an emotional level. Their feelings are alive and open. A democratic home lets the children express their preferences and takes them into account. Policies are worked out between the parents and the child, or are expressed carefully. In an autocratic home there is little freedom for the child to influence his parents.

Different attitudes of the parents also influence the adolescent’s attitude towards his career. One parent is nagging and critical, where another smothers the child with reassurance and suggestions. One parent follows where his child drags him, another ignores the child’s wishes. So complex is the child’s response that each of these relationships may remain friendly. Each home has its own individuality, neither totally good nor bad, which leaves its unique effect on the child.

Lawrence B. Green and Harry J. Parker in 1965 conducted a study on Parental Influence upon Adolescents Occupational Choice and found that Boys tended to select a towards-person occupation when perceiving the positive parental behaviour. If the relationship with either mother or father was perceived as being warm, protecting and tangibly rewarding, the sons gravitate towards persons occupations. Cold, negative and punishing parents seemed to have little effect upon their sons’ occupational orientation. For girls a dynamically negative father and a more passively negative mother affected the daughter’s orientation towards non-person occupation. The dynamic behaviour like demanding, rejecting and punishment symbolic-love seemed to be more powerful in influencing girls’ occupational orientation than the passive behaviour ‘Neglecting’. It appeared that maternal neglect was felt more deeply and seemed to be an influential factor in the occupational choice of girls. Influence of fathers upon the occupational orientation of girls appeared to be much stronger than that of
the mothers. The indulgent parent protects the child from difficulties and discomfort and demands that he take responsibility. Indulgent homes are usually warm (rather than warm), but not all warm homes are indulgent. Contrary to indulgence is hostile rejection where the parent dislikes the child and is continually critical and penalizing.

Some parents adopt democratic actions as a way to teach self-reliance. Democracy can be an unbalanced, excessively indulgent relationship, in which the child gets his way at every turn. In other homes, democratic and warm, the child is pushed toward achievement. Formal democratic planning highlights foresight and deliberate analysis of consequences. Therefore, it reinforces the “anxiety” connected with middle-class socialization. Generally parents, who adopt democratic methods to carefully do the right thing, do not understand the attitude they require. If a parent follows rules for conducting the home democratically, without making the child emotionally secure, he (the child) doesn’t become independent. Independence requires self-assurance.

Family is the social institution, which has a great influence throughout development of the individual. Most studies indicate that majority of the adolescents have few serious disagreements with parents. Indeed, during adolescence very few families experience a major deterioration in the quality of the parent-child relationships (Steinberg 1991). Generally adolescents and parents have different attitudes about issues of contemporary social concern to some extent, but most of these differences show disparities in the intensity of attitude rather than it’s direction. Thus, adolescents and parents are diametrically opposed on a particular issue i.e. most differences between the two generations involve different levels of support for the same position.
Therefore, there is considerable diversity in the nature of parent-child relationships in adolescence. Diversity is related to the particular context within which the adolescent and family develop. Sometimes this diversity may represent undesirable patterns of behavior e.g. dropping out of school. Attitudes and behavior of most of the adolescents is in agreement with the views, desires or expectations of their parents.

Majority of the families care about their children’s school accomplishments, but their understanding of the system and their ability to make it advantageous for their children differs, usually by social class but also within classes. Families can be seen to have two fronts when interacting with schools, with two overlapping but distinct amounts and types of cultural capital: a) adults draw directly on their own resources in their dealings with the school and in their efforts to influence the education of their children, and b) children draw on those resources that have been transmitted to them by others in the family as well as other resources they have accumulated from their experiences outside the family.

The term “social capital” has been used in the study of education to refer to the social resources the children and youth have available to them outside schools in their family or community. These resources can be constructive in promoting educational growth. The term refers to a variety of different social resources each of which may be of value for education. Forms of social capital include interests of parents in their children’s development, norms held and enforced by parents or by the adult community that shape and control children’s activities, related to adults other than the child’s own parents, and the trustworthiness of those who make up a child’s social environment. Social capital thus consists primarily of relationships of various categories of adults to the child and to one another.
Social capital available to the child stands in contrast to the human capital, financial capital, or physical capital of the child’s parents or others in the child’s environment, for it is complementary to these resources. The effective availability of these resources to the child depends on the strength of the relationships of the child to those holding these resources e.g. the education of a parent (or more generally the parent capital) becomes available to the child only if the relationship of the child to the parent is sufficiently strong that the human capital is transmitted. Social capital that is important for child rearing is present in three aspects of social structure: a) the intensity of the relationship of adults to the child b) the relationship between two adults who have relationship of some intensity with the child c) continuity over time. The social capital lies in the capability of the relationship between the adult and the child. The adult hobbyist who transmits excitement about his or her hobby, the swimming coach or violin teacher who transmits intensity of commitment to a goal, the parent who helps a teenager over one or another obstacle, or who has carried out sufficiently strong socialization that a child has internalized the parent’s goals: all of these adults illustrate the ways in which social capital can be important in a child’s development.

Family background and processes lay the foundation from which career planning and decision-making emerge. Parents provide day to day examples of cultural standards, attitudes, support, and expectation and determine self-esteem, interpersonal skills, and role models for work in many ways.

Schools where students are brought together from different geographic areas are known as Career magnet schools. Apparent parental support for college significantly differentiates between career magnet and comprehensive students. Career magnet students are more likely
to report that their parents would place great emphasis on their college attendance and would be willing to make financial sacrifices for it (Flaxman, E., Guerrero, A. and Gretchen, D., 1999). Many factors are responsible for the above phenomenon e.g. to attending a career magnet program continuously needs a lot of dedication and sacrifice. Career magnet students have to get up earlier and spend more time commuting to their school (in pursuit of education) than the students who attend comprehensive high schools in the neighborhood. This dedication may be conveyed to parents and increase their inclination to support the student's ambitions. Other evidences of student commitment may also persuade parents to be more supportive. This future orientation is also expressed in their greater involvement in college planning activities, including discussions with their parents about college attendance.

The ambitions of parents for their children, the families structures, the way they handle conflict and communication, and the feelings of parents toward work and learning, may have a lot of influence on the development of skills and attitudes essential for success.

Studies reveal that parents pass on occupational values, such as values of conformity or autonomy in work to their children. Now a days parents initiate different kinds of planned career-related interactions with their children. Development of career maturity among adolescents have been shown to be related to daily patterns of family functioning, like decision-making styles and degrees of conflict and unity.

R.A. Young, J.D. Friesen and J.M. Dillabough conducted a study in 1991 on Personal Constructions of Parental Influence Related to Career Development. They found that interaction perceived as key elements in career development referred to either the process or outcomes of parental
influence and addressed responsibility, autonomy, open communication, support and encouragement and direction and guidance.

Families generally support career development mainly through setting examples of appropriate career behaviors, providing enriching experiences for children, and supporting development of desirable work-related attitudes. The extent of parental influence on learning is extensive. Evidence indicates that parents either directly or indirectly influence all of the main determinants of cognitive, affective, and behavioral learning. These determinants include the student's abilities and motivations, as well as having an academically stimulating home environment, having an academically oriented peer group, and limiting television viewing. It is known that the effectiveness of parents' involvement in their child's learning can be enhanced.

Parent-child interactions occurring in adolescence are different for girls and boys. In a study conducted in the U.S., the adolescent males reported more inactive functioning in their families and more parent participation in school, while the females perceived greater career-related interaction with their parents and stronger work values in their families.

J. Trusty, R.E. Watts and P. Erdman in 1997 conducted a study on Predictors of Parents' Involvement in their Teens' Career Development and found that gender was the one predictor variable that was practically significant. Female teens reported higher levels of parental involvement.

Rebecca S. Carter, Roger A. Wojtiewicz in 2000 conducted a study on Parental Involvement with Adolescent Education and found that in students' grades, tests, scores and educational aspirations, parents helped daughters in some ways and sons in other ways. Generally,
daughters experienced more parental involvement with their education than did sons.

Students' attitude towards learning, and consequent school-to-work transition readiness, can be enhanced if their families have stronger work values (extrinsic and intrinsic orientations and work autonomy) and parents who engage in greater planned interactions with them about careers, (including those which extend beyond career investigation and choice). Attention is often given to parents' contribution to career exploration and choice (as in intentional interactions) or parental support for children's homework or their participation in the planning and operation of educational programs (parent participation in school).

Lee Shumow and Jon D. Miller conducted a study in 2001 on Parents at Home Work and at School Academic Involvement with Young Adolescents. It was found that fathers of young adolescents were less involved at school than were mothers but similarly involved academically at home. Parents of struggling students were involved more in home work assistance and parents of successful students were involved more at school than were other parents. Parental academic involvement at home was associated positively with young adolescents school orientation. Family provides a background for rich and exclusive set of experiences, related to preparation for work and the school-to-work transition process. Families contribute to school-to-work transition readiness through their planned interactions with children about careers and through participation in their children's schooling. Parents also contribute to school-to-work transition through the strength of their work values, and the way in which they deal with their routine work of family life.
Parents of different socio-economic groups have different views regarding schooling of their children. From the time the child enters the school, middle and upper class parents tend to value schooling for the education—both academic and social—that it provides. On the other hand, parents of lower socio-economic groups have traditionally considered school as essential for vocational success, due to the skills provided and the qualifications attained. Except for the very lowest socio-economic groups, all the other groups of parents have emphasized the value of school, to some extent because they have expected the school to be of some use to their children. The effects of parental influences may overpower the restricting effects of socio-economic status or the negative influences of parents, if they are genuinely interested in their children. The consequences of parental influence are also powerful if they have motives, which help them to succeed academically and relevant academic opportunities in the community are available to them.

It was demonstrated in a study of high school boys that, although, middle-class boys, as a group, had higher and educational and vocational aspirations than the working-class peers, working-class boys with parents who encouraged and supported educational and occupational mobility had higher aspirations than middle-class boys with parents who did not encourage such striving. Parents of children and adolescents with relatively high educational aspirations have been found to give their children more praise and approval, to show more interest and understanding, to be closer to their children, and to provide them with more of a feeling of belongingness.

The extent to which parents influence their adolescent depends upon the quality and strength of the parent-child relationship.
Adolescents need the active involvement of parents in their daily life in order to succeed.

1.6 PEER ACCEPTABILITY

The peer group plays an important role in developing a set of attitudes, values and norms in the individuals. The peer group has been described as an “aggregation of people of approximately the same age who feel and act together.” The term peer usually refers to children who are social equals and who similar on characteristics such as age. However, it has been suggested that classifying children who interact at about the same level of behavioural complexity as peer, might be more appropriate, than just focusing on equal ages (Lewis and Rosenblum, 1975).

The relationship with peer is qualitatively different from that with family members. Havighurst (1953) considers the peer group as a playgroup, which furnishes companions who, unlike adults are of approximately equal skill and strength. His relationship with people outside the family becomes increasingly important in his development.

Bruner (1965) points out that peer group is a significant source of social control in human beings. Being accepted by the peer group is an important source of happiness and self-confidence for the child. To ensure his acceptability by the peer group, the child learns that he must accept the group’s interests and values and the process of acceptance by the peer group may be an important phase, enhancing adjustment in school.

Peers are a source of social interaction rules and about how well the child is playing the game, from a different perspective than that of the family. It is the perspective of equals with common problems, goals, status and ability. Peer group is a group of individuals who have such intimate relations with an individual as are expressed by visiting, eating and drinking together in one another’s home and by the other rituals of informal
social participation. The basis of social clique is the equality of the members in social status and similarity in culture.

In an urban society peer group plays an important role as an agent of socialization. For, the family cannot keep pace with the rapid changes in urban society. Besides, the values of the younger generation will be changing faster than their parents. Thus, “the family loses and the peer group gains from this situation” (Reisman et al, 1953). Thus, the peer group plays an important role of adult socialization by providing an intimate social and emotional environment conducive to social learning.

Havighurst has also identified the following functions of the peer group:

1. It trains the child to get along with his fellows;
2. It develops a rational conscience and (with the family) gives the child a scale of values;
3. It teaches the social attitudes appropriate to the age and sex status of the child; and
4. It helps the child to attain emotional independence from his family. According to Davis, “As a learning environment for children and adolescents who wish to rise in the world (attain a higher class position), the social clique is an even more important training context than the family, which can teach him only the behavior and motivation of its own class; a social clique provides him necessary models for cultural imitation.” Similarly, Rosen pointed out that “The peer group is the chief ‘reference group’ in many matters formerly dominated by the family.”

Basic orientations towards the future determine who will be an individual’s friends. Those who plan on college are committed to academic achievement or high marks and will stand apart from those who
would like to quit school and get a job as soon as possible. When the adolescent’s peer group is college-oriented, he is much more likely to decide to go to college than when the peer group is work-oriented. The criteria set by one’s group affect his goals. A person who thinks of himself as a member of a particular group will strive for the attainments characteristic of that group. A person decides the extent to which he can perform a task well, partly by noticing what others are doing. He is most influenced by the individual with whom he identified or the group to which he feels he “belongs.” Goals in some groups are high, in others low.

Each person shows a need for peer approval: a desire to be liked and included in activities, to have his accomplishments praised, and his ideas listened to. Getting along without friction is not enough. For full pleasure in activities, he must feel that he “belongs”, that his group likes and welcomes him. During adolescence the need to belong to and identify with a group of individuals of the same age group is stronger than it is at any other period. This trend is seen in most present-day societies. Adolescents spend a great deal of time with their own age-group and the values and attitudes of their own particular set of friends, within the peer group, become an important influence on their behavior.

Adolescence is a period when learning about interpersonal behavior in various situations like social, intimate and work-oriented increases. Even a short period of an year or eighteen months can produce a remarkable change in the social maturity of the adolescent. Much of this social maturity is developed through interaction with peers. Bonds with adults reduce, as children grow older so their dependence on peers increases. Adolescents share their doubts and uncertainties about the future and their defeats and victories of the present. Peers have more time, inclination and patience to share introspective thoughts.
Peers help the adolescents in defining his sense of himself. The adolescent must be prepared to meet the expectations of his society concerning adult standards of performance in many areas e.g. social, vocational etc. The acceleration of such demands can make adolescence a very challenging period, especially if the young person is faced with many possible choices. The peer group (members of which are facing similar choices) can help the adolescent in defining his sense of self and his expectation. Peer group helps in dealing with such contradictory patterns of behavior and expectations. Adolescents generally form their own ‘culture’ that consists of exclusive values that young people imbibe and that give them a sense of belonging to an identifiable group. These values involve tastes in clothing, language, music and leisure-time activities that are rarely shared or appreciated by adults.

Since adolescents share interests and activities in common with other adolescents, this doesn’t imply that they reject parental values. Both British and American data suggest that, in societies where economic and social class differences exist, there is a greater similarity between values of parents and adolescents of one class than between those of adolescents of very different backgrounds. Adolescent relate themselves with different types of peer, which influence and speed up the process of socialization. During the school years, the child extends his activities to engage in those of his school. In this situation, he comes across other children with whom he must learn to work and play cooperatively and with whom he must learn to compete.

The child must attain certain wisdom from his peer relations. These relations are important in the development of his ability to work and associate with other people. The extent, to which he can cooperate and compete successfully with others, is related to his early association with
his classmates as well as to his experiences within the family. In the school surroundings, a child acquires the skills that bring him the acceptance and recognition of his group. He learns that his peer group appreciates certain behavior and attitudes and that other behaviour brings about the group’s displeasure. He understands the consequences of nonconformity i.e. the result of his being difficult or behaving differently from the group. The acquired practices that bring approval and recognition become a part of the child’s role behavior in group situations.

The extent to which an adolescent acquires the ability to interact cooperatively depends upon the specific intensity of his peer relations. The beginning as well as intensity of his cooperative behavior in the peer group situations will depend partly upon his ability to communicate. The extent to which he actually desires to work with or for others in a group setting depends upon the quality of his relationships. The child who has found his peer group a source of pain or who has failed to receive satisfaction from it, may choose solitary, isolated or independent occupations, or he may try to compensate for the deficiencies of his earlier relationships, in his work situations.

Richard J. Noeth, Harold B. Engel and Patricia E. Noeth in 1984 conducted a study on Career Decisions of High School Students and found that students rated friends highly in terms of helpfulness in the career decision making process.

Xin Ma and Jianjun Wang in 2001 conducted a study on Examination of Walberg’s Model of Educational Productivity in Student Career Aspiration. It was found that there was a strong direct link between educational outcomes and career aspirations. Indirect relationship between factors of educational productivity and career aspirations via educational outcomes. Peer environment was the most important indirect effect on career
aspiration through educational outcomes. Factors of educational productivity enhanced students career aspirations through improved educational outcomes of students.

Peer group also plays a significant role in vocational selection e.g. the upper-middle class youth who prefers to work with his hands in a skilled laborer’s job, may be discouraged from doing so, for fear that he will be detested by his college bound friends. As childhood advances, the individual spends more time outside the home and less time inside the home. Most of the time, he is outside the home, is spent with members of the peer group. It is clear that the peer group has a greater influence on the young adolescent’s attitudes, interests, values and behavior than the family has. This doesn’t indicate that the family’s influence is taken over by that of the peer group. The extent to which the adolescent regards them as competent guides, will determine which of them has a greater influence. When his problems are related to life, in general, the young adolescent regards his parents as more competent and when they are related to the present and specific situations, his peers are regarded as more competent to advise him and guide him. Generally, urban adolescents are more influenced by the peer group and the rural, by the family. The influence of the peer group is strengthened by the adolescent’s desire to be an accepted member of the peer group.

The degree of acceptance of different adolescents varies from very high prestige accompanied by high acceptance (as in the case of the “star”) to little or no acceptance (as is typical of the “isolate”). Characteristically, the star is more outgoing, more involved with people than with things, more careful, daring, active, easy going, and flexible, and shows more leniency in the acceptance of peer standards than does the less accepted individual. Some involuntarily withdraw from social relationships
because they find little satisfaction in such relationships. The involuntary isolate wants friends and resents his lack of acceptance by his peers. Some adolescents are not rejected, but they are merely neglected because they are so colorless and have so little to offer that they are overlooked. There are the "fringers", who have minimal acceptance and can easily lose whatever acceptance they have, by doing or saying something to annoy their peers. Then, there are the "climbers", who are trying to improve their status by identification with a more prestigious clique.

The unpopular adolescent often comes from a lower socio-economic group than the majority of his classmates, does poor schoolwork, and lacks the knowledge of social skills, which adolescents of higher socio-economic status have acquired. Few adolescents are satisfied with the degree of acceptance they have in the peer group. Most of them want to improve it. Well-directed group activity teaches concern for others, willingness to solve disputes, and pride in others’ accomplishments. Pupils learn that acting alongside others is fun, and they learn to cooperate as a team.

The widening environment promotes independence. As a child mixes with children from other homes and with community groups, his interests and activities are less attached to his own family. He proceeds with limited supervision, though his parents normally take care to know what he is doing and help him to assess which activities are right or wrong. Increasingly, more and more areas of decision are placed under the adolescent’s control, and he spends more time away from home. He has frequent occasion to mingle among strangers. His assurance is the result of his experiences over many years that, as he came into contact with a new group, he was accepted and treated pleasantly. The child’s work is constantly evaluated, praised and criticized by his peers and by his adults.
In choosing their peers, adolescents typically gravitate toward those who exhibit attitudes and values consistent with those maintained by the parents; these opinions are the beliefs ultimately adopted by the adolescents themselves. (Guerney and Arthur 1983). While peers influence adolescents regarding issues like educational aspirations and performance, in most cases there is convergence between family and peer influence.

Studies suggest that career magnet programs (schools which have students from different geographical areas) exert significant influence on students. The closest friend of career magnet graduates were more likely to be those who had career ideas. Generally, one's closest friend in high school is a chief indicator and source of social norms and values. Career magnet students (Having a best friend with career ideas) are more likely to be exposed to a surrounding in which career thinking and career planning are the norms (Flaxman, E., Guerrero, A. and Gretchen, D., 1999).

Career magnet programs encourage the formation of friendships that are more likely to be based on shared career concerns, since they bring students into a new setting, populated by students, who are likely to have shared career interests. A fairly intense relationship with a serious peer may incline parents to believe that their child, too, is serious about getting ahead. Career magnet students are also significantly more likely to describe their closest friend as being career oriented. This fact suggests that career magnet students may live in a world that is more supportive of career thinking and willingness to demonstrate concerns for the future. Career magnet students also seem to have a somewhat more realistic view of their own capabilities.

Studies have shown that friends whose choices were reciprocated were in somewhat greater agreement about educational goals
than those involved in unreciprocated choices. D.B.Kandel and G.B.Lesser conducted an investigation on the educational plans of adolescents and found that the degree of friendship was refined further by considering simultaneously whether the choice was reciprocated and whether the friend in school was the best friend overall. “The ‘very best friend’ in terms of this classification, is the best friend overall whose choice is reciprocated. Concordance on educational plans with reciprocated best friends overall is higher than for any other category of friendship”. Peer influences on educational aspirations appear to be considerably greater for girls than for boys, reaching a peak at ninth grade, and then declining.

J. Steven Picou and T. M. Carter conducted a study in 1976 on Significant Other Influence and Aspirations. It was found that peer modeling influence had the strongest effect on aspirations.

Further, a study was conducted by G. P. O’Neill in 1979 on Post Secondary Aspirations of 750 High School Seniors in Different School Contexts: A Canadian Study. It was found that the adolescent clique or subgroup (specific others) was an important factor in determining different levels of post secondary aspirations. Joint contributions of parental expectations and family SES continually accounted for more variance in aspirations than all other factors combined. Parental expectations, family SES and school peer group had statistically significant relationship with the dependent variable i.e. aspiration.

Next, a study was conducted by Mark Davies and Denise B.Kandel in 1981 on Parental and Peer Influences on 762 Adolescents Educational Plans. It was found that parental influence on their adolescent children’s aspirations was much stronger than the influence of best friends. Peer effect, though weaker than parental effect was much stronger for girls
than for boys i.e. friends appeared to be relatively unimportant in determining the aspirations of boys, but were quite influential in determining the aspirations of girls. Far from declining over the adolescent years, the influence of parents related to that of best friends increased. The greater peer orientation of girls than of boys was accompanied by greater susceptibility to the influence of peers in the form of future life plans.

There are studies, which show the part played by peer’s class status in influencing the educational and occupational aspirations of students. Wilson (1959) e.g. showed that in predominantly working class schools, only a third of the sons of working-class parents wished to go to college, whereas in predominantly middle class schools half of the working class boys had college aspirations. Simpson (1962) found that ambitious working class boys tended to have more middle class friends than unambitious boys from both the middle and the working class. Similarly, Ellis and Lane (1963), in a study of working class boys entering a high status university, found that these boys had close associations with middle class friends while they were at high school. Studies by Alexander and Campbell (1964) revealed that peers’ social class status influenced significantly the college plans of the respondents. In short, peers’ class status has a significant bearing on the aspirations of students.

Robert K. Bain and James G. Anderson in 1974 on School Context and Peer Influences on Educational Plans of Adolescents. It was found that those boys, who as a result of parental urging aspired to attend college, either had to accept some diversions from their largely non college oriented classmates or else had to find friends among a college oriented minority. The magnitude of peer influence on aspiration was established. Significant part of the similarity in aspiration between a student and his best friend is due to their mutual influence on each other. A second
significant factor is the way in which students come to assess in the first place based on similar intelligence and SES.

Positive relationship between school social class composition and the college plans of students of a given social class can be explained more in terms of peer influence than in terms of school social class-related difference in the formal education programs of the schools. The greater the proposition of students from a certain social class who attend a school, the greater the numerical opportunities and probability that a given student who attends that school will form friendships from among other students of that given social class. Once a student forms a friendship with another, he will tend to be influenced by the attitudes and values, social class linked attitudes and values of that friend.

Lower class males would rather be friends with upper class males than vice versa. The inference is that when a mutual friendship is formed the upper class person will affect the attitudes and values of the lower class person more than vice versa.

1.7 SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS

There is evidence from many studies that the SES of the family is a vital factor in the vocational of adolescents. Social status or class seems to be the important variable in terms of its effect upon educational aspirations (Hodgkins and Parr, 1965).

Vimal Shah, Tara Patel and William Sewell in 1971 conducted a study on the Social Class and Educational Aspirations of 5201 High School students in Ahmedabad and found that there was a positive relationship between the level of aspirations and social class status, when the effect of sex was controlled. This indicated that given opportunity, women could also aspire to higher education.
J.S. Gaur in 1973 conducted a study on the Factors Affecting Occupational Aspirations of Adolescents and found that SES of the students was significantly related to aspiration, when intelligence was held under control. There is a good deal of evidence to show that family class status plays an important role in influencing one’s educational and occupational aspirations e.g. Douglas found that the middle class parents took more interest in their children’s progress at school than the manual working class parents did and they became relatively more interested as their children grew older.

Social- class membership influences vocational aspirations in a number of ways. It helps to find out the types of occupations with which the individual will be familiar and which of them he will be likely to consider in preparing his occupational aims. It also plays an important role in determining the social acceptability (i.e. the reward value) of a given occupation to the adolescent and to his peers. The individual’s social-class status is related to his occupation. Certain types of occupations are considered appropriate to the members of a particular social class, others inappropriate.

W.H. Sewell, A.O. Haller and M.A. Straus in 1957 conducted a study on Social Status and Educational and Occupational Aspirations and found that the values in different status positions are important influences on educational and occupational aspirations.

Another study was conducted by Alan B. Wilson in 1959 on the Residential Segregation of Social Classes and Aspirations of High School Boys and it was found that the values of the membership groups affected the formation of aspirations and the ethics of the school affected occupational aspirations.
The adolescent who deviates from the expectations of his particular class (for occupational aspirations) may experience anxiety-producing disapproval from his peers, especially if this deviation is related to jobs associated with lower-class status. Families to a large extent influence occupational aspiration and choosing a career. Children get a chance to observe occupational roles of adults in their family. The lifestyle of the family is influenced by the occupation of the householder, even if the occupational activity is separated from families, and eventually the adolescent perceives the occupational conditions to that extent. In many cases, families provide occupational information, or are influential in giving occupational advice. In this way, families exert pressure on adolescents for particular types of occupational choices. The absence of such family pressure leads to the general disorientation in occupational aspiration. Concepts of occupational training, success, and satisfaction are the main impact of families.

Nasreen Rehman Shiekh and Usha Krishnan in 1996 conducted a study on Vocational Choice and Parental Attitude in Relation to Socio-Economic Class. It was found that there was a significant higher choice of level three vocations among the low income group. Children’s perception of parental acceptance was accompanied by their choice of more person oriented vocations than those who perceived parental rejection. Sex * Acceptance – Rejection interactive effect was significant.

Studies conducted in the U.S. as well as other countries have shown that socioeconomic status is significantly related to level of aspiration. H. S. Bedi in 1982 conducted a study on Aspirations of 750 Adolescents as Related to SES, Intelligence and Sex and found that SES had a significant relationship with adolescent educational and occupational aspirations.
Upper-middle- and upper class youth have traditionally aspired to higher educational levels than their lower-middle- and lower class peers. LaMar T. Empey in 1956 conducted a study on Social Class and Occupational Aspirations and found that absolute occupational status aspiration of high school seniors from middle and upper classes were significantly higher than those of seniors from lower classes. Youth from higher socio-economic levels tend to view education as having intrinsic values, quite apart from its function of increasing vocational opportunities and economic awards.

Most young people aspire to jobs with a somewhat higher socio-economic status than those of their parents. The study conducted by LaMar T. Empey in 1956 indicated that the relative occupational status aspiration of low class seniors will indicate that they prefer and anticipate significantly higher occupational status than their fathers.

The relation of social class membership to vocational aspiration was clearly demonstrated in an investigation of all graduating seniors in Wisconsin’s public and private high schools. Students were asked to state the occupations that they hoped eventually to enter. Their choices were then assigned “prestige scores”. It was found that subjects in the lower third of the student population in socio-economic status aspired to high prestige occupations, significantly less often than would be expected by chance; conversely, students in the upper third aspired to such occupations, significantly more often than would be expected. Furthermore, the later actual occupational attainments of lower socio-economic status were close to their expectations.

Lower-status occupational choices are contradictory to the parental ideas about the suitable behavior for a member of their social class and as a result, are likely to be discouraged. The parents may also fear
that such a choice may lead to social disapproval, both of their child and as well as themselves. They may also worry that the child may not be able to live in the same neighborhood as the other members of his social class, to afford the same social, educational and recreational advantages for himself and his family.

The child gradually becomes aware of his assets, liabilities, and interests, through his school experiences, which lay the foundation of his educational and vocational aspirations. Middle-class children are taught to be anxious and concerned about the impressions they make and to struggle to attain high standards. "Something terrible will happen if you don’t try hard in school, if you don’t earn a place for yourself, if you don’t find a distinctive job etc." Middle -class parents keep the pressure on. Some of them criticize the child severely for not coming up to their standards because they feel they must make him ambitious and industrious.

The school is a path to attain high social status. Ways to improve one’s social status are available only to a few. Joe DiMaggio provides an excellent example. The route, millions of families count on, whereby their children can earn incomes and respect, is education. The middle-class therefore, wants their children to stay in school and to profit from it. They are eager that their children should have good grammar and good spellings as these win middle-class respect. Such refinements are not important to lower class members.

Joseph C. Pentecoste in 1975 conducted a study on Occupational Levels and Perception of the World of Work in the Inner City. He found that students in the medium level group scored significantly higher than those in the low occupational level group on creative – independence, personal satisfaction, co- worker concern, aspiration and security.
Studies of American culture show that middle-class children are taught to give far more importance to future than to present pleasures. By contrast, some low-class children are taught to take advantage of each moment as it comes and to let tomorrow take care of itself.

The acceptance of a child depends on his capacity to do the things that command prestige in his group. These include looking clean, acceptably dressed, doing fairly good schoolwork, if he is a middle-class child. In a lower-class group, neatness or too much facility in school may bring rejection. The lower-class boy wins esteem by being very masculine and rugged. Each social group sets up ideals for it's members to follow, and the person who wins acceptance is the person who shares his peers' ideals.

Some studies have shown that sons from high status, upwardly mobile families, were found to be more aspiring as adolescents and the most assertive in their appraisals. Simpson (1962), however, found that among boys aspiring to higher occupations, the proportion of those whose parents had advised them to enter the professions was much higher than the proportion of such among lower aspirers.

Gerald W. McLaughlin, W. Kevin Hunt and James R. Montgomery in 1976 conducted a study on SES and Career Aspirations and Perceptions of Women Seniors in High School. It was found that SES affected the occupational/ educational aspirations of women. With regard to education, women from high and middle groups in SES were more inclined to attend four year colleges, whereas those in the lower groups favoured community colleges and technical schools. Women with high SES emphasized selecting a career that would allow them to achieve personal goals and skills. Women with a low SES had low aspirations.

Future of women was strongly influenced in a predictable direction by their parents' SES. A positive relationship existed between SES
and educational aspiration among women high school seniors. All SES
groups planned to pursue education beyond high school. A higher
percentage of women in the higher groups wanted to obtain a bachelor’s
degree than from any other group. Women in the low group viewed
additional education after school as a means to develop additional vocational
capacities. Careers for women still remained prominent but they did decline
as SES increased.

Aspiration for attending college, finishing high school
and going to work or dropping out of school relate to the adolescent’s total
background. Perin H. Metha, R.K. Mathur and Daya Pant in 1987
conducted a study on Influence on Level of Occupational Aspirations of
Adolescents. It was found that there was a strong indication of sex difference
on level of aspirations in favour of girls among both semi – urban and urban
students. Girls had a higher level of aspiration than boys. In case of girls,
significant predictors of level of aspirations turned out to be SES global
index. Girls who belonged to educated and high SES families may have
learnt to aspire to a career, because of the more permissive attitude of their
parents in comparison with low SES and less educated parents, who tended
to view girls as taking up the role of housewives only. Classmates, peers and
friends have a significant influence on the adolescent’s educational
aspirations. The lower- class adolescent is more likely, with parental
approval and even urging, to leave school and to get a job earlier than his
middle or upper- class counterparts. There is also pressure from the family to
have him stay at home and contribute his earnings to the family.

There is considerable data that indicate that lower-
income parents value education as much as higher-status ones but their own
lack of education impedes the transmission of this value. If there are
multiple children, parents are more likely to invest in the education of those
children who appear motivated to succeed. The majority of boys and girls from the upper and middle-class group have educational and vocational objectives. This is not true of those from the lower occupational groups. Adolescents from the middle class who aspire to a manual vocational objective are apt to have selected a skilled occupation. Their intelligence test scores and educational records are definitely inferior to those aspiring to white-collar occupations. They are significantly more impulsive, submissive and concerned with overt activity than boys from the same home background, who choose to remain at that occupational level.

Studies have shown that the majority of high school seniors from the manual home background have adopted white-collar vocational aspirations. These boys occupy a position midway between the manual aspirants and the white-collar background boys choosing white-collar vocations. Their intelligence test scores are superior to those choosing manual vocations but inferior to those of the stable white-collar group. They are characterized by restraint and thoughtfulness. They are also more concerned with overt activity. The boy who is stable at the manual occupational level or who is moving downward is, conversely, impulsive and much concerned with overt activity. The notion that upward mobility is a result of poor adjustment is not supported by this study. Rather, it appears that such boys are well adjusted at school and have adopted many values found among the white-collar group.

Students from higher socio-economic status families also perceived more interaction with their parents about careers and greater degrees of parental participation in school. Benjamin Pope in 1953 conducted a study on socio-economic contrasts in children’s peer culture, prestige values and found that early adolescence in the upper social classes placed a higher value on conforming to adult standards and conventional
codes of conduct than those in the lower socio-economic classes, who emphasized self-assertion and aggression.

There are a number of reasons students’ family experiences may be enhanced by higher socio-economic status. Greater family economic resources may permit more ready access to at least some resources supportive of intellectual, cultural, and recreational activities. Economic resources may also permit family members to more easily achieve physical and psychological distance from one another, serving to reduce conflict and enhance cohesion and sociability.

Joseph C. Pentecoste in 1975 conducted a study on Occupational Levels and Perception of the World of Work in the Inner City and found that students in high occupational level group scored significantly higher than those in the medium and low occupational level groups on three of the need scales – creative-independence, belongingness, security- and higher than the low occupational level group on higher actualization.

A study was conducted by S.L. Chopra in 1982 on Some Non-Intellectual Correlates of Academic Achievement. It was found that socio-economic background was a very important determinant for continuation of education. Parents from higher socio-economic classes gave greater help and encouragement to their children for studies. Students from higher socio-economic classes had higher educational and occupational aspirations. A larger number of students from higher socio-economic classes did some planning for a future career in life.

D. Dabir conducted a study in 1986 on Vocational Aspirations as a Function of Aptitude and Motivation Patterns among the Boys and Girls Studying in IX, X and XI graders in Nagpur District. It was found that the relationship between SES and vocational aspiration was predominant. Vocational aspiration was a function of SES of the subjects.
The SES of boys as well as girls contributed most considerably to vocational aspirations. Vocational aspirations were generally influenced more by the SES of the subjects than any other variable studied in the project.

Higher education may lead to greater awareness of growth opportunities and perhaps enhance comfort in interacting with educational associates outside the family.

F.G. Caro conducted a study on 71 working-class and 73 middle-class male high school seniors who were asked to describe the desirability of two sets of occupations on a seven-point scale. The results indicated that students from both middle- and working-class backgrounds preferred the set of high prestige positions to those of medium prestige, but middle-class students ranked high prestige occupations as higher and medium-prestige occupations lower than working class students did. It appears that middle-class students have strong preferences for high prestige occupations, whereas working-class students are more flexible or less immediately concerned about the occupational futures.

With respect to probable job outcome, middle-class students perceived themselves as more likely than working-class students actually to achieve high prestige occupations. Incidentally, both groups also viewed college as increasing the chances of a high prestige job; however, working-class students perceived college as not likely to ensure such a result, and they showed only a slight preference for college over work as an immediate post-high school activity. In contrast, middle-class students showed a strong preference for college. Working-class students, perceiving high-prestige occupations as less accessible to them, tend to protect themselves from possible disappointment by placing less value on high-prestige positions and more value on medium-prestige positions. Nevertheless, like their middle-class peers, they still tend to view high-
prestige positions as more desirable, though the differences are not nearly so wide as the case of middle-class students.

Some people rise in social status. Others fall in social status and fit into a slot below their parents’ level. This shifting is referred to as social mobility. A parent who wants his child to be upwardly mobile tries to teach him the living patterns and values of a group higher than his own. Therefore, the school receives a number of lower class pupils who possess middle-class attitudes and anxieties. Within the middle-class, many are using the school to climb to a higher prestige level.

Social stratification is the hierarchical ranking of people within society along one or more dimensions of inequality based on a certain combination of real and perceived income, wealth, power; social standing, age, and ethnicity, as well as other social (and sometimes physical) characteristics. Because, directly or indirectly, these have been derived from the division of labor, and also because occupations have become the most distinguishing characteristic of modern societies, in most cases occupations are used as the basis for social stratification scale. This means that most social stratification scales are hierarchical rankings of occupations along one or more dimensions of inequality based on a certain combination of real and perceived characteristics of all the individuals in that occupation. The importance of hierarchical rankings is that occupations differ precisely in the aspect of resources e.g. income, wealth or power. This may lead to differences in people’s life chances such as health and those of their offspring (e.g. children’s educational attainment).

Alan B. Wilson in 1959 conducted a study on the Residential Segregation of Social Classes and Aspirations of High School Boys and found that residential segregation of social classes in an urban area affected the climate of the school, the membership and thereby influenced
the motivations of the child. Socio-economic stratification scales try to summarize the cultural and economic resources related with different occupations. In their simplest but still effective form they are constructed by the averaging of the mean income and educational level of all individuals within an occupation; and then these averages of occupations are projected into one socio-economic scale. Social stratification includes different opportunities for the various groups in the society. In other words, one’s socio-economic status influence one’s attitudes, perceptions and aspirations e.g. individuals with better social and economic status have the chance of acquiring an advanced education and of rising to higher positions.

Francis-Dee Burlin conducted a study in 1976 on The Relationship of Parental Education and Maternal Work and Occupational Status to Occupational Aspirations in Adolescent Females. It was found that significant association existed between occupational aspirations and father’s education, between occupational aspirations and mother’s occupational status (Traditional or non traditional).

The role of socio-economic status in influencing the educational and occupational aspirations of adolescent can be examined under material life chances, working conditions and opportunities for status. Socio-economic status, which is largely determined by, one’s occupation (including rent from building) determines one’s material life chances such as standard of living, housing, clothing, neighbourhood etc. Children coming from the higher social classes have more material facilities, which provide an initial advantage to the children of the upper strata and enhance their self-concept. On the other hand, the poor material life chances of the lower classes will lower their self-concept and as a result hamper their efforts to attain upward mobility e.g. the low income of parents will discourage their children from continuing their schooling. The percentage of drop-outs is
also larger, as children among the lower classes are treated as addition to the family labour force and as such a supplementary source of income. In short, one’s socio-economic status determines one’s educational and occupational aspirations.

Simpson, Richard L., David R. Rorsworthy and H. Maxmiller in 1960 conducted a study on Occupational Choice and Mobility in the Urbanizing Piedmont of North Carolina. It was found that most of the boys whose fathers’ occupations are in the higher scale plan to go to college. 16.4% of those whose fathers’ occupations were in the lowest of the four occupational groups plan to go to college. The educational choices made while in high school vary with the occupational background of the students. A positive relationship was found between the sons’ expected future earnings and the present earnings of their fathers.

Next, a study was conducted by A. Furlong and F. Cartmel in 1995 on Aspirations and Opportunity Structures of 13 Year Olds in Areas With Restricted Opportunities. It was found that differences in aspirations were largely explained by the socio-economic location of families rather than by the structure of the local labour market. Subjects from the depressed urban area were least likely to have considered participating in post compulsory education at any level and tended to have a relatively restricted range of occupational aspirations.

After this a study was conducted by Grace Kao and Marta Tienda in 1998 on Educational Aspirations of Minority Youth. It was found that parental SES exerted a strong influence on educational aspirations and was vital to their maintainance through the high school years. There were strong effects of family background on aspirations to attend college. Similar to it’s influence on boys, parental SES strongly influenced the
educational aspirations of girls. Family structure influenced girls but not boys’ educational aspirations.

Parents who have higher SES, typically have higher prestige occupations and thus may serve as role models for their child’s own occupational aspirations. Adolescents witness first hand the benefits of high achievement and high aspirations and the relationship between achievement and occupational success, which may enhance adolescent’s motivations and aspirations for their future (Child Development, 2004).

Different occupations have different types of working conditions e.g. manual occupations are often carried out in unpleasant working conditions. It also involves risk, dirt and physical strain. Generally, it is basically less rewarding, and seems as such, even when it is highly paid. It is less likely to involve authority, responsibility or power. On the other hand, non-manual work, which consists of white-collar jobs, is rated high. It ensures a comparatively high degree of safety, leisure and comfort. It is rational to accept that these drastically different life- and work- experiences will be reflected in the attitudes of the children coming from parents in manual and non-manual occupations. Working class in western society will value those aspects (e.g. job security) in their working situation that are not valued by the upper classes. Studies have shown that those in higher status occupations report more job satisfaction and are more likely to want a job, which is interesting and stimulating.

Every society designates different status to different occupations. Manual work is low in the prestige or status hierarchy of all modern societies. In India, both in the traditional and modern setting, manual work is rated low. “Among occupations, those involving manual work are rated lower than those which do not. Manual occupations may involve the handling of dirt or polluting objects”. And this low status
attributed to manual work, and especially to unskilled work, will influence the worker’s self-esteem. It will develop a sense of inferiority in the worker. He may accept the opinion of others as his lack of ability and may transfer this to his children. His ambition under such conditions may appear inappropriate or even absurd. Parents with such an attitude may fail to encourage their children to ‘achieve’. They may not even acknowledge the achievement of their children unless their attention is drawn to it.

Parents from low SES background may not feel comfortable with or capable of assisting their children with their schoolwork. Low SES parents may not become involved in their children’s’ schooling in ways that enhance or change school behaviour or performance, but their involvement may communicate their expectations for their adolescents’ future success and upward mobility. Similarly, Jordan and Plank (2000) found that the lack of guidance and support of parents was the primary reason; talented, low income, middle class students were less likely to attend college, despite their parental aspiration and involvement.

Trusty (1999) suggested that children from low SES backgrounds may model their parents’ low levels of educational attainment and low SES families have not experienced the benefits associated with educational success. It is more difficult for parents of low SES backgrounds to influence positively their children’s education (Child Development, 2004).

The relationship adolescents have with their parents has been found to be an important dimension of career development. Structural variables such as socio-economic status directly influence career development outcomes (Whiston and Keller, 2004).

During the high school years, students make significant career decisions regarding their educational and career plans (Gushue, G.V.
and Whitson, M.L., 2006). Last year in high school is an important period in adolescents’ career decision-making process (Germeijs, V. and Verschueren, 2006).

The above discussion was mainly related to the studies conducted by various scholars and researchers in the particular field of educational and vocational aspirations with reference to parental encouragement, peer acceptability and socio-economic status. In the forthcoming chapters, the objectives of the study, the significance of the study, the method of conducting the present study, analysis of the data collected, its interpretation etc. have been discussed.
CHAPTER - II

THE PROBLEM

It is generally perceived that there exists some relationship or influence of parental encouragement on the educational and vocational aspirations of children during adolescence. During this period they form their views on the choices they would like to make in future. The degree of influence may vary from individual to individual, the section of the society they belong to, their economic, social and cultural level in the society. It is commonly known that the socio-economic level influences the nature of the parental behaviour and attitudes towards the adolescents. The educational and vocational aspirations of the adolescents could generally be influenced by the interaction among these three independent variables - parental encouragement, peer group acceptability and socio-economic status. The current study is an attempt to evaluate the influence of parental encouragement, peer acceptability and socio-economic status on the educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents in the Indian context.

Level of aspiration is a psychological construct which reflects a cognitive type of motivation of the individual. James Drever explains it as a frame of reference involving self esteem or alternatively as a standard with reference to which an individual experiences i.e. has the feeling of success or failure. Thus four main points are distinguished in a typical sequence of events in a level of aspiration situation:

1) Last performance
2) Setting of level of aspiration for the next performance
3) New Performance
4) Psychological reaction to the new performance

The difference between the level of the last performance and that of the new goal is called ‘Goal Discrepancy’ whereas the difference between the goal level and that of the new performance is called ‘Attainment Discrepancy’. The greater the discrepancy, whether goal or attainment, the lesser the chances of attaining the goal and the wider the frustration that the individual may experience. Thus, neither the over-estimation, nor the under estimation, whatsoever they may be, but it is the realistic estimation in terms of least goal or attainment discrepancy, that brings home the highest level of satisfaction ascertaining his reality oriented personality and consistency between his goal setting behaviour and his ability and his efforts to attain the same (Sharma, V.P. and Gupta, A., Educational Aspiration Scale).

Vocational aspirations and choices do not appear all of a sudden during adolescence but as a result of a developmental process. The vocational preferences of the developing child are subject to continual change. For the young child, the chosen occupation is often the one which is identified with adults. Stated preferences may be the child’s indentification with that part of the adult world which he or she sees as being most pleasurable. As the child develops a greater degree of individuality, a growing awareness of the self evolves interests, capacities and values which begin to influence preferences. At a later stage, the need to face the reality of the occupational world and to find a place therein may again significantly influence choice.

The vocational development of the individual, which is a continuous process, beginning in early childhood and continuing into the late years of life, appears to be as much influenced by his family as are other aspects of his development. The abilities, interests, physique and personality
of an individual play an important role in his vocational development (Kochhar, S.K., 2000).

Parental encouragement as a term in education has very explicitly been defined by Rossi(1965) in these words “when father and mother approve or appreciate any activity related to education or revoke any hurdle felt by the student in the process, or guide him the right and wrong – this entire spectrum activity comes within the purview of parental encouragement”. Parental encouragement is of great significance in developing psychological as well as academic behaviour of a child.

Parental encouragement consists of parental help for the child, guidance and coaxing him so that he may not feel disheartened at particular points of difficulties. It may be in the form of approval or it may be in the form of asking the child to modify his behaviour. In case it creates avoidance behaviour in the child, that accounts to discouragement (Sharma, R.R., Parental Encouragement Scale).

Parental encouragement is an important factor affecting the development of educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents. The adolescent sees his parents as role models. In case of educational aspirations he needs a certain level of encouragement from his parents in terms of guidance, help etc. When he receives this type of positive response from his parents he may feel motivated to aspire for higher academic qualifications. He may feel that he is appreciated and recognised for his honest efforts and meritorious success. As a result he may feel that he is noteworthy and has something worthwhile to give to the society. This may build up a confidence in him that he can tackle all the problems in life successfully. Eventually, he may feel that he can aspire for reaching heights in his academic career.
Vocational aspirations of adolescents are also affected by parental encouragement. While the adolescent is planning a career, he needs the support of his parents. He seeks the opinion and advice of his parents regarding his career aspirations. He would always want to be aware of the point of view of his parents concerning his future occupational aspirations. He would value their ideas more because they would have more experience than him. Accordingly he would be made aware by his parents about the pros and cons of various occupations. He could modify his occupational aspirations according to the parental encouragement he receives.

Human beings are the most dependent upon social relationships for their happiness and growth. One of the important functions of education, therefore, is the development of capacity to enter into social relationships with others. Education is considered to fall short of it's aim if the individual remains incapable of establishing rapport with others to a degree necessary to coordinate the common activities of his life with others. By suitably directing the growth process, education attempts to broaden and deepen the manner and kind of his participation in the social milieu of which he remains a part.

Adolescence is the period of social adjustment. In this period the individual learns many lessons in social relationships. In the early part of this period his or her friendship is developed with intense emotional bond. In later adolescence individualistic and intimate friendship develops. There are strong feelings and firm loyalties attached with friendship.

The main objective of classroom activities is to help pupils learn skills and information effectively and economically. The social and environmental factors in the classroom are the product of interaction between teachers and taught and between the taught and the taught. The
students who are not accepted by the peer group cannot take adequate interest in the work.

A socio-psychological approach in education, therefore, implies study of school and classroom groups. Classroom group is a kind of working group and the participants are joined together with a purpose. The teacher provides leadership and controls the activities of the group and members of the group are bound together by explicit and implicit relationships. If the teacher wishes to change his pupils into intellectually developed, socially active, emotionally balanced and creative individuals he must have proper understanding of the social processes going on in his class. The best way to gain knowledge of these processes could be through study of social interaction.

In the classroom the happiness and effectiveness of the individual child depends upon the degree of cordiality of his relationship with the classmates. If they are able to cooperate and develop friendly relationship with the classmates they can work more effectively. Those who are not able to have cordial relations with others are isolated.

Sometimes the students who are not accepted by their classmates may try to mend their behaviour to become socially more acceptable. But some of them when rejected, may react adversely. They may develop hatred for others and may start indulging in antisocial activities. It is therefore necessary that the students should be acceptable to their classmates and the teachers should pay adequate attention to the phenomenon of social acceptability so as to be able to help those who are socially less acceptable (Chopra, S.L., The Test for Social Acceptability Among Peers).

Peer group acceptability may also influence vocational and educational aspirations of adolescents. The peer group is very important for
the adolescent as he spends a lot of time with them. Most of his ideas, thoughts, beliefs etc, tend to be modified according to his peer group. As a result, he could build up his educational and vocational aspirations according to the beliefs and values of his peer group. He may modify his aspirations according to his peer group to win their approval and to be included in it. If his peer group engages in socially approved activities, then it is constructive. It may have a good and positive influence on the adolescents. He may also participate in constructive activities to win their approval and acceptance. As a result his educational as well as occupational aspirations may also be high.

Social status is an indication of one’s position of respect, prestige and influence in the social structure (Rogers, 1962). Apart from his personal attributes which may either inhibit or enhance an individual’s access to sources of information and his willingness to deviate from group norms and may even vary with the groups. The word ‘economic’ is used generally for the motives involving earning and livelihood, the accumulation of wealth and the like (Drever, 1964).

The economic endeavour entails cherishing of things because of their material value and the pursuer, by virtue of this activity, carves for himself a place in society recognised as economic status. Economic status thus stratifies modern population according to the amount and source of income which is usually derived from a set of occupational activities, the ownership of property or both. The socio- economic status is obviously a blending of two statuses as enumerated earlier. Though none of the two can exist without each other yet they are distinctly different.

The socio- economic status of the family appears to exercise the most potent influence of all. The level and quality of education available and aspired for, as well as the level of work accessible and aspired
for are greatly affected by the family's finances and social contacts. The upper middle class parents tend to have higher educational and vocational aspirations for their children than do the lower class parents. Thus, their children have higher aspirations either as a result of pressure from their parents or as a result of internalising parental pressures or both.

Among very affluent families, the level of educational and vocational aspiration may be low, and a person with good abilities may not be sufficiently motivated to concentrate on studies and strike out a vocational path for himself, thus may fail to actualise his potentialities. Persons who belong to families from the higher socio-economic strata also have better chances of finding employment at a higher level because their parents, and they themselves have more contacts with people who are well to do and influential, people who are employers rather than employees. In setting up a professional practice or business too, individuals from the higher socio-economic strata are at an advantage because of the capital available to them as well as the well to do friends and acquaintances who are potential clients. Economics too plays an important role in the vocational choice and development of an individual. While planning the career, the individual, naturally, avoids the vocations with low wages and low prestige even though these may be the ones in which he is interested the most (Kochhar, S.K., 2000).

2.1 THE PROBLEM IDENTIFIED AND DEFINED

Attitudes towards various occupations as well as towards work itself are learnt in the home and play their part in developing in the individual preference for certain occupations and dislike for others. This is how people come to consider occupations as ranking high or low in prestige, as clean or dirty, as safe or risky, as purposeful or frivolous e.g. the
individual who grows up in a home where manual work is looked down upon as being uncultured and dirty, is likely to develop the attitude that manual work is beneath his dignity. This single factor is responsible for most of the people in our country seeking white collar jobs and remaining unemployed while jobs requiring skilled and semi skilled work go begging (Kochhar, S.K., 2000).

The adolescent may mould his educational and vocational aspirations according to the basic attitude of his parents towards academics as well as various professions. If his parents support him he has complete freedom and liberty to take decisions regarding his future. Therefore, he would make the right choice concerning his future career, keeping all the aspects in mind. If he is given enough freedom to think about his career he may not feel pressurised and may arrive to the right decisions regarding his educational as well as vocational aspirations. On the other hand, if his parents do not support or encourage him he may feel disheartened and he may modify his educational and vocational aspirations accordingly. Thus, parental encouragement is crucial in influencing the educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents.

The peer group is also an important aspect of adolescence. The adolescent wants to be involved and identified with the peer group which he admires. As a result, he may modify his educational and vocational aspirations according to the beliefs and values of the peer group which he wants to enter. If the peer group does socially productive and useful work it may have a positive effect on the adolescents. He may formulate his educational and vocational aspirations accordingly. On the other hand, if the peer group is destructive and engaging in some anti social activity it could be harmful for the adolescents. He could be mislead into
developing bad habits. He could lose concentration in his studies and stop thinking about his academic future just to please the members of the peer group. This could be very damaging to his career. He could modify his educational as well as vocational aspirations accordingly. Therefore, peer group acceptability also plays a vital role in influencing the development of educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents.

Socio-economic status (SES) also plays an important role in the formulation of aspirations of adolescents. SES of the family basically determines the level and quality of education that can be provided to the adolescents. The extent to which the adolescents can be educated as well as the kind of courses which a family can afford to provide for the child depends on the SES. As the adolescents start becoming aware of the status of his family he may understand the extent to which his family can afford to educate him. He may realize the extent to which his family could support him financially for the progress of his career. He may become aware of the economic constraints of his family regarding the expenses related to various professional as well as non-professional courses. As a result he may modify his educational and vocational aspirations keeping in mind his SES.

All the aspects discussed above are just a few factors which may influence educational and vocational aspiration of adolescents. Besides them, there are a large number of other factors which may affect the aspirations of adolescents but due to lack of time the investigator has studied only a few of them.

2.2.1 STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The present study is concerned with the influence of parental encouragement, peer acceptability and socio-economic status on
the development of educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents (12–15 years).

2.2 DEFINITIONS OF THE TERMS USED:

**Aspiration:**

It is a strong desire to reach something high or great. It also indicates the goals the individual sets for himself in a task which has intense personal significance for him or in which he is ego-involved.

**Educational Aspiration:**

It is defined in terms of the level of future performance in familiar tasks which an individual, knowing his level of past performance in those tasks, explicitly undertakes to reach. (Sharma, V.P. and Gupta, Anuradha, Educational Aspiration Scale).

**Vocational Aspiration:**

It is defined as an orientation towards an occupational goal. It is considered as a concept which is logically a specific instance of the concept level of aspiration. (Grewal, J.S., Occupational Aspiration Scale)

**Parental Encouragement:**

Parental encouragement means the hope, confidence or urge, which parents provide to their children. It includes not only to communicate about work and careers with their children but to show faith in their children's abilities to be successful.

**Peer Group Acceptability:**

A peer group is conceived as a small group of similarly aged fairly close friends sharing the same activities who are of equal rank, ability and interest. Social acceptability is the extent to which a person's company is regarded as rewarding to others in intimate face-to-face relationship. The person's company is accepted till it is rewarding and reinforcing for others. (Hurlock, E.)
**Socio-Economic Status:**

It is conceptualized as an attribute of an individual or the person's family or household that consists of different dimensions like occupational status, wealth, prestige etc.

2.3 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1. To assess the influence of parental encouragement on the development of educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents.
2. To assess the influence of peer group social acceptability on the development of educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents.
3. To assess the influence of SES on the development of educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents.
4. To assess the influence of interactive effects of parental encouragement, peer group social acceptability and SES on the development of educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents.

2.4 HYPOTHESES

1. Parental encouragement influences the development of educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents.
2. High socio-economic status adolescents have higher educational and vocational aspirations than low socio-economic status.
3. Peer group social acceptability influences the development of educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents.
4. Parental encouragement, peer group social acceptability and SES have an interactive influence on the development of educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents.
2.5 SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

During the course of his school days, a child exercises his ability to make choices of a varied nature. At the end of this period, he is expected to make a major choice of selecting a stream of studies that would lead up to a vocation. This may be done with or without help. The help may range from vocational counseling, to teacher and parent support or peer influence. The present study is important in society because parents, peer and socio-economic status of adolescents play a vital role in the formation of their aspirations. The current study is significant in the following ways:

1. Every individual should have a goal in life. Adolescents are also expected to have such goals, aspirations etc. Aspiration is an important aspect of the life of the adolescents. Aspirations play a major role in the future progress of the adolescents. Nobody can go ahead in life without aspirations. Such studies can be used to find out the level of aspirations of the adolescents so that the counselors can advise them to plan their future life.

2. Choice of a vocation is very important because an individual has to live with this decision for a no. of years of his adult life. The future vocational as well as educational plans of adolescents play an important role in the choice of a vocation. These issues familiar to most young people throughout their school years become more critical during adolescence as youths approach major life decisions with respect to further educational and occupational plans. The adolescents are expected a sense of identity. The decisions related to work could be viewed as major concerns around which these young people
establish a view of themselves in relation to adult societal roles. So the present study is important career guidance decisions of adolescents.

3. The adolescents are expected to develop realistic and socially desirable educational and vocational aspirations. Such studies can be used to find out level of aspirations of the adolescents so that the counselors can identify the adolescents who may have unrealistic aspirations. Counselors can convince the adolescents to modify their aspirations to make them more realistic.

4. Parental encouragement is an integral part in formation of the educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents. Such studies can be used to assess the extent of parental encouragement, which an adolescent receives at home. If it is found that the parental encouragement, which the adolescent gets, is low, then the counselors can try to convince the parents to change their attitude.

5. Such studies can be used to identify the extent of parental support in the life of the adolescents. This is important for the formation of their educational and vocational aspirations. Counselors can improve the rapport between the parents and their children. They can provide opportunities for increasing the communication between them thereby, laying a strong foundation for their future life.

6. Peer group acceptability has a role in the formation of educational and vocational aspirations. Such studies can be used to find out the degree of peer group acceptability of the child in his class. If a child is an isolate, then the counselors can find out the reasons behind it and guide him to develop socially desirable attitudes. He might be having aggressive attitudes, which may hinder the formation of educational and vocational aspirations. So, the counselors can include them in
group activities of the class and identify their special talents so that the other children may appreciate them. This may bring about change in their attitudes, aspirations etc.

7. Socio-economic status is a crucial factor in determining the educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents. Such studies can be used to identify the differences in aspirations of the adolescents of high and low socio-economic status. Counselors can advise the children to modify their aspirations and make them more realistic according to their socio-economic status.

8. Such studies can be used to find out the educational and vocational aspirations of the adolescents and help them to choose a vocation, which would provide them with immense job satisfaction. This would help them to work in an enthusiastic manner, leading to excellence in their performance, as they would be able to utilize their capacities to the maximum extent. This could enhance their creativity too and they would develop a feeling of fulfillment. This could help in the development of their self-esteem and personality.

2.6 DELIMITATIONS

1. There are many factors affecting development of educational and vocational aspirations but in this study only three factors have been taken due to lack of time.

2. Younger age groups of students have not been taken because the development of their educational and vocational aspirations is in an initial stage.

3. Older age group of students have not been taken because their educational and vocational aspirations have already been formed so the effect of factors affecting aspirations is marginal.
CHAPTER-III

METHODOLOGY

Research, according to J.W. Best, may be defined as the systematic and objective analysis and recording of controlled observations that may lead to the development of generalizations, principles or theories, resulting in prediction and possibly ultimate control of events. Educational research is a careful and systematic investigation into any aspect of education. It is derived from the French word ‘recherche’ which means to travel or survey (Dept. of Curriculum and Teaching, University of New York) Educational research is of various types.

The present study comes under the category of descriptive research. Descriptive research is the one, which describes, records, analyses and interprets the conditions, which exist. In such a research an attempt is made to discover the relationship between existing non- manipulated variables apart from some comparison or contrast among those variables. Thus descriptive research basically describes what is. This descriptive research is also of various types. The present study belongs to the category of ex post facto research.

Ex post facto research is that empirical investigation in which the investigator draws the inference regarding the relationship between the variables on the basis of such independent variables whose manifestations have already occurred. In the present study, the dependent variables are educational and vocational aspirations while the independent variables are parental encouragement, peer group acceptability and socio-economic status. In this type of research the investigator has no direct control over the independent variables because they occur much prior to producing their effects.
In this type of research the investigators attempt to trace an effect, which has already occurred to its probable causes. The effect becomes the dependent variable and the probable causes become the independent variable. Thus in this type of research the manifestation of independent variables occur first and then its effect becomes obvious to the investigator. Since the independent variables have already occurred, the investigator has no direct control over such variables. Manipulation of the independent variable becomes difficult.

This research is considered to be important in behavioural researches where variables cannot be manipulated. This research is useful in the studies where one wants to investigate causes on the basis of the effect.

3.1 **UNIVERSE:** The data for the present study was taken from the schools of Aligarh city. This city is located in Western Uttar Pradesh. According to the 1991 census, the geographical area of Aligarh is 3700 square Km. Six schools have been selected for the present study. In fact no single institution can depict the true picture of our country in its entirety but there are advantages to limiting the study to a few institutions. Similar studies of a few institutions undertaken in different parts of the country may lead to generalizations to explain the phenomenon of aspirations of adolescents.

3.1.1 **SAMPLE:** A sample is selected and studied to infer the characteristics of the whole population as it is not possible to study the entire population. A sample is a small representative portion of the population. There are a large number of sampling techniques available in research. In the present study, the investigator has used the technique of incidental as well as cluster sampling.
Incidental/Accidental Sampling:- It is a type of sampling procedure in which the investigator selects the persons according to his/her convenience. The researcher is mainly guided by convenience and economy. This method saves time, money and labour.

Cluster Sampling:- In cluster sampling, clusters are uniform groups. Clusters are selected randomly and combined to form a sample. Large scale surveys of political, religious and social behaviour are easily conducted by this method. Thus this sample also has the characteristics of cluster sampling besides, that of incidental sampling.

The investigator collected data from six schools of Aligarh city. They have been given in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Name of the School</th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>Girls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>H.B. High School</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>D.S. High School</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>S.S. High School</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Modern Convent School</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Chiranjilal High School</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Maheshwari High School</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sample consisted of 400 students. Out of them, there were 200 boys and 200 girls. These students were adolescents belonging to the age group of 12-15 years. They belonged to the standards VII, VIII, IX and X. These students came from different socio-economic strata of the society.

3.2 DATA COLLECTION:- In this study the investigator has used standardized questionnaires to determine the parental encouragement, socio-economic status, peer acceptability, vocational as well as educational aspirations of the adolescents. These questionnaires were administered to the
students and they were asked to respond to all of them. The incomplete ones were rejected. Only the complete ones were included in the study. The questionnaires were in the vernacular language so that the students would find it easy to understand the questions.

**Tools used in the study:** The main tools used in this study were:

3.2.1 **Parental Encouragement Scale (PES) - R.R.Sharma**

Parental encouragement refers to the treatment originating from parents towards the child with a view to enhance the possibilities of future occurrences of good behaviour by care, concern, approval and guidance. The parental encouragement scale has been developed to measure the degree or amount of encouragement which a child receives from his parents and also to categorize the students in terms of the degree/amount of their perpetual encouragement. It has been designed for the student population upon higher secondary stage.

**Reliability:** The reliability of the scale was measured firstly by split half method and the value was found to be 0.83. Secondly, two test-retest reliabilities were determined – one after an interval of two weeks and the other of four weeks. The value of these two reliabilities were found to be 0.73 and 0.76 respectively.

**Validity:** Two indices of validity of the PES were worked out:

1) Content Validity:- The contents of each item of the PES was critically examined by five judges specialized in the field of education, psychology and sociology. The opinion of these judges confirmed that the PES was sufficiently valid instrument.

2) Convergent Validity:- The validity of the PES was ascertained by correlating the scores of this scale with Uniyal and Aggarwal’s PES. The coefficient of correlation was found to be 0.68. This indicates that the scale is fairly a valid tool.
Administration: The parental encouragement scale can be administered individually as well as in group. There is no time limit for the completion of the scale, however, ordinarily an individual student takes 25 – 30 minutes to complete it.

Scoring: There are three response alternatives in each item of the scale. The subject has to choose only one alternative. Thus the total score for each item ranges from 0 to 2, whereas the grand total of the PES ranges from 0 to 80. Higher scores on the PES reveal greater amount/higher degree of parental encouragement whereas lower scores reveal the lower degree/amount of encouragement.

3.2.2 Test for Social Acceptability Among Peers (TSAAP)-S.L.Chopra
This test was a sociometric test. Sociometric tests consist of questionnaires in which each member of the group is asked to name the members of the group with whom he will like to associate for different activities. The form of the questions, therefore, depends upon the social setting of the group and the questions must indicate the scope of the choice. The activities chosen for the purpose should be meaningful.

Since the purpose of the present sociometric test was the measurement of social acceptability of the students among their class fellows the activities chosen to have their choices were to sit within the class, to play with, to spend interval with and to spend leisure time with. These criteria are general and it was expected that they will provide stable and generally useful results.

Reliability: The present sociometric test was re-administered to the students after a gap of two weeks to test its stability. The stability coefficient worked out to be 0.94, which was comparable to the stability coefficient observed by Newstetter, Feldstein and Newcomb (1938) and Zeleney (1939).
Validity: The sociometric test is unlike the usual mental tests in that it does not attempt to measure behaviour of certain type by eliciting related responses but employs a sample of actual behaviour studied. As such the sample directly meaningful and need not be validated by relating it to an external criterion. Hence the mental test concept of validity as correlation with an external criterion does not apply to sociometric tests. It may, however, considered whether a sociometric test is valid in the sense that the behaviour which it is intended to elicit actually appears without falsification of responses on the part of the subject. The question here is how stable the behaviour is to be regarded as valid.

Administration: For the present test, the students were asked to select only three of their class fellows for each of the four activities. They could choose the same students for the different activities if they liked. But their choices were to be limited to students in their own section. They were allowed to select the students who might have been absent on the day of testing.

Scoring: One mark should be assigned for each choice received by a student. The total number of choices on all the four activities received from the class fellows studying in his or her section will denote his/her sociometric score.

3.2.3 Socio-Economic Status Scale (SESS) – R.L.Bharadwaj

Socio-Economic Status appears to be the resultant of the position of an individual in a society by virtue of a complex fusion of both (Social as well as Economic Status) of them, which often do not run parallel to each other their own areas. This intermingling takes place in an undefined and curious manner eventually to present an indicator to socio-economic status.

Socio-economic status would, therefore, be a ranking of an individual by the society he lives in, in terms of his material belongings.
and cultural possessions along with the degree of respect, power and influence he wields.

**Reliability:** The reliability of the test of the revised scale has been calculated by test and retest method. This scale was administered on a sample of 200 students and after 21 days it was re-administered on the same sample. The correlation between two scores was calculated by Spearman – Brown formula.

**Validity:** The content validity of the revised scale, since areas and then item are solely based on research items is high and promising.

**Administration:** The investigator has administered the scale in the classroom after reading aloud the instructions of the test and explaining them to the students. The subjects have taken about 10 – 15 minutes to record their responses.

**Scoring:** Scoring of the test is very easy and of quantitative type. Scoring key provides the weightage score for each item. Scoring has been done with the help of stencil key prepared for this purpose. The total of all the answers give the total marks obtained by a subject. These marks are called raw scores.

### 3.2.4 Educational Aspiration Scale (EAS) - Sharma and Gupta

The term level of aspiration involves the estimation of one’s ability (whether over, under or realistic) for one’s future performance on the strength of one’s past experience (Goal Discrepancy), one’s ability and capacity, the efforts that one can make towards attaining the goal, thus set by the individual.

Keeping in view the level of understanding, educational maturity and growth pattern of pupils, two different scales have been developed. Form V and Form P both the form of the level of aspiration are different in the content, technique, standardization process and norms. EAS,
Form P has been designed for measuring the level of educational aspiration of pupils regardless of their grade or age; though norms have been primarily developed on secondary school pupil population, it could be widely used over other samples by interpreting the raw scores obtained in terms of levels of educational aspiration.

This scale has been developed on the rationale that (i) Past experience (Pe) in terms of marks obtained estimate or goal set, success or failure experienced, (ii) amount of efforts (Ae) made in the examination, (iii) ability and capacity (Ac) to stay for the examination have a direct bearing upon setting a future goal.

**Reliability:** (a) Coefficient of Stability by test retest method $r_{nt} = 0.98$
(b) Coefficient of Internal Consistency by odd even technique using S – B formula $r_{nt} = 0.803$

**Validity:** (a) Against Scholastic Achievement (Board Exam) $r = 0.692$
(b) Predictive Validity with EAS, Form V $r = 0.596$

**Administration:** EAS could be administered in group situation. It is a self expelanatory scale, however, the tester should establish proper rapport before administering it. There is no time limit, however, it takes about 25 minutes to administer the whole scale.

**Scoring:** There is no right or wrong answer. The subjecty has to compare between a pair of statement given in each of the items, weight one of them by putting a cross mark against it. Two category responses admitted. Either the response would be scored as 1 or as 0. The maximum score is 45 whereas the minimum is 0. The total score determines the standing on the scale of the individual.

### 3.2.5 Occupational Aspiration Scale (OAS) – J.S.Grewal

The present scale was adopted by the author by getting the prestige rating of 150 occupational titles, identical with the NORC list.
This number was reduced to 108 by a panel of judges who were employed in different occupations. 80 out of 108 occupations of different prestige values were arranged in mixed order in 8 multiple-choice items. Each item contains 10 occupations nearly of all occupational status level arranged in a mixed order. The OAS asks for both short and long range realistic as well as idealistic expressions of the level of occupational preferences. Each of these four combinations, (i.e., Idealistic Short Range and Realistic Long Range) are assessed twice, thereby making the number of items to eight. All the occupations were arranged in a mixed order in the eight multiple-choice items given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expression Levels</th>
<th>Goal Periods</th>
<th></th>
<th>Goal Periods</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Short – Range (S) (a)</td>
<td>Long – Range (L) (b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idealistic (I)</td>
<td>Of the jobs listed in this question, which ONE would you choose if you were FREE TO CHOOSE ANY of them you wished when your SCHOOLING IS OVER? (2 and 4)</td>
<td>Of the jobs listed in this question, which ONE would you choose to have when you are 30 YEARS OLD, if you were FREE TO HAVE ANY of them you wished? (6 and 8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Realistic (R)</td>
<td>Of the jobs listed in this question, which is the BEST ONE you are REALLY SURE YOU CAN GET when your SCHOOLING IS OVER? (1 and 3)</td>
<td>Of the jobs listed in this question, which is the BEST ONE you are REALLY SURE YOU CAN HAVE by the time you are 30 YEARS OLD? (5 AND 7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a) Initial Career-Point</td>
<td>(b) Mature Career-Point</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reliability:** Coefficient of stability as determined by the test retest method was found to be 0.84. The test was further divided into the following two parallel halves for assessing the internal consistency.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Content Assessed</th>
<th>OAS halves and Item Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. Half</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Realistic Short - Range</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idealistic Short - Range</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Realistic Long - Range</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idealistic Long - Range</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The coefficient of internal consistency between the above two halves, A and B, was found to be 0.54.

**Validity:** The OAS has been validated against Haller and Miller Occupational Aspiration Scale. The coefficient of validity was found to be 0.75.

**Administration:** The OAS can be administered in a group-testing situation. The eight items are prefaced by a set of written instructions, which the tester reads over group at the beginning of the test period.

**Scoring:** All the eight items are scored in the same way. There are ten alternatives for each question. Only one alternative may be checked. Responses are scored with the help of a scoring key. The score of each item ranges from ‘0’ (Lowest) to ‘9’ (Highest). A score of ‘9’ indicates that a job from among the highest eight prestige occupations has been preferred and a score of ‘0’ indicates that one of the lowest eight occupations has been preferred. The total score is the sum of the scores for each of the eight questions. An individual’s score for the whole inventory ranges from 0 to 72.

**3.2.6 REASONS FOR SELECTING ADOLESCENTS:** The investigator has selected adolescents for studying their aspirations because they are at a stage in their lives where their aspirations are in a formative stage. Here, particularly the age group of 12-15 years has been taken. During this age
group, the aspirations of children are being formed and may tend to undergo drastic changes as they may be influenced by various factors like their socio-economic status, parental attitude towards them, peer group acceptability etc. These children, as they grow older, start becoming aware about their financial and economic resources as well as the extent to which their parents will support and encourage them in fulfilling their aspirations. They start having a very realistic idea about their family’s capacity in encouraging them in fulfilling their vocational as well as educational aspirations.

The children at this stage are aware about the future prospects of various professions because of the media exposure like TV channels, internet, newspapers, career exhibitions (conducted by Indian as well as foreign universities) etc. Due to enhanced transport and communication and other related aspects like instant money transactions, various professions, educational courses, institutions, universities etc. have become easily accessible.

Privatization of education has offered scope for large number of students to enter different types of professions. Internet has provided avenues for business process outsourcing, medical transcription etc. from developing countries like India. Industrialization and globalization have thrown open large number of employment/business opportunities. The children at this age are aware about all the above aspects. So, they may mould their aspirations according to the maximum benefits which a particular career can offer in terms of remuneration, power, social status, occupational satisfaction etc.

Academically, the age of 12-15 years is crucial for the career of adolescents, as they have to choose a particular stream of study (i.e. science, arts etc.) related to their vocational and educational aspirations. This is an important decision-making stage of their career. Therefore, their
decisions may be guided by their future vocational as well as educational aspirations.

3.3 VARIABLES OF THE STUDY:- A variable as the name implies, is something, which varies. This is the simplest and broadest way of defining a variable. However, a behavioural scientist attempts to define a variable more precisely and specifically. From his point of view, variables may be defined as those attributes of objects, events, things and beings, which vary and can be measured. In other words, variables are the characteristics or conditions that are manipulated, controlled or observed by the experimenter.

Variables can be classified in several ways. Some of the commonly accepted classifications are presented below.

1. **Independent Variable:-** It is defined as one which is manipulated, measured and selected by the experimenter for the purpose of producing observable changes in the behavioural measure (or dependent variable). In other words, the independent variable is the variable on the basis of which the predictions about the dependent variable are made. Underwood (1966) calls the independent variable as the stimulus variable. In the present study, the investigator has taken parental encouragement, socio-economic status and peer group acceptability as independent variables as their effect on the dependent variable (i.e. vocational and educational aspiration) is studied.

2. **Dependent Variable:-** The dependent variable which is also known as the response variable or output is an observable aspect of behaviour. It is the factor which is observed and measured to determine the effect of the independent variable i.e. the factor that appears, disappears or is modified on introducing, removing or changing the independent variable by the experimentation. In the present study the investigator has taken the vocational and the educational aspirations as the independent variables.
3.4 RESEARCH DESIGN:- It is a detailed plan of investigation. It is a blueprint of the detailed procedures of testing the hypothesis and analyzing the obtained data. It may be defined as a sequence of those steps taken ahead of time to ensure that the relevant data will be collected in a way that permits objective analysis of the different hypotheses formulated with respect to research problems. The selection of the research design is based on the purpose of the investigation, types of variables and conditions under which the research is to be conducted. Thus the research design helps the researcher in testing the hypothesis by reaching valid and objective conclusions regarding the relationship between independent and dependent variables. The present study is based on factorial research design.

3.4.1 FACTORIAL DESIGN:- It is the design in which selected values of two or more than two independent variables are used in all possible combinations so that their independent as well as interactive effects upon the dependent variable may be studied. The theme of the factorial design is that the different subgroups of subjects work under every possible combination of the factors (or the independent variables) of the design. Therefore, factorial design has the following main characteristics: 1) two or more independent variables are used in all possible combinations 2) for a design to be called factorial, different subgroups or subjects must serve under every possible combination of the independent variables. As far as possible an equal number of subjects in all the subgroups is preferred. 3) The factorial design enables the investigator to study the independent effects as well as the interactive effects of two or more independent variables. The factorial design is directly classified on the basis of the number of independent variables used. In the present study, the investigator has used three independent variables each having two levels. Therefore, the present study is
based on the factorial design with three independent variables i.e. 2x2x2 factorial design.

3.5 STATISTICAL TECHNIQUES:- The following statistical techniques have been used in the present study for analyzing the data:

1) \textit{Mean:-} Arithmetic Mean or Mean is the value obtained by adding together all the scores and by dividing this sum by the number of scores.

\[ X = \frac{X_1 + X_2 + \ldots + X_n}{N} = \frac{\Sigma X}{N} \]

Where \( X \) = Arithmetic Mean
\( \Sigma X \) = Total of Individual Scores
\( N \) = Number of Scores

The Arithmetic Mean of a sample is designated by the symbol \( \overline{X} \)

2) \textit{Standard Deviation:-} It is also known as 'root mean square deviation' because it is the square root of the mean of the squared deviations from the arithmetic mean. It is denoted by the Greek letter \( \sigma \).

\[ \sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma d^2}{N} - (\frac{\Sigma d}{N})^2} \]

Where \( \sigma \) = Standard Deviation
\( d \) = Deviations where \( d = X - A \)
where \( X \) = Score and \( A \) = Assumed Mean
\( N \) = Number of Scores

Standard Deviation measures the absolute dispersion or variability of a distribution. The greater the amount of variability, the greater the S.D., the greater will be the magnitude of deviation of the values from their mean. A small S.D. means a high degree of uniformity of the observations as well as homogeneity of a series.

3) \textit{Correlation:-} When variables co-vary or vary together they are said to be correlated. Correlational analysis helps in determining the degree of relationship between two or more variables. Correlation reduces the range
of uncertainty, as predictions based on correlational analysis are more reliable. It is also possible that when one variable increases the other decreases. When increase in one variable is accompanied by increase in the other variable i.e. change in variables is in the same direction then they are said to be positively correlated. When one variable increases and the other decreases i.e. change in variables is in the opposite direction then they are said to be negatively correlated.

Coefficient of correlation is the ratio which expresses the extent to which change in one variable are accompanied by or are dependent upon changes in the other variable. Coefficient of correlation is denoted by ‘r’. Values of correlation vary from -1 to +1.

**Method of Calculating Coefficient of Correlation:**- There are two major methods of calculating the coefficient of correlation – Rank Difference method and Product Moment Method. In the present study the investigator has used the Product Moment method for calculating the coefficient of correlation. This method was given by Karl Pearson.

\[
 r = \frac{N\sum XY - (\sum X)(\sum Y)}{\sqrt{[N\sum X^2 - (\sum X)^2][N\sum Y^2 - (\sum Y)^2]}}
\]

This method is based on individual raw scores. This method is used in large samples with proper arrangement of data. This gives the accurate degree of correlation. This calculated correlation coefficient was compared with the critical values of the correlation coefficient (Snedecor, G.W.) to determine whether it was significant or not.

4) **Analysis of Variance (ANOVA):**- This method was given by R.F. Fisher. Analysis of variance is a class of statistical techniques through which the overall difference among two or more than two sample means is studied
and it’s significance is tested. Analysis of Variance is also referred to by its abbreviation i.e. ANOVA.

ANOVA is of two types – Simple ANOVA and Complex ANOVA. In the present study the investigator has used Complex ANOVA.

**Complex ANOVA/Two-Way ANOVA:** In Complex ANOVA there are two or more than two independent variables which form the basis of classification of groups. Such an ANOVA is suitable for the factorial design. Statistically, the F ratio is calculated as

$$ F = \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} $$

Where $F$ = F ratio

$\sigma_1^2$ = Larger Variance/Between Groups Variance

$\sigma_2^2$ = Smaller Variance/Within Groups Variance

Between Groups Variance refers to variation among the means of each group from the total or grand mean of all groups. Within Groups Variance refers to the average variability of scores within each group.

In the present study, the investigator has used a website (Lowry, R., 1998) for the automatic calculation of ANOVA. The calculated F values obtained from this site have been compared to the critical values of the F ratio to determine whether they are significant or not. If the F ratio for an interaction is significant that finding is followed by post hoc tests. While examining a significant interaction with post hoc tests it is actually the individual cell means that are being compared (Price, I., 2000).

5) **Tukey Test:** Tukey’s HSD (i.e. abbreviation for John Tukey’s Honestly Significant Difference Test) is used to make all possible comparisons when means are taken two at a time. It is the most powerful multiple comparison test for making pairwise comparisons (Inferential Statistics II: Beyond Two Means).
This test is used when the researcher is interested in evaluating the significance of all possible differences between pairs of group means. It involves calculating a minimum difference between pairs of group means that must be exceeded for the group means to be significantly different (Research Corner: The When and Why of Statistical Tests). This test is only usable when the sample sizes are the same (Stats: Scheffe’ and Tukey Tests). Statistically the Tukey test is calculated as:

\[ T = (q) \sqrt{\frac{\text{Variance}_{\text{within}}}{N}} \]

Where \( N \) = Number in each group or the number of scores from which each mean is calculated

\( q \) = percentage points of the Studentized Range

The \( q \) value in the table is calculated by consulting the Tukey tables ‘a’ and ‘b’ for the 0.05 and 0.01 level of significance and determining the value corresponding to the number of means (n) in the Tukey table and the degrees of freedom (r) for the denominator of the F test. If this calculated T value is smaller than the difference between two means, then the means are considered significantly different and on the basis of the greater mean the interpretation is made.
CHAPTER – IV

ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATIONS

In the present study, the investigator has used a number of statistical techniques like means, standard deviations, t-test, ANOVA etc. t-test is used when the significance of the difference between the means of two groups has to be found. In the present study, the investigator has used the t-test to compare the means of boys and girls on the variables – Parental Encouragement, Peer Acceptability, Socio-Economic Status, Educational Aspiration and Vocational Aspiration.

The present chapter has been divided into various subchapters for the sake of convenience. The influence of the independent variables (i.e. parental encouragement, peer group acceptability and socio-economic status) on the dependent variables (i.e. educational as well as vocational aspirations) has been analyzed in a systematic manner accordingly.

4.1 PARENTAL ENCOURAGEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS

In the present study, the investigator has administered the questionnaire for educational aspirations (by Dr. V.P. Sharma and Dr. Anuradha Gupta) to a sample of 400 students. This questionnaire has been standardized. It consists of forty-five items, which are used to find out the educational aspirations of the students expressed in terms of ‘Levels of Educational Aspirations’. According to the questionnaire, there are seven levels of educational aspirations – Very High Educational Aspirations, High Educational Aspirations, Above average Educational Aspirations, Average Educational Aspirations, Below Average Educational Aspirations, Low Educational Aspirations and finally, Very Low Educational Aspirations.
Fig. 1 Educational Aspirations of Students receiving High and Low Parental Encouragement

Symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Level of Educational Aspiration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VH</td>
<td>Very High Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>High Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>Above average Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Average Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td>Below Average Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Low Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VL</td>
<td>Very Low Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1 shows the level of educational aspirations of the entire sample of 400 students. The investigator has divided the students into two groups according to the independent variable - parental encouragement. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the level of educational aspirations of the students receiving high parental encouragement and low parental encouragement. The figure indicated that 3.7% of the students receiving high parental encouragement had very high educational aspirations while 2.78% of the students receiving low parental encouragement had the same level of educational aspirations. 26.85% of the students receiving high parental encouragement had high educational aspirations while 24.07% of the students receiving low parental encouragement had the same level of educational aspirations.

In the case of the students receiving high parental encouragement, 17.59% of them had above average educational aspirations while 10.19% of the students receiving low parental encouragement had the same level of educational aspirations. 43.52% of the students receiving high parental encouragement had average educational aspirations while 37.96% of the students receiving low parental encouragement had the same level of educational aspirations. In the case of the students receiving high parental encouragement, 4.63% of them had below average educational aspirations while 12.04% of the students receiving low parental encouragement had the same level of educational aspirations. 3.7% of the students receiving high parental encouragement had low educational aspirations while 12.96% of the students receiving low parental encouragement had the same level of educational aspirations. None of the students had very low educational aspirations irrespective of the parental encouragement they received. So,
Fig. 2 Educational Aspirations of Girls receiving High and Low Parental Encouragement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Level of Educational Aspiration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VH</td>
<td>Very High Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>High Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>Above average Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Average Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td>Below Average Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Low Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VL</td>
<td>Very Low Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
it can be concluded that majority of the students had average educational aspirations regardless of the parental encouragement they received.

Figure 2 indicates the level of educational aspirations of the girls. The investigator has divided the girls into two groups according to the independent variable - parental encouragement. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the level of educational aspirations of the girls receiving high parental encouragement and low parental encouragement. The figure indicated that 3.7% of the girls receiving high parental encouragement had very high educational aspirations while 5.56% of the girls receiving low parental encouragement had the same level of educational aspirations. 18.52% of the girls receiving high parental encouragement had high educational aspirations while 27.78% of the girls receiving low parental encouragement had the same level of educational aspirations.

In the case of the girls receiving high parental encouragement, 16.67% of them had above average educational aspirations while 7.41% of the girls receiving low parental encouragement had the same level of educational aspirations. 53.7% of the girls receiving high parental encouragement had average educational aspirations while 38.89% of the girls receiving low parental encouragement had the same level of educational aspirations. In the case of the girls receiving high parental encouragement, 1.85% of them had below average educational aspirations while 5.56% of the girls receiving low parental encouragement had the same level of educational aspirations. 5.56% of the girls receiving high parental encouragement had low educational aspirations while 14.81% of the girls receiving low parental encouragement had the same level of educational aspirations. None of the girls had very low educational aspirations irrespective of the
Fig. 3 Educational Aspirations of Boys receiving High and Low Parental Encouragement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Level of Educational Aspiration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VH</td>
<td>Very High Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>High Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>Above average Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Average Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td>Below Average Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Low Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VL</td>
<td>Very Low Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
parental encouragement they received. So, it can be concluded that majority of the girls had average educational aspirations regardless of the parental encouragement they received.

Figure 3 indicates the level of educational aspirations of the boys. The investigator has divided the boys into two groups according to the independent variable - parental encouragement. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the level of educational aspirations of the boys receiving high parental encouragement and low parental encouragement. The figure indicated that 3.7% of the boys receiving high parental encouragement had very high educational aspirations while none of the boys receiving low parental encouragement had the same level of educational aspirations. 37.04% of the boys receiving high parental encouragement had high educational aspirations while 20.37% of the boys receiving low parental encouragement had the same level of educational aspirations.

Irrespective of the parental encouragement received by the boys, 14.81% of them had above average educational aspirations. 31.48% of the boys receiving high parental encouragement had average educational aspirations while 33.33% of the boys receiving low parental encouragement had the same level of educational aspirations. In the case of the boys receiving high parental encouragement, 7.41% of them had below average educational aspirations while 20.37% of the boys receiving low parental encouragement had the same level of educational aspirations. 5.56% of the boys receiving high parental encouragement had low educational aspirations while 11.11% of the boys receiving low parental encouragement had the same level of educational aspirations. None of the boys had very low educational aspirations irrespective of the parental encouragement they received. So, it can be concluded that
majority of the boys who received high parental encouragement had high educational aspirations whereas majority of the boys who received low parental encouragement had average educational aspirations.

**Distribution showing Mean and Standard Deviations for Parental Encouragement and Educational Aspiration**

**Table No. 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th></th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>S.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Parental Encouragement</td>
<td>66.675</td>
<td>8.601</td>
<td>66.495</td>
<td>7.424</td>
<td>66.585</td>
<td>8.034</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above table shows the mean values of parental encouragement as well as educational aspirations of boys and girls. The standard deviations have also been shown in the table.

**Distribution showing t-test scores indicating Significance of Difference between Means of Male/Female Groups of Parental Encouragement and Educational Aspiration**

**Table No. 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th></th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th></th>
<th>t-Test Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Parental Encouragement</td>
<td>66.675</td>
<td>8.601</td>
<td>66.495</td>
<td>7.424</td>
<td>0.224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Educational Aspiration</td>
<td>24.355</td>
<td>4.520</td>
<td>23.845</td>
<td>4.747</td>
<td>1.100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above table shows the values of t-test which was performed to compare the difference between these means. The above table shows that the mean score of girls (66.675) on parental encouragement was higher than that of boys (66.495). But this difference
was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. The mean score of girls (24.355) on educational aspirations was higher than that of boys (23.845). But this difference was also not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. Thus it can be concluded that the difference between the means of boys and girls on parental encouragement as well as educational aspirations was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

**CORRELATION:-**

In the present study, the investigator has conducted correlation to find out the relationship between the variables. It helps in determining the degree of relationship between two or more variables i.e. it expresses the extent to which change in one variable are accompanied by or are dependent upon changes in the other variable.

**Correlation Matrix for the Total Sample (N=400) indicating the correlation between Parental Encouragement and Educational Aspiration**

**Table No. 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Parental Encouragement</th>
<th>Peer Acceptability</th>
<th>Socio-Economic Status</th>
<th>Vocational Aspiration</th>
<th>Educational Aspiration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parental Encouragement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer Acceptability</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-Economic Status</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>-0.046</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational Aspiration</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>-0.046</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Aspiration</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The above table shows the correlation matrix for the correlation conducted on the entire sample of 400 students. The values of the coefficient of correlation (r) for the relationship between parental encouragement and educational aspiration, parental encouragement-socio-economic status and parental encouragement-peer acceptability came out to be 0.101, 0.188 and 0.076 respectively. All these values were positive i.e. as one variable increased the other also increased slightly.

The 'r' values for parental encouragement – educational aspiration as well as parental encouragement-peer acceptability were not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This indicated that the relationship between parental encouragement and educational aspiration was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. Similarly, the relationship between parental encouragement and peer acceptability was also not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. On the other hand the 'r' value for parental encouragement and socio-economic status was found to be significant at the 0.05-level of confidence. This indicated that the relationship between parental encouragement and socio-economic status was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This implied that as socio-economic status increased parental encouragement also increased slightly (as 'r' was small). Therefore, it can be concluded that parental encouragement received by the students was positively correlated with educational aspiration but it was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

**Correlation Matrix for Female Students(N=200) indicating the correlation between Parental Encouragement and Educational Aspiration**
Correlation was performed on the female students of the sample (N=200). The results indicated that the ‘r’ values of parental encouragement – educational aspiration, parental encouragement- socio-economic status as well as parental encouragement- peer acceptability were 0.026, 0.161 and 0.103 respectively. These values were positive i.e. as one variable increased the other also increased. But none of them were significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. Thus, it can be concluded that parental encouragement received by girls had a positive correlation with their educational aspirations but it was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

**Correlation Matrix for Male Students (N=200) indicating the correlation between Parental Encouragement and Educational Aspiration**
Correlation analysis was conducted on the male students of the sample (N=200). The values of the coefficient of correlation (r) for the relationship between parental encouragement and educational aspiration, parental encouragement-socio-economic status and parental encouragement-peer acceptability came out to be 0.18, 0.231 and 0.043 respectively. All these values were positive i.e. as one variable increased the other also increased slightly.

The ‘r’ values for parental encouragement – educational aspiration as well as parental encouragement-peer acceptability were not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This indicated that the relationship between parental encouragement and educational aspiration was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. Similarly, the relationship between parental encouragement and peer acceptability was also not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. On the other hand the ‘r’ value for parental encouragement and socio-economic status was found to be significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This indicated that the relationship between parental encouragement and socio-economic status of boys was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This
**Fig. 4 Short Range Idealistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (1st Grp. of Professions)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GC</td>
<td>Govt. Contractor</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Maid Servant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>Insurance Agent</td>
<td>OPP</td>
<td>Owner-Operator (Printing Press)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP</td>
<td>Member of Parliament</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Electrician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Clerk in Office</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Priest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>State Governor</td>
<td>TD</td>
<td>Truck Driver</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 5 Short Range Idealistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (2nd Grp. of Professions)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Novelist</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>Petrol Pump Attendant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Soldier in the Army</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Artist who Paints Pictures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM</td>
<td>Bank Manager</td>
<td>LW</td>
<td>Lady Village Level Worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaD</td>
<td>Taxi Driver</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Photographer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CabM</td>
<td>Cabinet Minister (Central Govt.)</td>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Coal Miner</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
implied that as socio-economic status of boys increased parental encouragement also increased slightly (as ‘r’ was small). Therefore, it can be concluded that parental encouragement received by boys was positively correlated with their educational aspirations but it was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

4.2 PARENTAL ENCOURAGEMENT AND VOCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS

In the present study, the investigator has administered the questionnaire for occupational aspirations (by Dr. J.S.Grewal) to a sample of 400 students. This questionnaire has been standardized. It consists of eight items, which are used to find out the occupational aspirations of the students expressed in terms of ‘Goal Periods.’ These goal periods are expressed at two phases of the career of the students: the Initial Career Point and the Mature Career Point. At the Initial Career Point, the students can have two types of Goal Periods i.e. Short- Range Idealistic Goal Period and Short- Range Realistic Goal Period. Similarly, at the Mature Career Point also, the students can have two types of Goal Periods: Long- Range Idealistic Goal Period and Long- Range Realistic Goal Period.

Figure 4 shows the jobs or professions, which, the students would choose, if they were free to choose (any of them they wished), when their schooling was over. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Short- Range Idealistic Goal Period expressed at the Initial Career Point. The investigator has divided the students into two groups according to the independent variable - parental encouragement. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the students receiving high parental encouragement and low parental encouragement.
The figure indicates that 6.48% of students, who received high parental encouragement, opted for becoming a government contractor while 10.19% of the students who received low parental encouragement opted for the same. 24.07% of the students who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming an insurance agent whereas 29.63% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 18.52% of the students who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a member of parliament whereas 13.89% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 21.3% of the students who got high parental encouragement selected the profession of an office clerk whereas 19.44% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

Among the students who received high parental encouragement 23.15% of them wanted to become state governor while 12.04% of the students who received low parental encouragement opted for the same. Only 0.93% of the students opted to become a maidservant irrespective of the parental encouragement they received. Similarly, only 3.7% of the students opted to become the owner-operator of a printing press regardless of the parental encouragement they received. 1.85% of the students who got high parental encouragement selected the profession of an electrician whereas 6.48% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. The students who received high parental encouragement did not choose the profession of priest or pujari but 3.7% of the students who received low parental encouragement chose this profession. None of the students chose the profession of a truck driver.

Figure 5 also shows the Short-Range Idealistic Goal Period expressed at the Initial Career Point where, the students were provided with another group of professions. 3.7% of the students who got
high parental encouragement opted for becoming a novelist whereas 1.85% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 15.74% of the students who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a soldier in the army whereas 22.22% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 35.19% of the students who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a bank manager whereas 33.33% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 1.85% of the students who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a taxi driver whereas 3.7% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

Of the students who got high parental encouragement 20.37% opted for becoming a cabinet minister in central government whereas 12.04% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 1.85% of the students who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a petrol pump attendant whereas 0.93% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 11.11% of the students who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming an artist who paints pictures whereas 15.74% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 7.41% of the students who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a lady village level worker whereas 2.78% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 2.78% of the students who got high parental encouragement chose to become photographer whereas 5.56% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the students who received high parental encouragement chose to become a coal miner but 1.85% of the students who got low parental encouragement opted for this profession.
Fig. 6 Short Range Idealistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (Girls)-1st Grp. Of Professions
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GC</td>
<td>Govt. Contractor</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Maid Servant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>Insurance Agent</td>
<td>OPP</td>
<td>Owner-Operator(Printing Press)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP</td>
<td>Member of Parliament</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Electrician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Clerk in Office</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Priest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>State Governor</td>
<td>TD</td>
<td>Truck Driver</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7 Short Range Idealistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (Girls)-2nd Grp. Of Professions
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Novelist</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>Petrol Pump Attendant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Soldier in the Army</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Artist who Paints Pictures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM</td>
<td>Bank Manager</td>
<td>LW</td>
<td>Lady Village Level Worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaD</td>
<td>Taxi Driver</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Photographer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CabM</td>
<td>Cabinet Minister(Central Govt.)</td>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Coal Miner</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6 shows the jobs or professions, which, the girl students would choose, if they were free to choose (any of them they wished), when their schooling was over. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Short-Range Idealistic Goal Period expressed at the Initial Career Point. The investigator has divided the students into two groups according to the independent variable - parental encouragement. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the girls receiving high parental encouragement and low parental encouragement. The figure indicates that 7.41% of the girls chose the profession of becoming a government contractor irrespective of the parental encouragement they received. 29.63% of the girls who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming an insurance agent whereas 44.44% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 14.81% of the girls who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a member of parliament whereas 11.11% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 14.81% of the girls who got high parental encouragement selected the profession of an office clerk whereas 25.93% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

Among the girls who got high parental encouragement 27.78% opted for becoming a state governor whereas 3.7% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the girls who received high parental encouragement opted to become a maidservant whereas 1.85% of the girls who got low parental encouragement opted for the same. 5.56% of the girls who got high parental encouragement selected the profession of owner-operator of a printing press whereas 1.85% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the girls chose the profession of
an electrician. The girls who received high parental encouragement did not choose the profession of priest or pujari but 3.7% of the girls who received low parental encouragement chose this profession. None of the girls chose the profession of a truck driver.

Figure 7 shows Short-Range Idealistic Goal Period, for girls, expressed at the Initial Career Point. Here the girls were provided with another group of professions. 7.41% of the girls who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a novelist whereas none of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose this profession. 9.26% of the girls chose to become a soldier in the army irrespective of the parental encouragement they received. 37.04% of the girls who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a bank manager whereas 40.74% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 1.85% of the girls who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a taxi driver whereas 3.7% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

Of the girls who got high parental encouragement 14.81% opted for becoming a cabinet minister in central government whereas 9.26% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 1.85% of the girls who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a petrol pump attendant whereas none of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose this profession. 14.81% of the girls who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming an artist who paints pictures whereas 25.93% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 9.26% of the girls who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a lady village level worker whereas 1.85% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 3.7% of the girls who got high parental encouragement
Fig. 8 Short Range Idealistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (Boys)-1st Grp. Of Professions
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**Symbols** | **Professions** | **Symbols** | **Professions**
---|---|---|---
GC | Govt. Contractor | S | Maid Servant
IA | Insurance Agent | OPP | Owner-Operator (Printing Press)
MP | Member of Parliament | E | Electrician
C | Clerk in Office | P | Priest
G | State Governor | TD | Truck Driver

Fig. 9 Short Range Idealistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (Boys)-2nd Grp. Of Professions
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**Symbols** | **Professions** | **Symbols** | **Professions**
---|---|---|---
N | Novelist | PP | Petrol Pump Attendant
SA | Soldier in the Army | A | Artist who Paints Pictures
BM | Bank Manager | LW | Lady Village Level Worker
TaD | Taxi Driver | Ph | Photographer
CabM | Cabinet Minister (Central Govt.) | CM | Coal Miner
chose to become photographer whereas 5.56% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the girls who received high parental encouragement chose to become a coal miner but 3.7% of the girls who got low parental encouragement opted for this profession.

Figure 8 shows the jobs or professions, which, the boys would choose, if they were free to choose (any of them they wished), when their schooling was over. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Short-Range Idealistic Goal Period expressed at the Initial Career Point. The investigator has divided the students into two groups according to the independent variable - parental encouragement. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the boys receiving high parental encouragement and low parental encouragement. The figure indicates that 11.11% of the boys chose the profession of becoming a government contractor irrespective of the parental encouragement they received. 12.96% of the boys who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming an insurance agent whereas 16.67% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 20.37% of the boys who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a member of parliament whereas 18.52% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 20.37% of the boys who got high parental encouragement selected the profession of an office clerk whereas 16.67% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

Among the boys who got high parental encouragement, 27.78% opted for becoming a state governor whereas 18.52% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 1.85% of the boys who received high parental encouragement opted to become a maidservant whereas none of the boys who got low parental
encouragement opted for the same. 1.85% of the boys who got high parental encouragement selected the profession of owner-operator of a printing press whereas 3.7% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 3.7% of the boys who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming an electrician whereas 11.11% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. The boys who received high parental encouragement did not choose the profession of priest or pujari but 3.7% of the boys who received low parental encouragement chose this profession. None of the boys chose the profession of a truck driver.

Figure 9 also shows Short-Range Idealistic Goal Period, for boys, expressed at the Initial Career Point. They were provided another group of professions. None of the boys who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a novelist whereas 1.85% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose this profession. 20.37% of the boys who got high parental encouragement chose to become a soldier in the army whereas 35.19% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

Of the boys who got high parental encouragement 38.89% opted for becoming a bank manager whereas 27.78% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 1.85% of the boys who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a taxi driver whereas 3.7% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 27.78% of the boys who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a cabinet minister in central government whereas 16.67% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 1.85% of the boys opted for becoming a petrol pump attendant irrespective of the parental encouragement they received. Similarly, 5.56% of the boys
**Fig. 10 Short Range Realistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (1st Grp. Of Professions)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Lawyer</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Barber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Agriculture Inspector</td>
<td>Psy</td>
<td>Psychologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Doctor</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>Motor Mechanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PST</td>
<td>Primary School Teacher</td>
<td>TS</td>
<td>Travelling Salesman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Diplomat in the IFS</td>
<td>Po</td>
<td>Postman</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 11 Short Range Realistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (2nd Grp. Of Professions)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AH</td>
<td>Airline Hostess</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Restaurant Waiter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM</td>
<td>Trained Mechanist</td>
<td>Mu</td>
<td>Instrumental Musician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Captain in the Army</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Machine Operator in a Factory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Midwife (Dai)</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Librarian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>Supreme Court Justice</td>
<td>Pl</td>
<td>Plumber</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
opted for becoming an artist painting pictures irrespective of the parental encouragement they received. 1.85% of the boys who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a lady village level worker whereas 3.7% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 1.85% of the boys who got high parental encouragement chose to become photographer whereas 3.7% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the boys opted to become a coal miner regardless of the parental encouragement, which they received.

Figure 10 shows the best jobs or professions, which, the students were really sure that they would get, when their schooling was over. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Short-Range Realistic Goal Period expressed at the Initial Career Point. The investigator has divided the students into two groups according to the independent variable - parental encouragement. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the students receiving high parental encouragement and low parental encouragement.

The figure indicates that 14.81% of students, who received high parental encouragement, opted for becoming a lawyer while 16.67% of the students who received low parental encouragement opted for the same. None of the students who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming an agriculture inspector whereas 3.7% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 57.41% of the students who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a doctor whereas 37.96% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 13.89% of the students who got high parental encouragement selected the profession of primary school teacher whereas 20.37% of the students who got low parental
encouragement chose the same. 10.19% of the students who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a diplomat in the Indian Foreign Service whereas 4.63% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the students who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a barber whereas 0.93% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 1.85% of the students who got high parental encouragement selected the profession of a psychologist whereas 2.78% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

Among the students who got high parental encouragement 0.93% opted for becoming a motor mechanic whereas 5.56% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 0.93% of the students who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a traveling salesman for a wholesale firm whereas 4.63% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the students who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a postman whereas 2.78% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

Figure 11 shows Short-Range Realistic Goal Period expressed at the Initial Career Point where another group of professions was provided to the students. 14.81% of the students who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a airline hostess whereas 15.74% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 1.85% of the students who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a trained machinist whereas 4.63% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 27.78% of the students who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a captain in the army whereas 35.19% of the students who got low parental
Fig. 12 Short Range Realistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (Girls) - 1st Grp. Of Professions

Symbols | Professions | Symbols | Professions
--- | --- | --- | ---
L | Lawyer | B | Barber
A | Agriculture Inspector | Psy | Psychologist
D | Doctor | MM | Motor Mechanic
PST | Primary School Teacher | TS | Travelling Salesman
IFS | Diplomat in the IFS | Po | Postman

Fig. 13 Short Range Realistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (Girls) - 2nd Grp. Of Professions

Symbols | Professions | Symbols | Professions
--- | --- | --- | ---
AH | Airline Hostess | W | Restaurant Waiter
TM | Trained Mechanist | Mu | Instrumental Musician
C | Captain in the Army | O | Machine Operator in a Factory
M | Midwife (Dai) | L | Librarian
J | Supreme Court Justice | PI | Plumber
encouragement chose the same. 1.85% of the students who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a midwife whereas 3.7% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

Among the students who got high parental encouragement 32.41% opted for becoming Supreme Court justice in central government whereas 16.67% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the students wanted to become a restaurant waiter regardless of the parental encouragement they received. 9.26% of the students who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming an instrumental musician whereas 11.11% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 3.7% of the students who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a machine operator in a factory whereas none of the students who got low parental encouragement chose this profession. 8.33% of the students who got high parental encouragement chose to become a librarian whereas 12.04% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the students who received high parental encouragement chose to become a plumber but 0.93% of the students who got low parental encouragement opted for this profession.

Figure 12 shows the best jobs or professions, which, the girls were really sure that they would get, when their schooling was over. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Short-Range Realistic Goal Period expressed at the Initial Career Point. The investigator has divided the girls into two groups according to the independent variable - parental encouragement. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the girls receiving high parental encouragement and low parental encouragement. The figure indicates that 18.52% of girls, who
received high parental encouragement, them opted for becoming a lawyer while 24.07% of the girls who received low parental encouragement opted for the same. None of the girls who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming an agriculture inspector whereas 1.85% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 57.41% of the girls who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a doctor whereas 31.48% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 12.96% of the girls who got high parental encouragement selected the profession of primary school teacher whereas 31.48% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

Irrespective of the parental encouragement they received 5.56% of the girls chose to become a diplomat in the Indian Foreign Service. None of the girls opted to become a barber irrespective of the parental encouragement they received. 3.7% of the girls selected the profession of a psychologist regardless of the parental encouragement they received. None of the girls opted for becoming a motor mechanic irrespective of the parental encouragement they received. 1.85% of the girls who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a traveling salesman for a wholesale firm whereas none of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the girls who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a postman whereas 1.85% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

Figure 13 shows Short-Range Realistic Goal Period, for girls, expressed at the Initial Career Point. They were given another group of professions. 24.07% of the girls who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a airline hostess whereas 27.78% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of
Fig. 14 Short Range Realistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (Boys) - 1st Grp. Of Professions

Symbols | Professions | Symbols | Professions
------- | ----------- | ------- | -----------
L       | Lawyer     | B       | Barber     
A       | Agriculture Inspector | Psy | Psychologist |
D       | Doctor     | MM      | Motor Mechanic |
PST     | Primary School Teacher | TS | Travelling Salesman |
IFS     | Diplomat in the IFS | Po | Postman |

Fig. 15 Short Range Realistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (Boys) - 2nd Grp. Of Professions

Symbols | Professions | Symbols | Professions
------- | ----------- | ------- | -----------
AH      | Airline Hostess | W      | Restaurant Waiter |
TM      | Trained Mechanist | Mu    | Instrumental Musician |
C       | Captain in the Army | O     | Machine Operator in a Factory |
M       | Midwife (Dai) | L      | Librarian |
J       | Supreme Court Justice | P1    | Plumber |

Symbols | Professions | Symbols | Professions
------- | ----------- | ------- | -----------
L       | Lawyer     | B       | Barber     
A       | Agriculture Inspector | Psy | Psychologist |
D       | Doctor     | MM      | Motor Mechanic |
PST     | Primary School Teacher | TS | Travelling Salesman |
IFS     | Diplomat in the IFS | Po | Postman |

Symbols | Professions | Symbols | Professions
------- | ----------- | ------- | -----------
AH      | Airline Hostess | W      | Restaurant Waiter |
TM      | Trained Mechanist | Mu    | Instrumental Musician |
C       | Captain in the Army | O     | Machine Operator in a Factory |
M       | Midwife (Dai) | L      | Librarian |
J       | Supreme Court Justice | P1    | Plumber |
the girls who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a trained machinist whereas 1.85% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 29.63% of the girls who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a captain in the army whereas 14.81% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the girls who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a midwife whereas 3.7% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

Of the girls who got high parental encouragement 25.93% opted for becoming Supreme Court justice in central government whereas 14.81% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the girls wanted to become a restaurant waiter regardless of the parental encouragement they received. 11.11% of the girls who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming an instrumental musician whereas 18.52% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 1.85% of the girls who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a machine operator in a factory whereas none of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose this profession. 7.41% of the girls who got high parental encouragement chose to become a librarian whereas 16.67% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the girls who received high parental encouragement chose to become a plumber but 1.85% of the girls who got low parental encouragement opted for this profession.

Figure 14 shows the best jobs or professions, which, the boys were really sure that they would get, when their schooling was over. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Short-Range Realistic Goal Period expressed at the Initial Career Point. The
investigator has divided the boys into two groups according to the independent variable - parental encouragement. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the boys receiving high parental encouragement and low parental encouragement.

The figure indicates that 11.11% of boys, who received high parental encouragement, chose to become a lawyer while 12.96% of the boys who received low parental encouragement opted for the same. None of the boys who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming an agriculture inspector whereas 5.56% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 53.7% of the boys who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a doctor whereas 42.59% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 12.96% of the boys who got high parental encouragement selected the profession of primary school teacher whereas 9.26% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 18.52% of the boys who got high parental encouragement chose to become a diplomat in the Indian Foreign Service whereas 3.7% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the boys who got high parental encouragement opted to become a barber whereas 1.85% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the boys who got high parental encouragement selected the profession of a psychologist whereas 3.7% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

Among the boys who got high parental encouragement 3.7% opted for becoming a motor mechanic whereas 11.11% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the boys who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a traveling
salesman for a wholesale firm whereas 7.41% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the boys who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a postman whereas 1.85% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

Figure 15 shows Short-Range Realistic Goal Period, for boys, expressed at the Initial Career Point. They were provided with another group of professions. 9.26% of the boys who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming an airline hostess whereas 7.41% of the boys who received low parental encouragement chose the same. 1.85% of the boys who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a trained machinist whereas 7.41% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 31.48% of the boys who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a captain in the army whereas 53.7% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 1.85% of the boys opted for becoming a midwife irrespective of the parental encouragement they received. 38.89% of the boys who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming Supreme Court justice in central government whereas 20.37% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the boys wanted to become a restaurant waiter regardless of the parental encouragement they received.

Irrespective of the parental encouragement they received 3.7% of the boys opted for becoming an instrumental musician. 5.56% of the boys who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a machine operator in a factory whereas none of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose this profession. 7.41% of the boys who got high parental encouragement chose to become a librarian whereas 5.56% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None
Fig. 16 Long Range Idealistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (1st Grp. Of Professions)

- **AC**: Accountant (Large Govt. Office)
- **RK**: Revenue Record Keeper
- **CL**: College Lecturer
- **F**: Fisherman
- **Dir**: Director of a Dept. (State Govt.)
- **NW**: Night Watchman
- **RA**: Radio Announcer
- **PC**: Police Constable
- **R**: Receptionist

Fig. 17 Long Range Idealistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (2nd Grp. Of Professions)

- **FO**: Farm Owner and Operator
- **RG**: Railway Guard
- **E**: Engineer
- **S**: Salesman (Home Products)
- **AP**: Airline Pilot
- **Sw**: Sweeper
- **OH**: Owner (Small Hotel)
- **T**: Tailor
- **C**: Cashier in a Firm
- **RC**: Restaurant Cook

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>Accountant (Large Govt. Office)</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>Night Watchman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RK</td>
<td>Revenue Record Keeper</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>Radio Announcer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>College Lecturer</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>Police Constable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Fisherman</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Receptionist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dir</td>
<td>Director of a Dept. (State Govt.)</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>Railway Signalman</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FO</td>
<td>Farm Owner and Operator</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Sweeper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RG</td>
<td>Railway Guard</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>Owner (Small Hotel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Engineer</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Tailor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Salesman (Home Products)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Cashier in a Firm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>Airline Pilot</td>
<td>RC</td>
<td>Restaurant Cook</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of the boys opted to become a plumber regardless of the parental encouragement they received.

Figure 16 shows the best jobs or professions, which, the students would choose (if they were free to have any of them they wished), when they were 30 years old. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Long-Range Idealistic Goal Period expressed at the Mature Career Point. The investigator has divided the students into two groups according to the independent variable - parental encouragement. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the students receiving high parental encouragement and low parental encouragement. The figure indicates that 34.26% of students, who received high parental encouragement, opted for becoming an accountant in a large government office while 29.63% of the students who received low parental encouragement opted for the same. 2.78% of the students who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming an revenue record keeper whereas 5.56% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 20.37% of the students selected the profession of a college lecturer irrespective of the parental encouragement they received. None of the students who got high parental encouragement opted the profession of a fisherman whereas 0.93% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

Among the students who got high parental encouragement 15.74% opted for becoming the director of a department in the state government whereas 12.96% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the students who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a night watchman whereas 0.93% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the
same. 6.48% of the students who got high parental encouragement selected the profession of a radio announcer whereas 3.7% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 14.81% of the students who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a police constable whereas 19.44% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 3.7% of the students opted for becoming a receptionist irrespective of the parental encouragement they received. 1.85% of the students who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a railway signalman whereas 2.78% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

Figure 17 shows Long-Range Idealistic Goal Period expressed at the Mature Career Point where the students were given another group of professions. 9.26% of the students who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a farm owner and operator whereas 4.63% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 1.85% of the students who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a railway guard whereas 11.11% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 65.74% of the students who got high parental encouragement selected the profession of an engineer whereas 46.3% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the students who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a door-to-door salesman of home products whereas 4.63% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

Of the students who got high parental encouragement 18.52% selected the profession of an airline pilot whereas 23.15% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the students wanted to become a sweeper regardless of the parental
Fig. 18 Long Range Idealistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (Girls) - 1st Grp. Of Professions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>Accountant (Large Govt. Office)</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>Night Watchman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RK</td>
<td>Revenue Record Keeper</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>Radio Announcer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>College Lecturer</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>Police Constable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Fisherman</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Receptionist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dir</td>
<td>Director of a Dept. (State Govt.)</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>Railway Signalman</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 19 Long Range Idealistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (Girls) - 2nd Grp. Of Professions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FO</td>
<td>Farm Owner and Operator</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Sweeper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RG</td>
<td>Railway Guard</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>Owner (Small Hotel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Engineer</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Tailor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Salesman (Home Products)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Cashier in a Firm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>Airline Pilot</td>
<td>RC</td>
<td>Restaurant Cook</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
encouragement they received. 2.78% of the students who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming the owner of a small hotel whereas 3.7% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 0.93% of the students who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a tailor whereas 5.56% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose this profession. 0.93% of the students chose to become a cashier in a firm irrespective of the parental encouragement they received. None of the students opted to become a restaurant cook regardless of the parental encouragement they received.

Figure 18 shows the best jobs or professions, which, the girl students would choose (if they were free to have any of them they wished), when they were 30 years old. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Long-Range Idealistic Goal Period expressed at the Mature Career Point. The investigator has divided the girls into two groups according to the independent variable - parental encouragement. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the girls receiving high parental encouragement and low parental encouragement. The figure indicates that 38.89% of girls, who received high parental encouragement, then opted for becoming an accountant in a large government office while 25.93% of the girls who received low parental encouragement opted for the same. None of the girls who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a revenue record keeper whereas 3.7% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 22.22% of the girls who got high parental encouragement selected the profession of a college lecturer whereas 24.07% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the girls opted for
the profession of a fisherman irrespective of the parental encouragement they received.

Among the girls who got high parental encouragement 11.11% chose the profession of the director of a department in the state government whereas 12.96% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the girls opted for becoming a night watchman regardless of the parental encouragement they received. 9.26% of the girls who got high parental encouragement selected the profession of a radio announcer whereas 5.56% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 14.81% of the girls who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a police constable whereas 18.52% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 3.7% of the girls who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a receptionist whereas 5.56% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the girls who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a railway signalman whereas 3.7% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

Figure 19 shows Long-Range Idealistic Goal Period, for girls, expressed at the Mature Career Point. They were provided with another group of professions. 9.26% of the girls who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a farm owner and operator whereas 1.85% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the girls who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a railway guard whereas 5.56% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 75.93% of the girls who got high parental encouragement selected the profession of an engineer whereas 61.11% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the girls opted for becoming a door-to-door salesman.
Fig. 20 Long Range Idealistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (Boys) - 1st Grp. Of Professions

![Graph showing the percentage of students aspiring for different professions.]

Symbols | Professions | Symbols | Professions
--- | --- | --- | ---
AC | Accountant (Large Govt. Office) | NW | Night Watchman
RK | Revenue Record Keeper | RA | Radio Announcer
CL | College Lecturer | PC | Police Constable
F | Fisherman | R | Receptionist
Dir | Director of a Dept. (State Govt.) | RS | Railway Signalman

Fig. 21 Long Range Idealistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (Boys) - 2nd Grp. Of Professions

![Graph showing the percentage of students aspiring for different professions.]

Symbols | Professions | Symbols | Professions
--- | --- | --- | ---
FO | Farm Owner and Operator | S | Sweeper
RG | Railway Guard | OH | Owner (Small Hotel)
E | Engineer | T | Tailor
S | Salesman (Home Products) | C | Cashier in a Firm
AP | Airline Pilot | RC | Restaurant Cook
of home products irrespective of the parental encouragement they received.

Of the girls who got high parental encouragement 12.96% selected the profession of an airline pilot whereas 20.37% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the girls wanted to become a sweeper regardless of the parental encouragement they received. None of the girls who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming the owner of a small hotel whereas 1.85% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 1.85% of the girls who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a tailor whereas 7.41% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose this profession. None of the girls who got high parental encouragement chose to become a cashier in a firm whereas 1.85% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the girls opted to become a restaurant cook regardless of the parental encouragement they received.

Figure 20 shows the best jobs or professions, which, the boys would choose (if they were free to have any of them they wished), when they were 30 years old. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Long-Range Idealistic Goal Period expressed at the Mature Career Point. The investigator has divided the boys into two groups according to the independent variable - parental encouragement. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the boys receiving high parental encouragement and low parental encouragement. The figure indicates that 37.04% of boys, who received high parental encouragement, them opted for becoming an accountant in a large government office while 35.19% of the boys who received low parental
encouragement opted for the same. 5.56% of the boys who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a revenue record keeper whereas 7.41% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 16.67% of the boys selected the profession of a college lecturer irrespective of the parental encouragement they received. None of the boys opted for the profession of a fisherman regardless of the parental encouragement they received.

Among the boys who got high parental encouragement 18.52% chose the profession of the director of a department in the state government whereas 16.67% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the boys opted for becoming a night watchman regardless of the parental encouragement they received. 3.7% of the boys who got high parental encouragement selected the profession of a radio announcer whereas 1.85% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 11.11% of the boys who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a police constable whereas 18.52% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 3.7% of the boys who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a receptionist whereas 1.85% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 3.7% of the boys who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a railway signalman whereas 1.85% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

Figure 21 shows Long-Range Idealistic Goal Period, for boys, expressed at the Mature Career Point. They were provided another group of professions. 5.56% of the boys who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a farm owner and operator whereas 7.41% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 1.85% of the boys who received high parental encouragement opted for
Fig. 22 Long Range Realistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (1st Grp. Of Professions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Dentist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
<td>Physical Edu. Instructor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Scientist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Carpenter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Chairman (Large Municipality)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WC</td>
<td>Wood Cutter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>Newspaper Correspondent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD</td>
<td>Bus Driver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST</td>
<td>Steno Typist to an Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FW</td>
<td>Farm Worker</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 23 Long Range Realistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (2nd Grp. Of Professions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch</td>
<td>Chemist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OF</td>
<td>Owner (Farm or Factory of 100 people)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Shop Attendant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>District Magistrate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>Shoe Shiner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Commercial Artist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Typist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>Social Welfare Worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Cloth Presser in a Laundry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
becoming a railway guard whereas 16.67% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

Of the boys who got high parental encouragement 55.56% selected the profession of an engineer whereas 37.04% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the boys opted for becoming a door-to-door salesman of home products whereas 7.41% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 29.63% of the boys who got high parental encouragement selected the profession of an airline pilot whereas 24.07% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the boys wanted to become a sweeper regardless of the parental encouragement they received.

Irrespective of the parental encouragement they received 5.56% of the boys opted for becoming the owner of a small hotel. None of the boys who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a tailor whereas 1.85% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose this profession. 1.85% of the boys who got high parental encouragement chose to become a cashier in a firm whereas none of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the boys opted to become a restaurant cook regardless of the parental encouragement they received.

Figure 22 shows the best jobs or professions, which, the students were really sure they could get; by the time they were 30 years old. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Long-Range Realistic Goal Period expressed at the Mature Career Point. The investigator has divided the students into two groups according to the independent variable - parental encouragement. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the students receiving high parental encouragement and
low parental encouragement. The figure indicates that 25.93% of students, who received high parental encouragement, opted for becoming a dentist while 25% of the students who received low parental encouragement opted for the same. 6.48% of the students who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a physical education instructor whereas 11.11% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 35.19% of the students who got high parental encouragement selected the profession of a scientist whereas 27.78% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 2.78% of the students who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a carpenter whereas 1.85% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

Among the students who got high parental encouragement 14.81% opted for becoming the chairman of a large municipality whereas 9.26% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the students who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a woodcutter whereas 1.85% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 7.41% of the students who got high parental encouragement selected the profession of a newspaper correspondent whereas 9.26% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the students who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a bus driver whereas 1.85% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 4.63% of the students who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a steno-typist to an officer whereas 8.33% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 2.78% of the students who got high parental encouragement opted
for becoming a farm worker whereas 3.7% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

Figure 23 shows Long-Range Realistic Goal Period expressed at the Mature Career Point where they were provided another group of professions. 11.11% of the students who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a chemist whereas 12.04% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 16.67% of the students who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a nurse whereas 12.96% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

Of the students who got high parental encouragement, 13.89% selected the profession of owner of a farm or factory, which employs 100 people whereas 15.74% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 0.93% of the students who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a shop attendant whereas 3.7% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 39.81% of the students who got high parental encouragement selected the profession of a district magistrate whereas 30.56% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 1.85% of the students who got high parental encouragement opted to become a shoe shiner whereas 3.7% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

Irrespective of the parental encouragement they received, 2.78% of the students opted for becoming a commercial artist. 4.63% of the students who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a typist whereas 5.56% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose this profession. 8.33% of the students who received high parental encouragement chose to become a social welfare worker.
Fig. 24 Long Range Realistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (Girls)-1st Grp. Of Professions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Dentist</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>Wood Cutter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
<td>Physical Edu. Instructor</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>Newspaper Correspondent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Scientist</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>Bus Driver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Carpenter</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>Steno Typist to an Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Chairman (Large Municipality)</td>
<td>FW</td>
<td>Farm Worker</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 25 Long Range Realistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (Girls)-2nd Grp. Of Professions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch</td>
<td>Chemist</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>Shoe Shiner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Commercial Artist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OF</td>
<td>Owner (Factory of 100 people)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Typist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Shop Attendant</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>Social Welfare Worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>District Magistrate</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Cloth Presser in a Laundry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
whereas 12.04% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose this profession. None of the students who received high parental encouragement opted to become a cloth presser in an industry whereas 0.93% of the students who got low parental encouragement chose this profession.

Figure 24 shows the best jobs or professions, which, the girls were really sure they could get; by the time they were 30 years old. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Long-Range Realistic Goal Period expressed at the Mature Career Point. The investigator has divided the girls into two groups according to the independent variable - parental encouragement. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the girls receiving high parental encouragement and low parental encouragement.

The figure indicates that 33.33% of girls, who received high parental encouragement, them opted for becoming a dentist while 35.19% of the girls who received low parental encouragement opted for the same. 5.56% of the girls who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a physical education instructor whereas 11.11% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 42.59% of the girls who got high parental encouragement selected the profession of a scientist whereas 18.52% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the girls who got high parental encouragement opted the profession of a carpenter whereas 3.7% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 5.56% of the girls who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming the chairman of a large municipality whereas 1.85% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the girls opted for
becoming a woodcutter irrespective of the parental encouragement they received. 7.41% of the girls who got high parental encouragement selected the profession of a newspaper correspondent whereas 14.81% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

None of the girls opted for becoming a bus driver regardless of the parental encouragement they received. 3.7% of the girls who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a steno-typist to an officer whereas 9.26% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 1.85% of the girls who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a farm worker whereas 5.56% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

Figure 25 shows Long-Range Realistic Goal Period, for girls, expressed at the Mature Career Point. They were provided with another group of professions. 14.81% of the girls who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a chemist whereas 12.96% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 27.78% of the girls who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a nurse whereas 24.07% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 3.7% of the girls who got high parental encouragement selected the profession of owner of a farm or factory, which employs 100 people whereas 7.41% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 1.85% of the girls who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a shop attendant whereas 3.7% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

Among the girls who got high parental encouragement 31.48% selected the profession of a district magistrate whereas 25.93% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 3.7% of the girls opted to become a shoe shiner irrespective of the parental
Fig. 26 Long Range Realistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (Boys)-1st Grp. Of Professions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Dentist</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>Wood Cutter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
<td>Physical Edu. Instructor</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>Newspaper Correspondent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Scientist</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>Bus Driver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Carpenter</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>Steno Typist to an Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Chairman (Large Municipality)</td>
<td>FW</td>
<td>Farm Worker</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 27 Long Range Realistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (Boys)-2nd Grp. Of Professions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch</td>
<td>Chemist</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>Shoe Shiner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Commercial Artist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OF</td>
<td>Owner (Farm or Factory of 100 people)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Typist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Shop Attendant</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>Social Welfare Worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>District Magistrate</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Cloth Presser in a Laundry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
encouragement they received. 1.85% of the girls who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a commercial artist whereas 3.7% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 5.56% of the girls opted for becoming a typist regardless of the parental encouragement they received. 9.26% of the girls who received high parental encouragement chose to become a social welfare worker whereas 12.96% of the girls who got low parental encouragement chose this profession. None of the girls opted to become a cloth presser in an industry irrespective of the parental encouragement they received.

Figure 26 shows the best jobs or professions, which, the boys were really sure they could get; by the time they were 30 years old. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Long-Range Realistic Goal Period expressed at the Mature Career Point. The investigator has divided the boys into two groups according to the independent variable - parental encouragement. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the boys receiving high parental encouragement and low parental encouragement.

The figure indicates that 14.81% of boys, who received high parental encouragement, them opted for becoming a dentist while 12.96% of the boys who received low parental encouragement opted for the same. 5.56% of the boys who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a physical education instructor whereas 12.96% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 35.19% of the boys who got high parental encouragement selected the profession of a scientist whereas 37.04% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 7.41% of the boys who got high parental encouragement opted the profession of a carpenter whereas none of the
boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 24.07% of the boys who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming the chairman of a large municipality whereas 16.67% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the boys who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a woodcutter whereas 3.7% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 7.41% of the boys selected the profession of a newspaper correspondent regardless of the parental encouragement they received.

None of the boys who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a bus driver whereas 1.85% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 3.7% of the boys who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a steno-typist to an officer whereas 5.56% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 1.85% of the boys opted for becoming a farm worker irrespective of the parental encouragement they received.

Figure 27 shows Long-Range Realistic Goal Period, for boys, expressed at the Mature Career Point. They were provided another group of professions. 7.41% of the boys who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a chemist whereas 11.11% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the boys who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a nurse whereas 1.85% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 24.07% of the boys who got high parental encouragement selected the profession of owner of a farm or factory, which employs 100 people whereas 22.22% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the boys who received high parental encouragement opted for becoming a shop attendant
whereas 1.85% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

Of the boys who got high parental encouragement 53.7% selected the profession of a district magistrate whereas 35.19% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. None of the boys who got high parental encouragement opted to become a shoe shiner whereas 3.7% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 3.7% of the boys who got high parental encouragement opted for becoming a commercial artist whereas 1.85% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same. 5.56% of the boys opted for becoming a typist regardless of the parental encouragement they received.

Among the boys who received high parental encouragement 5.56% chose to become a social welfare worker whereas 14.81% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose this profession. None of the boys who received high parental encouragement opted to become a cloth presser in an industry whereas 1.85% of the boys who got low parental encouragement chose the same.

**Distribution showing Mean and Standard Deviations of Parental Encouragement and Vocational Aspiration**

**Table No. 6**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th></th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>S.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Parental Encouragement</td>
<td>66.675</td>
<td>8.601</td>
<td>66.495</td>
<td>7.424</td>
<td>66.585</td>
<td>8.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Vocational Aspiration</td>
<td>51.895</td>
<td>8.525</td>
<td>54.290</td>
<td>8.961</td>
<td>53.092</td>
<td>8.828</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The above table shows the mean scores as well as standard deviations of the variables - parental encouragement and vocational aspiration among boys and girls.

**Distribution showing t-test scores indicating Significance of Difference between Means of Male/Female Groups of Parental Encouragement and Vocational Aspiration**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Girls Mean</th>
<th>Girls S.D.</th>
<th>Boys Mean</th>
<th>Boys S.D.</th>
<th>t-Test Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Parental Encouragement</td>
<td>66.675</td>
<td>8.601</td>
<td>66.495</td>
<td>7.424</td>
<td>0.224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Vocational Aspiration</td>
<td>51.895</td>
<td>8.525</td>
<td>54.290</td>
<td>8.961</td>
<td>2.738</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above table shows the mean scores of the variables - parental encouragement and vocational aspiration. These means were separately calculated for boys and girls. T-test was performed to compare the difference between these means. The above table shows that the mean score of girls (66.675) on parental encouragement was higher than that of boys (66.495). But this difference was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. The mean score of boys (8.961) on vocational aspirations was higher than that of girls (8.525). The t-value came out to be 2.738, which was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. Therefore it can be concluded that the difference between the means of boys and girls on vocational aspirations was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.
Correlation Matrix for the Total Sample (N=400) indicating the correlation between Parental Encouragement and Vocational Aspiration

Table No. 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Parental Encouragement</th>
<th>Peer Acceptability</th>
<th>Socio-Economic Status</th>
<th>Vocational Aspiration</th>
<th>Educational Aspiration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parental Encouragement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer Acceptability</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-Economic Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>-0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above table shows the correlation matrix for the correlation conducted on the entire sample of 400 students. The values of the coefficient of correlation (r) for the relationship between parental encouragement and vocational aspiration, parental encouragement-socio-economic status and parental encouragement-peer acceptability came out to be 0.229, 0.188 and 0.076 respectively. All these values were positive i.e. as one variable increased the other also increased slightly.

The ‘r’ value for parental encouragement and vocational aspiration was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This indicated the relationship of parental encouragement and vocational aspiration was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This implied that as the parental encouragement increased the vocational aspiration of the students also increased slightly (because the ‘r’ value is small). The correlation between parental encouragement- peer acceptability as well as parental encouragement- socio-economic status has been already
discussed in the previous subchapter. Therefore, it can be concluded that parental encouragement was positively correlated with vocational aspiration and it was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

**Correlation Matrix for Female Students (N=200) indicating the correlation between Parental Encouragement and Vocational Aspiration**

**Table No.9**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Parental Encouragement</th>
<th>Peer Acceptability</th>
<th>Socio-Economic Status</th>
<th>Vocational Aspiration</th>
<th>Educational Aspiration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parental Encouragement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer Acceptability</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-Economic Status</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlation was performed on the female students of the sample (N=200). The results indicated that the ‘r’ values of parental encouragement – vocational aspiration, parental encouragement- socio-economic status as well as parental encouragement- peer acceptability were 0.296, 0.161 and 0.103 respectively. These values were positive i.e. as one variable increased the other also increased.

The ‘r’ value for parental encouragement and vocational aspirations of girls was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This indicated that the relationship between parental encouragement and vocational aspiration was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This implied that as the parental encouragement received by the girls
increased their vocational aspirations also increased slightly (because the ‘r’ value was small). The correlation between parental encouragement – peer acceptability and parental encouragement – socio- economic status have been already discussed in the previous subchapter. Thus, it can be concluded that parental encouragement received by girls had a positive correlation with their vocational aspirations and it was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

**Correlation Matrix for Male Students (N=200) indicating the correlation between Parental Encouragement and Vocational Aspiration**

**Table No.10**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Parental Encouragement</th>
<th>Peer Acceptability</th>
<th>Socio-Economic Status</th>
<th>Vocational Aspiration</th>
<th>Educational Aspiration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parental Encouragement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer Acceptability</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-Economic Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>-0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlation analysis was conducted on the male students of the sample (N=200). The values of the coefficient of correlation (r) for the relationship between parental encouragement and vocational aspiration, parental encouragement-socio- economic status and parental encouragement-peer acceptability came out to be 0.231, 0.231 and 0.043 respectively. All these values were positive i.e. as one variable increased the other also increased slightly.
Fig. 28 Educational Aspirations of Students having High and Low Peer Acceptability

Symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Level of Educational Aspiration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VH</td>
<td>Very High Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>High Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>Above average Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Average Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td>Below Average Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Low Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VL</td>
<td>Very Low Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The ‘r’ value for parental encouragement and vocational aspiration was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This indicated that the relationship of parental encouragement and vocational aspiration was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This implied that as the parental encouragement received by the boys increased their vocational aspirations also increased slightly (because ‘r’ value was small). The correlations between parental encouragement - peer acceptability and parental encouragement – socio-economic status have been discussed in the previous subchapter. Therefore, it can be concluded that parental encouragement received by boys was positively correlated with their vocational aspirations and it was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

4.3 PEER GROUP ACCEPTABILITY AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS

Figure 28 shows the level of educational aspirations of the entire sample of 400 students. The investigator has divided the students into two groups according to the independent variable – peer acceptability. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the level of educational aspirations of the students having high peer acceptability and low peer acceptability.

The figure indicated that 0.93% of the students having high peer acceptability had very high educational aspirations while 3.7% of the students having low peer acceptability had the same level of educational aspirations. 34.26% of the students having high peer acceptability had high educational aspirations while 25% of the students having low peer acceptability had the same level of educational aspirations. In the case of the students having high peer acceptability, 12.96% of them had above average educational aspirations while 15.74% of the students having low peer acceptability had the same level of
Fig. 29 Educational Aspirations of Girls having High and Low Peer Acceptability

Symbols | Level of Educational Aspiration
---------|--------------------------------------------------
VH       | Very High Educational Aspiration
H        | High Educational Aspiration
AA       | Above average Educational Aspiration
A        | Average Educational Aspiration
BA       | Below Average Educational Aspiration
L        | Low Educational Aspiration
VL       | Very Low Educational Aspiration
educational aspirations. 42.59% of the students having high peer acceptability had average educational aspirations while 36.4% of the students having low peer acceptability had the same level of educational aspirations.

In the case of the students having high peer acceptability, 4.63% of them had below average educational aspirations while 8.33% of the students having low peer acceptability had the same level of educational aspirations. 4.63% of the students having high peer acceptability had low educational aspirations while 11.11% of the students having low peer acceptability had the same level of educational aspirations. None of the students had very low educational aspirations irrespective of the peer acceptability they had. So, it can be concluded that majority of the students had average educational aspirations regardless of the peer acceptability they had.

Figure 29 indicates the level of educational aspirations of the girls. The investigator has divided the girls into two groups according to the independent variable - peer acceptability. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the level of educational aspirations of the girls having high peer acceptability and low peer acceptability. The figure indicated that 1.85% of the girls having high peer acceptability had very high educational aspirations while 7.41% of the girls having low peer acceptability had the same level of educational aspirations. 31.48% of the girls having high peer acceptability had high educational aspirations while 25.93% of the girls having low peer acceptability had the same level of educational aspirations.

In the case of the girls having high peer acceptability, 11.11% of them had above average educational aspirations while 18.52% of the girls having low peer acceptability had the same level of
Fig. 30 Educational Aspirations of Boys having High and Low Peer Acceptability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Level of Educational Aspiration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VH</td>
<td>Very High Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>High Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>Above average Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Average Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td>Below Average Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Low Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VL</td>
<td>Very Low Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
educational aspirations. 50% of the girls receiving high peer acceptability had average educational aspirations while 35.19% of the girls having low peer acceptability had the same level of educational aspirations. In the case of the girls having high peer acceptability, 1.85% of them had below average educational aspirations while 3.7% of the girls having low peer acceptability had the same level of educational aspirations. 3.7% of the girls having high peer acceptability had low educational aspirations while 9.26% of the girls having low peer acceptability had the same level of educational aspirations. None of the girls had very low educational aspirations irrespective of the peer acceptability they had. So, it can be concluded that majority of the girls had average educational aspirations regardless of the peer acceptability they had.

Figure 30 indicates the level of educational aspirations of the boys. The investigator has divided the boys into two groups according to the independent variable - peer acceptability. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the level of educational aspirations of the boys having high peer acceptability and low peer acceptability. The figure indicated that none of the boys having high peer acceptability had very high educational aspirations while 1.85% of the boys having low peer acceptability had the same level of educational aspirations. 37.04% of the boys having high peer acceptability had high educational aspirations while 20.37% of the boys having low peer acceptability had the same level of educational aspirations.

In the case of the boys having high peer acceptability 16.67% of them had above average educational aspirations while 14.81% of the boys having low peer acceptability had the same level of educational aspirations. 33.33% of the boys having high peer acceptability had average educational aspirations while 37.04% of the
boys having low peer acceptability had the same level of educational aspirations. In the case of the boys having high peer acceptability, 7.41% of them had below average educational aspirations while 12.96% of the boys having low peer acceptability had the same level of educational aspirations. 5.56% of the boys having high peer acceptability had low educational aspirations while 12.96% of the boys having low peer acceptability had the same level of educational aspirations.

None of the boys had very low educational aspirations irrespective of the peer acceptability they had. So, it can be concluded that majority of the boys who had high peer acceptability had high educational aspirations whereas majority of the boys who had low peer acceptability had average educational aspirations.

**Distribution showing Mean and Standard Deviations of Peer Acceptability and Educational Aspirations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Peer Acceptability</td>
<td>11.125</td>
<td>2.227</td>
<td>11.025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above table shows the mean scores as well as standard deviations of the variables - peer acceptability and educational aspiration among boys and girls.

**Distribution showing t-test scores indicating Significance of Difference between Means of Male/Female Groups of Peer Acceptability and Educational Aspiration**
The above table shows the mean scores of the variables – peer acceptability and educational aspiration. These means were separately calculated for boys and girls. T-test was performed to compare the difference between these means. The above table shows that the mean score of girls (11.125) on peer acceptability was higher than that of boys (11.025). But this difference was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

Similarly, the mean score of girls (24.355) on educational aspirations was higher than that of boys (23.845). But this difference was also not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. Therefore, it can be concluded that the difference between the means of boys and girls on peer acceptability as well as educational aspiration was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

**Correlation Matrix for the Total Sample (N=400) indicating the correlation between Peer Acceptability and Educational Aspiration**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>t-Test Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Peer Acceptability</td>
<td>11.125</td>
<td>2.227</td>
<td>11.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Educational Aspiration</td>
<td>24.355</td>
<td>4.520</td>
<td>23.845</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table No. 12**
The above table shows the correlation matrix for the correlation conducted on the entire sample of 400 students. The values of the coefficient of correlation (r) for the relationship between peer acceptability – educational aspiration and peer acceptability – socio-economic status came out to be 0.057 and 0.133 respectively. All these values were positive i.e. as one variable increased the other also increased slightly.

The ‘r’ value for peer acceptability and educational aspiration was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This indicated the relationship of parental encouragement and vocational aspiration was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. On the other hand the ‘r’ value for peer acceptability and socio-economic status was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This indicated that the correlation of peer acceptability and socio-economic status was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This implied that as the socio-economic status increased peer acceptability also increased slightly (because the ‘r’ value was small). Therefore, it can be concluded that
peer acceptability was positively correlated with educational aspiration but it was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

**Correlation Matrix for Female Students (N=200) indicating the correlation between Peer Acceptability and Educational Aspiration**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Parental Encouragement</th>
<th>Peer Acceptability</th>
<th>Socio-Economic Status</th>
<th>Vocational Aspiration</th>
<th>Educational Aspiration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parental Encouragement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer Acceptability</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-Economic Status</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>-0.048</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlation was performed on the female students of the sample (N=200). The results indicated that the ‘r’ values of peer acceptability – educational aspiration and peer acceptability – socio-economic status were 0.034 and 0.083 respectively. These values were positive i.e. as one variable increased the other also increased.

The ‘r’ value for peer acceptability and educational aspirations of girls was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This indicated that the relationship of peer acceptability and educational aspirations of girls was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

Similarly, the ‘r’ value of peer acceptability and socio-economic status was also not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This indicated that the relationship of peer acceptability and socio-economic status of girls was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. Thus, it can be concluded that peer acceptability of girls had
a positive correlation with their educational aspirations but it was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

**Correlation Matrix for Male Students (N=200) indicating the correlation between Peer Acceptability and Educational Aspiration**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Parental Encouragement</th>
<th>Peer Acceptability</th>
<th>Socio-Economic Status</th>
<th>Vocational Aspiration</th>
<th>Educational Aspiration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parental Encouragement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer Acceptability</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-Economic Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>-0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlation analysis was conducted on the male students of the sample (N=200). The values of the coefficient of correlation (r) for the relationship between peer acceptability – educational aspiration and peer acceptability – socio-economic status came out to be 0.080 and 0.204 respectively. All these values were positive i.e. as one variable increased the other also increased slightly.

The ‘r’ value for peer acceptability and educational aspiration was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This indicated that the relationship of peer acceptability and educational aspiration of boys was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

The ‘r’ value for peer acceptability and socio-economic status was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This indicated that the relationship of peer acceptability and socio-economic status was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This implied that as the socio-economic status increased peer acceptability of the boys slightly
Fig. 31 Short Range Idealistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (1st Grp. of Professions)

Symbols | Professions          | Symbols | Professions
---------|----------------------|---------|----------------------
GC       | Govt. Contractor     | S       | Maid Servant         
IA       | Insurance Agent      | OPP     | Owner-Operator(Printing Press) 
MP       | Member of Parliament | E       | Electrician          
C        | Clerk in Office      | P       | Priest               
G        | State Governor       | TD      | Truck Driver         

Fig. 32 Short Range Idealistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (2nd Grp. of Professions)

Symbols | Professions          | Symbols | Professions
---------|----------------------|---------|----------------------
N        | Novelist             | PP      | Petrol Pump Attendant 
SA       | Soldier in the Army  | A       | Artist who Paints Pictures 
BM       | Bank Manager         | LW      | Lady Village Level Worker 
TaD      | Taxi Driver          | Ph      | Photographer          
CabM     | Cabinet Minister(Central Govt.) | CM | Coal Miner 

% of Students

Professions
increased (because the ‘r’ value was small). Therefore, it can be concluded that peer acceptability of boys was positively correlated with their educational aspirations but it was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

4.4 PEER GROUP ACCEPTABILITY AND VOCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS

Figure 31 shows the jobs or professions, which, the students would choose, if they were free to choose (any of them they wished), when their schooling was over. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Short-Range Idealistic Goal Period expressed at the Initial Career Point. The investigator has divided the students into two groups according to the independent variable – peer acceptability. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the students receiving high peer acceptability and low peer acceptability.

The figure indicates that students, who received high peer acceptability, 6.48% of them opted for becoming a government contractor while 10.19% of the students who received low peer acceptability opted for the same. 30.56% of the students who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming an insurance agent whereas 21.3% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 17.59% of the students who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a member of parliament whereas 12.96% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 23.15% of the students who got high peer acceptability selected the profession of an office clerk whereas 24.07% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same.

Among the students who got high peer acceptability 15.74% opted for becoming a state governor whereas 23.15% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the
students opted to become a maidservant irrespective of the peer acceptability they received. 2.78% of the students who got high peer acceptability opted to become the owner-operator of a printing press whereas 4.63% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 2.78% of the students who got high peer acceptability selected the profession of an electrician whereas 1.85% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. Only 0.93% of the students chose the profession of priest or pujari irrespective of the peer acceptability they received. None of the students who got high peer acceptability chose the profession of a truck driver whereas 0.93% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same.

Figure 32 shows Short-Range Idealistic Goal Period expressed at the Initial Career Point where another group of professions were provided. 1.85% of the students who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a novelist whereas 3.7% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 14.81% of the students who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a soldier in the army whereas 22.22% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 43.52% of the students who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a bank manager whereas 36.11% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 0.93% of the students who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a taxi driver whereas 2.78% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same.

Of the students who got high peer acceptability 18.52% opted for becoming a cabinet minister in central government whereas 16.67% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 0.93% of the students who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a petrol pump attendant whereas 5.56% of the students who
Fig. 33 Short Range Idealistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (Girls) - 1st Grp. Of Professions

Symbols | Professions | Symbols | Professions
---|---|---|---
GC | Govt. Contractor | S | Maid Servant
IA | Insurance Agent | OPP | Owner-Operator (Printing Press)
MP | Member of Parliament | E | Electrician
C | Clerk in Office | P | Priest
G | State Governor | TD | Truck Driver

Fig. 34 Short Range Idealistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (Girls) - 2nd Grp. Of Professions

Symbols | Professions | Symbols | Professions
---|---|---|---
N | Novelist | PP | Petrol Pump Attendant
SA | Soldier in the Army | A | Artist who Paints Pictures
BM | Bank Manager | LW | Lady Village Level Worker
TaD | Taxi Driver | Ph | Photographer
CabM | Cabinet Minister (Central Govt.) | CM | Coal Miner
got low peer acceptability chose the same. 10.19% of the students who
got high peer acceptability opted for becoming an artist who paints
pictures whereas 7.41% of the students who got low peer acceptability
chose the same. 7.41% of the students who received high peer
acceptability opted for becoming a lady village level worker whereas
0.93% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same.
0.93% of the students who got high peer acceptability chose to become
photographer whereas 4.63% of the students who got low peer
acceptability chose the same. 0.93% of the students who received high
peer acceptability chose to become a coal miner but none of the students
who got low peer acceptability opted for this profession.

Figure 33 shows the jobs or professions, which, the girl
students would choose, if they were free to choose (any of them they
wished), when their schooling was over. The students were given a group
of 10 professions. This was their Short-Range Idealistic Goal Period
expressed at the Initial Career Point. The investigator has divided the
girls into two groups according to the independent variable – peer
acceptability. This division has been done in order to find out the
variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the girls receiving
high peer acceptability and low peer acceptability.

The figure indicates that 3.7% of girls, who received high
peer acceptability, them opted for becoming a government contractor
while 7.41% of the girls who received low peer acceptability opted for
the same. 37.04% of the girls who got high peer acceptability opted for
becoming an insurance agent whereas 25.93% of the girls who got low
peer acceptability chose the same. 14.81% of the girls who got high peer
acceptability opted for becoming a member of parliament whereas
18.52% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same.
25.93% of the girls who got high peer acceptability selected the profession of an office clerk whereas 24.07% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same.

Of the girls who got high peer acceptability 12.96% opted for becoming a state governor whereas 20.37% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the students opted to become a maidservant irrespective of the peer acceptability they received. 3.7% of the girls who got high peer acceptability opted to become the owner-operator of a printing press whereas 1.85% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the girls selected the profession of an electrician regardless of the peer acceptability they received. 1.85% of the girls opted for the profession of priest or pujari irrespective of the peer acceptability they received. None of the girls chose the profession of a truck driver regardless of the peer acceptability they received.

Figure 34 shows Short-Range Idealistic Goal Period, for girls, expressed at the Initial Career Point. They were provided another group of professions. 1.85% of the girls who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a novelist whereas 5.56% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 9.26% of the girls who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a soldier in the army whereas 5.56% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 40.74% of the girls who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a bank manager whereas 35.19% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the girls who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a taxi driver whereas 3.7% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same.

Regardless of the peer acceptability they received 18.52% of the girls opted for becoming a cabinet minister in central government.
Fig. 35 Short Range Idealistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (Boys) - 1st Grp. Of Professions

Symbols | Professions | Symbols | Professions
--- | --- | --- | ---
GC | Govt. Contractor | S | Maid Servant
IA | Insurance Agent | OPP | Owner-Operator (Printing Press)
MP | Member of Parliament | E | Electrician
C | Clerk in Office | P | Priest
G | State Governor | TD | Truck Driver

Fig. 36 Short Range Idealistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (Boys) - 2nd Grp. Of Professions

Symbols | Professions | Symbols | Professions
--- | --- | --- | ---
N | Novelist | PP | Petrol Pump Attendant
SA | Soldier in the Army | A | Artist who Paints Pictures
BM | Bank Manager | LW | Lady Village Level Worker
TaD | Taxi Driver | Ph | Photographer
CabM | Cabinet Minister (Central Govt.) | CM | Coal Miner
None of the girls who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a petrol pump attendant whereas 12.96% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 16.67% of the girls who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming an artist who paints pictures whereas 9.26% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 9.26% of the girls who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a lady village level worker whereas none of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 1.85% of the girls who got high peer acceptability chose to become photographer whereas 9.26% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 1.85% of the girls who received high peer acceptability chose to become a coal miner but none of the girls who got low peer acceptability opted for this profession.

Figure 35 shows the jobs or professions, which, the boys would choose, if they were free to choose (any of them they wished), when their schooling was over. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Short-Range Idealistic Goal Period expressed at the Initial Career Point. The investigator has divided the boys into two groups according to the independent variable – peer acceptability. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the boys receiving high peer acceptability and low peer acceptability.

The figure indicates that 9.26% of boys, who received high peer acceptability, opted for becoming a government contractor whereas 11.11% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 24.07% of the boys who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming an insurance agent whereas 14.81% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 20.37% of the boys who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a member of parliament whereas 9.26%
of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 18.52% of the boys who got high peer acceptability selected the profession of an office clerk whereas 24.07% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same.

Among the boys who got high peer acceptability 20.37% opted for becoming a state governor whereas 27.78% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the boys opted to become a maidservant irrespective of the peer acceptability they received. 1.85% of the boys who got high peer acceptability opted to become the owner-operator of a printing press whereas 7.41% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 5.56% of the boys who got high peer acceptability selected the profession of an electrician whereas 3.7% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the boys opted for the profession of priest or pujari irrespective of the peer acceptability they received. None of the boys who got high peer acceptability chose the profession of a truck driver whereas 1.85% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same.

Figure 36 shows Short-Range Idealistic Goal Period, for boys, expressed at the Initial Career Point. They were provided another group of professions. 1.85% of the boys opted for becoming a novelist regardless of the peer acceptability they received. 20.37% of the boys who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a soldier in the army whereas 38.89% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same.

Of the boys who got high peer acceptability 46.3% opted for becoming a bank manager whereas 35.19% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 1.85% of the boys opted for becoming a taxi driver irrespective of the peer acceptability they received. 20.37%
Fig. 37 Short Range Realistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (1st Grp. Of Professions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Lawyer</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Barber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Agriculture Inspector</td>
<td>Psy</td>
<td>Psychologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Doctor</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>Motor Mechanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PST</td>
<td>Primary School Teacher</td>
<td>TS</td>
<td>Travelling Salesman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Diplomat in the IFS</td>
<td>Po</td>
<td>Postman</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 38 Short Range Realistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (2nd Grp. Of Professions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AH</td>
<td>Airline Hostess</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Restaurant Waiter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM</td>
<td>Trained Mechanist</td>
<td>Mu</td>
<td>Instrumental Musician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Captain in the Army</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Machine Operator in a Factory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Midwife (Dai)</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Librarian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>Supreme Court Justice</td>
<td>PI</td>
<td>Plumber</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of the boys who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a cabinet minister in central government whereas 12.96% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 1.85% of the boys who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a petrol pump attendant whereas none of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. Among the boys who got high peer acceptability 1.85% opted for becoming an artist who paints pictures whereas 7.41% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 5.56% of the boys who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a lady village level worker whereas 1.85% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the boys who got high peer acceptability chose to become photographer regardless of the peer acceptability they received. None of the boys chose to become a coal miner irrespective of the peer acceptability they received.

Figure 37 shows the best jobs or professions, which, the students were really sure that they would get, when their schooling was over. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Short-Range Realistic Goal Period expressed at the Initial Career Point. The investigator has divided the students into two groups according to the independent variable – peer acceptability. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the students receiving high peer acceptability and low peer acceptability.

The figure indicates that 14.81% of students, who received high peer acceptability, opted for becoming a lawyer while 17.59% of the students who received low peer acceptability opted for the same. 0.93% of the students opted for becoming an agriculture inspector irrespective of the peer acceptability they received. 45.37% of the
students who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a doctor whereas 49.07% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 15.74% of the students who got high peer acceptability selected the profession of primary school teacher whereas 18.52% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 10.19% of the students who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a diplomat in the Indian Foreign Service whereas 3.7% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the students opted for becoming a barber irrespective of the peer acceptability they received. 3.7% of the students who got high peer acceptability selected the profession of a psychologist whereas 2.78% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same.

Of the students who got high peer acceptability 1.85% opted for becoming a motor mechanic whereas 2.78% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 7.41% of the students who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a traveling salesman for a wholesale firm whereas 3.7% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the students who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a postman whereas 0.93% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same.

Figure 38 shows Short-Range Realistic Goal Period expressed at the Initial Career Point where they were provided another group of professions. 18.52% of the students who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming an airline hostess whereas 14.81% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 0.93% of the students who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a trained machinist whereas 2.78% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 33.33% of the students who got high peer
Fig. 39 Short Range Realistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (Girls) - 1st Grp. Of Professions

Fig. 40 Short Range Realistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (Girls) - 2nd Grp. Of Professions

Symbols | Professions | Symbols | Professions
---|---|---|---
L | Lawyer | B | Barber
A | Agriculture Inspector | Psy | Psychologist
D | Doctor | MM | Motor Mechanic
PST | Primary School Teacher | TS | Travelling Salesman
IFS | Diplomat in the IFS | Po | Postman

Symbols | Professions | Symbols | Professions
---|---|---|---
AH | Airline Hostess | W | Restaurant Waiter
TM | Trained Mechanist | Mu | Instrumental Musician
C | Captain in the Army | O | Machine Operator in a Factory
M | Midwife (Dai) | L | Librarian
J | Supreme Court Justice | Pl | Plumber
acceptability opted for becoming a captain in the army whereas 25% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 0.93% of the students opted for becoming a midwife irrespective of the peer acceptability they received.

Among the students who got high peer acceptability 25% opted for becoming Supreme Court justice in central government whereas 33.33% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the students wanted to become a restaurant waiter regardless of the peer acceptability they received. 6.48% of the students who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming an instrumental musician whereas 10.19% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 1.85% of the students who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a machine operator in a factory whereas 2.78% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose this profession. 12.04% of the students who got high peer acceptability chose to become a librarian whereas 10.19% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 0.93% of the students who received high peer acceptability chose to become a plumber but none of the students who got low peer acceptability opted for this profession.

Figure 39 shows the best jobs or professions, which, the girls were really sure that they would get, when their schooling was over. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Short-Range Realistic Goal Period expressed at the Initial Career Point. The investigator has divided the girls into two groups according to the independent variable - peer acceptability. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the girls receiving high peer acceptability and low peer acceptability.
The figure indicates that 20.37% of girls, who received high peer acceptability, opted for becoming a lawyer while 14.81% of the girls who received low peer acceptability opted for the same. None of the girls who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming an agriculture inspector whereas 1.85% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 51.85% of the girls who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a doctor whereas 42.59% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 20.37% of the girls who got high peer acceptability selected the profession of primary school teacher whereas 27.78% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 3.7% of the girls who got high peer acceptability chose to become a diplomat in the Indian Foreign Service whereas 5.56% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the girls opted to become a barber irrespective of the peer acceptability they received. 1.85% of the girls who got high peer acceptability selected the profession of a psychologist whereas 5.56% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same.

None of the girls opted for becoming a motor mechanic irrespective of the peer acceptability they received. 1.85% of the girls who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a traveling salesman for a wholesale firm whereas none of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the girls who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a postman whereas 1.85% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same.

Figure 40 shows Short-Range Realistic Goal Period, for girls, expressed at the Initial Career Point. They were given another group of professions. 27.78% of the girls opted for becoming an airline hostess irrespective of the peer acceptability they received. None of the
Fig. 41 Short Range Realistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (Boys) - 1st Grp. Of Professions

### Professions Symbols
- L: Lawyer
- A: Agriculture Inspector
- D: Doctor
- PST: Primary School Teacher
- IFS: Diplomat in the IFS

### Professions
- B: Barber
- Psy: Psychologist
- MM: Motor Mechanic
- TS: Travelling Salesman
- Po: Postman

Fig. 42 Short Range Realistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (Boys) - 2nd Grp. Of Professions

### Professions Symbols
- AH: Airline Hostess
- TM: Trained Mechanist
- C: Captain in the Army
- M: Midwife (Dai)
- J: Supreme Court Justice

### Professions
- W: Restaurant Waiter
- Mu: Instrumental Musician
- O: Machine Operator in a Factory
- L: Librarian
- Plumber

### Table of Professions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AH</td>
<td>Airline Hostess</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Restaurant Waiter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM</td>
<td>Trained Mechanist</td>
<td>Mu</td>
<td>Instrumental Musician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Captain in the Army</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Machine Operator in a Factory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Midwife (Dai)</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Librarian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>Supreme Court Justice</td>
<td>Pl</td>
<td>Plumber</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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girls opted for becoming a trained machinist regardless of the peer acceptability they received. 22.22% of the girls who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a captain in the army whereas 11.11% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 1.85% of the girls who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a midwife whereas none of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same.

Of the girls who got high peer acceptability 24.07% opted for becoming Supreme Court justice in central government whereas 31.48% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the girls wanted to become a restaurant waiter regardless of the peer acceptability they received. 12.96% of the girls who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming an instrumental musician whereas 16.67% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the girls opted for becoming a machine operator in a factory irrespective of the peer acceptability they received. 11.11% of the girls who got high peer acceptability chose to become a librarian whereas 12.96% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the girls chose to become a plumber regardless of the peer acceptability they received.

Figure 41 shows the best jobs or professions, which, the boys were really sure that they would get, when their schooling was over. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Short-Range Realistic Goal Period expressed at the Initial Career Point. The investigator has divided the boys into two groups according to the independent variable - peer acceptability. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the boys receiving high peer acceptability and low peer acceptability.
The figure indicates that 7.41% of boys, who received high peer acceptability, opted for becoming a lawyer while 18.52% of the boys who received low peer acceptability opted for the same. 1.85% of the boys who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming an agriculture inspector whereas none of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 38.89% of the boys who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a doctor whereas 53.7% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 11.11% of the boys selected the profession of primary school teacher irrespective of the peer acceptability they received. 18.52% of the boys who got high peer acceptability chose to become a diplomat in the Indian Foreign Service whereas 3.7% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the boys opted to become a barber regardless of the peer acceptability they received. 5.56% of the boys who got high peer acceptability selected the profession of a psychologist whereas none of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same.

3.7% of the boys who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a motor mechanic whereas 5.56% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 12.96% of the boys who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a traveling salesman for a wholesale firm whereas 7.41% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the boys opted for becoming a postman irrespective of the peer acceptability they received.

Figure 42 shows Short-Range Realistic Goal Period, for boys, expressed at the Initial Career Point. They were provided with another group of professions. 9.26% of the boys who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming an airline hostess whereas 1.85% of the boys who received low peer acceptability chose the same. 1.85% of the
**Fig. 43** Long Range Idealistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (1st Grp. Of Professions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accountant (Large Govt. Office)</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>Night Watchman</td>
<td>NW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Record Keeper</td>
<td>RK</td>
<td>Radio Announcer</td>
<td>RA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Lecturer</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Police Constable</td>
<td>PC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisherman</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Receptionist</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Director of a Dept. (State Govt.)</td>
<td>Dir</td>
<td>Railway Signalman</td>
<td>RS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 44** Long Range Idealistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (2nd Grp. Of Professions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farm Owner and Operator</td>
<td>FO</td>
<td>Owner (Small Hotel)</td>
<td>OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railway Guard</td>
<td>RG</td>
<td>Tailor</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineer</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Cashier in a Firm</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salesman (Home Products)</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Restaurant Cook</td>
<td>RC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airline Pilot</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
boys who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a trained machinist whereas 5.56% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same.

Among the boys who got high peer acceptability 46.3% opted for becoming a captain in the army whereas 38.89% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the boys opted for becoming a midwife whereas 1.85% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 25.93% of the boys who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming Supreme Court justice in central government whereas 33.33% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the boys wanted to become a restaurant waiter regardless of the peer acceptability they received. None of the boys who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming an instrumental musician whereas 5.56% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose this profession. 3.7% of the boys who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a machine operator in a factory whereas 5.56% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose this profession. 11.11% of the boys who got high peer acceptability chose to become a librarian whereas 7.41% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 1.85% of the boys who got high peer acceptability opted to become a plumber whereas none of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same.

Figure 43 shows the best jobs or professions, which, the students would choose (if they were free to have any of them they wished), when they were 30 years old. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Long-Range Idealistic Goal Period expressed at the Mature Career Point. The investigator has divided the students into two groups according to the independent variable - peer
acceptability. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the students receiving high peer acceptability and low peer acceptability.

The figure indicates that 37.96% of students, who received high peer acceptability, opted for becoming an accountant in a large government office while 37.04% of the students who received low peer acceptability opted for the same. 0.93% of the students who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a revenue record keeper whereas 4.63% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 29.63% of the students who got high peer acceptability selected the profession of a college lecturer whereas 18.52% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 0.93% of the students opted the profession of a fisherman regardless of the peer acceptability they received. 11.11% of the students who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming the director of a department in the state government whereas 17.59% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the students opted for becoming a night watchman irrespective of the peer acceptability they received. 1.85% of the students who got high peer acceptability selected the profession of a radio announcer whereas 4.63% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 12.04% of the students who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a police constable whereas 12.96% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 3.7% of the students who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a receptionist whereas 1.85% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 1.85% of the students opted for becoming a railway signalman regardless of the peer acceptability they received.
**Fig. 45** Long Range Idealistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (Girls) - 1st Grp. Of Professions

### Professions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>Accountant (Large Govt. Office)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RK</td>
<td>Revenue Record Keeper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>College Lecturer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Fisherman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dir</td>
<td>Director of a Dept. (State Govt.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW</td>
<td>Night Watchman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td>Radio Announcer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC</td>
<td>Police Constable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Receptionist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS</td>
<td>Railway Signalman</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 46** Long Range Idealistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (Girls) - 2nd Grp. Of Professions

### Professions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FO</td>
<td>Farm Owner and Operator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RG</td>
<td>Railway Guard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Engineer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Salesman (Home Products)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>Airline Pilot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sw</td>
<td>Sweeper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>Owner (Small Hotel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Tailor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Cashier in a Firm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC</td>
<td>Restaurant Cook</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Symbols:
- FO
- RG
- E
- S
- AP
- Sw
- OH
- T
- C
- RC
Figure 44 shows Long-Range Idealistic Goal Period expressed at the Mature Career Point where the students were given another group of professions. 6.48% of the students opted for becoming a farm owner and operator regardless of the peer acceptability they received. 1.85% of the students who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a railway guard whereas 6.48% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 59.26% of the students who got high peer acceptability selected the profession of an engineer whereas 54.63% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 2.78% of the students who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a door-to-door salesman of home products whereas 0.93% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same.

Of the students who got high peer acceptability 25% selected the profession of an airline pilot whereas 22.22% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the students wanted to become a sweeper regardless of the peer acceptability they received. None of the students who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming the owner of a small hotel whereas 5.56% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 1.85% of the students who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a tailor whereas 2.78% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose this profession. 2.78% of the students who received high peer acceptability chose to become a cashier in a firm whereas 0.93% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose this profession. None of the students opted to become a restaurant cook regardless of the peer acceptability they received.

Figure 45 shows the best jobs or professions, which, the girl students would choose (if they were free to have any of them they
wished), when they were 30 years old. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Long-Range Idealistic Goal Period expressed at the Mature Career Point. The investigator has divided the girls into two groups according to the independent variable - peer acceptability. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the girls receiving high peer acceptability and low peer acceptability.

The figure indicates that 35.19% of girls, who received high peer acceptability, opted for becoming an accountant in a large government office while 33.33% of the girls who received low peer acceptability opted for the same. None of the girls who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a revenue record keeper whereas 1.85% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 33.33% of the girls who got high peer acceptability selected the profession of a college lecturer whereas 29.63% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the girls opted for the profession of a fisherman irrespective of the peer acceptability they received. 11.11% of the girls who got high peer acceptability chose the profession of the director of a department in the state government whereas 14.81% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the girls opted for becoming a night watchman regardless of the peer acceptability they received. 3.7% of the girls selected the profession of a radio announcer irrespective of the peer acceptability they received. 12.96% of the girls who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a police constable whereas 11.11% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 3.7% of the girls opted for becoming a receptionist regardless of the peer acceptability they received. None of the girls who got high peer
**Fig. 47** Long Range Idealistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (Boys)-1st Grp. Of Professions

![Bar chart showing percentage of students for various professions with high and low peer categories.]

**Symbols** | **Professions**
---|---
AC | Accountant (Large Govt. Office)
RK | Revenue Record Keeper
CL | College Lecturer
F | Fisherman
Dir | Director of a Dept. (State Govt.)
NW | Night Watchman
RA | Radio Announcer
PC | Police Constable
R | Receptionist
RS | Railway Signalman

**Fig. 48** Long Range Idealistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (Boys)-2nd Grp. Of Professions

![Bar chart showing percentage of students for various professions with high and low peer categories.]

**Symbols** | **Professions**
---|---
FO | Farm Owner and Operator
RG | Railway Guard
E | Engineer
S | Salesman (Home Products)
AP | Airline Pilot
Sw | Sweeper
OH | Owner (Small Hotel)
T | Tailor
C | Cashier in a Firm
RC | Restaurant Cook
acceptability opted for becoming a railway signalman whereas 1.85% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same.

Figure 46 shows Long-Range Idealistic Goal Period, for girls, expressed at the Mature Career Point. They were provided with another group of professions. 1.85% of the girls who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a farm owner and operator whereas 7.41% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the girls who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a railway guard whereas 1.85% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 74.07% of the girls who got high peer acceptability selected the profession of an engineer whereas 61.11% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the girls opted for becoming a door-to-door salesman of home products irrespective of the peer acceptability they received.

Regardless of the peer acceptability they received 20.37% of the girls selected the profession of an airline pilot. None of the girls wanted to become a sweeper irrespective of the peer acceptability they received. None of the girls who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming the owner of a small hotel whereas 3.7% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 3.7% of the girls who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a tailor whereas 5.56% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose this profession. None of the girls chose to become a cashier in a firm regardless of the peer acceptability they received. None of the girls opted to become a restaurant cook irrespective of the peer acceptability they received.

Figure 47 shows the best jobs or professions, which, the boys would choose (if they were free to have any of them they wished), when they were 30 years old. The students were given a group of 10
professions. This was their Long-Range Idealistic Goal Period expressed at the Mature Career Point. The investigator has divided the boys into two groups according to the independent variable - peer acceptability. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the boys receiving high peer acceptability and low peer acceptability.

The figure indicates that 40.74% of boys, who received high peer acceptability, opted for becoming an accountant in a large government office while 38.89% of the boys who received low peer acceptability opted for the same. 1.85% of the boys who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a revenue record keeper whereas 7.41% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 25.93% of the boys who got high peer acceptability selected the profession of a college lecturer whereas 7.41% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 1.85% of the boys opted for the profession of a fisherman regardless of the peer acceptability they received. 11.11% of the boys who got high peer acceptability chose the profession of the director of a department in the state government whereas 22.22% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the boys opted for becoming a night watchman regardless of the peer acceptability they received. None of the boys who got high peer acceptability selected the profession of a radio announcer whereas 5.56% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same.

Of the boys who got high peer acceptability 11.11% opted for becoming a police constable whereas 14.81% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 3.7% of the boys who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a receptionist whereas none of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 3.7% of the boys
who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a railway signalman whereas 1.85% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same.

Figure 48 shows Long- Range Idealistic Goal Period, for boys, expressed at the Mature Career Point. They were provided another group of professions. 11.11% of the boys who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a farm owner and operator whereas 5.56% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 3.7% of the boys who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a railway guard whereas 11.11% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 42.59% of the boys who got high peer acceptability selected the profession of an engineer whereas 50% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 5.56% of the boys who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a door-to-door salesman of home products whereas 1.85% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 31.48% of the boys who got high peer acceptability selected the profession of an airline pilot whereas 22.22% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the boys wanted to become a sweeper regardless of the peer acceptability they received.

None of the boys who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming the owner of a small hotel whereas 7.41% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the boys opted for becoming a tailor regardless of the peer acceptability they received. 5.56% of the boys who got high peer acceptability chose to become a cashier in a firm whereas 1.85% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the boys opted to become a restaurant cook regardless of the peer acceptability they received.
Fig. 49 Long Range Realistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (1st Grp. Of Professions)

Symbols
- D: Dentist
- PI: Physical Edu. Instructor
- S: Scientist
- C: Carpenter
- CM: Chairman (Large Municipality)

Professions
- WC: Wood Cutter
- NC: Newspaper Correspondent
- BD: Bus Driver
- ST: Steno Typist to an Officer
- FW: Farm Worker

Fig. 50 Long Range Realistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (2nd Grp. Of Professions)

Symbols
- Ch: Chemist
- N: Nurse
- OF: Owner (Farm or Factory of 100 people)
- SA: Shop Attendant
- DM: District Magistrate

Professions
- SS: Shoe Shiner
- CA: Commercial Artist
- T: Typist
- SW: Social Welfare Worker
- CL: Cloth Presser in a Laundry
Figure 49 shows the best jobs or professions, which, the students were really sure they could get; by the time they were 30 years old. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Long-Range Realistic Goal Period expressed at the Mature Career Point. The investigator has divided the students into two groups according to the independent variable - peer acceptability. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the students receiving high peer acceptability and low peer acceptability.

The figure indicates that 17.59% of students, who received high peer acceptability, then opted for becoming a dentist while 24.07% of the students who received low peer acceptability opted for the same. 11.11% of the students who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a physical education instructor whereas 9.26% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 35.19% of the students who got high peer acceptability selected the profession of a scientist whereas 30.56% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 2.78% of the students opted the profession of a carpenter irrespective of the peer acceptability they received.

Among the students who got high peer acceptability 12.96% opted for becoming the chairman of a large municipality whereas 10.19% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the students who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a woodcutter whereas 0.93% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 7.41% of the students who got high peer acceptability selected the profession of a newspaper correspondent whereas 11.11% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same.
Regardless of the peer acceptability they received 0.93% of the students opted for becoming a bus driver. 5.56% of the students who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a steno-typist to an officer whereas 9.26% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 6.48% of the students who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a farm worker whereas 0.93% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same.

Figure 50 shows Long-Range Realistic Goal Period expressed at the Mature Career Point where they were provided another group of professions. 14.81% of the students who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a chemist whereas 15.74% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 10.19% of the students who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a nurse whereas 12.04% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 15.74% of the students who got high peer acceptability selected the profession of owner of a farm or factory, which employs 100 people whereas 10.19% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 0.93% of the students who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a shop attendant whereas 1.85% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same.

Among the students who got high peer acceptability 34.26% selected the profession of a district magistrate whereas 37.04% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 0.93% of the students who got high peer acceptability opted to become a shoe shiner whereas none of the students who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 1.85% of the students who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a commercial artist whereas 2.78% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose this profession. 9.26% of the
Fig. 51 Long Range Realistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (Girls)-1st Grp. Of Professions

Symbols | Professions | Symbols | Professions
--- | --- | --- | ---
D | Dentist | WC | Wood Cutter
PI | Physical Edu. Instructor | NC | Newspaper Correspondent
S | Scientist | BD | Bus Driver
C | Carpenter | ST | Steno Typist to an Officer
CM | Chairman (Large Municipality) | FW | Farm Worker

Fig. 52 Long Range Realistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (Girls)-2nd Grp. Of Professions

Symbols | Professions | Symbols | Professions
--- | --- | --- | ---
Ch | Chemist | SS | Shoe Shiner
N | Nurse | CA | Commercial Artist
OF | Owner (Farm or Factory of 100 people) | T | Typist
SA | Shop Attendant | SW | Social Welfare Worker
DM | District Magistrate | CL | Cloth Presser in a Laundry
students who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a typist whereas 7.41% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose this profession. 12.04% of the students chose to become a social welfare worker irrespective of the peer acceptability they received. None of the students who received high peer acceptability opted to become a cloth presser in an industry whereas 0.93% of the students who got low peer acceptability chose this profession.

Figure 51 shows the best jobs or professions, which, the girls were really sure they could get; by the time they were 30 years old. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Long-Range Realistic Goal Period expressed at the Mature Career Point. The investigator has divided the girls into two groups according to the independent variable - peer acceptability. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the girls receiving high peer acceptability and low peer acceptability. The figure indicates that 33.33% of girls, who received high peer acceptability, them opted for becoming a dentist while 31.48% of the girls who received low peer acceptability opted for the same. 11.11% of the girls who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a physical education instructor whereas 12.96% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 33.33% of the girls who got high peer acceptability selected the profession of a scientist whereas 29.63% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the girls who got high peer acceptability opted the profession of a carpenter whereas 3.7% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same.

Of the girls who got high peer acceptability 3.7% opted for becoming the chairman of a large municipality whereas 5.56% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the girls
opted for becoming a woodcutter irrespective of the peer acceptability they received. 9.26% of the girls selected the profession of a newspaper correspondent irrespective of the peer acceptability they received. None of the girls opted for becoming a bus driver regardless of the peer acceptability they received. 5.56% of the girls who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a steno-typist to an officer whereas 7.41% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 3.7% of the girls who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a farm worker whereas none of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same.

Figure 52 shows Long-Range Realistic Goal Period, for girls, expressed at the Mature Career Point. They were provided with another group of professions. 20.37% of the girls who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a chemist whereas 14.81% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 18.52% of the girls who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a nurse whereas 25.93% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same.

Irrespective of the peer acceptability they received 5.56% of the girls selected the profession of owner of a farm or factory, which employs 100 people. None of the girls who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a shop attendant whereas 1.85% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 25.93% of the girls who got high peer acceptability selected the profession of a district magistrate whereas 31.48% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 1.85% of the girls who got high peer acceptability opted to become a shoe shiner whereas none of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same.
Fig. 53 Long Range Realistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (Boys) - 1st Grp. Of Professions

Symbols | Professions | Symbols | Professions
--- | --- | --- | ---
D | Dentist | WC | Wood Cutter
PI | Physical Edu. Instructor | NC | Newspaper Correspondent
S | Scientist | BD | Bus Driver
C | Carpenter | ST | Steno Typist to an Officer
CM | Chairman (Large Municipality) | FW | Farm Worker

Fig. 54 Long Range Realistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (Boys) - 2nd Grp. Of Professions

Symbols | Professions | Symbols | Professions
--- | --- | --- | ---
Ch | Chemist | SS | Shoe Shiner
N | Nurse | CA | Commercial Artist
OF | Owner (Farm or Factory of 100 people) | T | Typist
SA | Shop Attendant | SW | Social Welfare Worker
DM | District Magistrate | CL | Cloth Presser in a Laundry
Among the girls who got high peer acceptability 3.7% opted for becoming a commercial artist whereas 1.85% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 7.41% of the girls who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a typist whereas 9.26% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 16.67% of the girls who received high peer acceptability chose to become a social welfare worker whereas 9.26% of the girls who got low peer acceptability chose this profession. None of the girls opted to become a cloth presser in an industry irrespective of the peer acceptability they received.

Figure 53 shows the best jobs or professions, which, the boys were really sure they could get; by the time they were 30 years old. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Long-Range Realistic Goal Period expressed at the Mature Career Point. The investigator has divided the boys into two groups according to the independent variable - peer acceptability. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the boys receiving high peer acceptability and low peer acceptability.

The figure indicates that 1.85% of boys, who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a dentist while 16.67% of the boys who received low peer acceptability opted for the same. 11.11% of the boys who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a physical education instructor whereas 7.41% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 37.04% of the boys who got high peer acceptability selected the profession of a scientist whereas 31.48% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 5.56% of the boys who got high peer acceptability opted the profession of a carpenter whereas 1.85% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same.
Of the boys who got high peer acceptability 22.22% opted for becoming the chairman of a large municipality whereas 12.96% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the boys who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a woodcutter whereas 1.85% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 5.56% of the boys who got high peer acceptability selected the profession of a newspaper correspondent whereas 14.81% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 1.85% of the boys opted for becoming a bus driver regardless of the peer acceptability they received. 5.56% of the boys who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a steno-typist to an officer whereas 9.26% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 9.26% of the boys who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a farm worker whereas 1.85% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same.

Figure 54 shows Long-Range Realistic Goal Period, for boys, expressed at the Mature Career Point. They were provided another group of professions. 9.26% of the boys who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a chemist whereas 14.81% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. None of the boys who received high peer acceptability opted for becoming a nurse whereas 1.85% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 25.93% of the boys who got high peer acceptability selected the profession of owner of a farm or factory, which employs 100 people whereas 14.81% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 1.85% of the boys opted for becoming a shop attendant irrespective of the peer acceptability they received.

Regardless of the peer acceptability they received 42.59% of the boys selected the profession of a district magistrate. None of the
boys opted to become a shoe shiner irrespective of the peer acceptability they received. None of the boys who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a commercial artist whereas 3.7% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 11.11% of the boys who got high peer acceptability opted for becoming a typist whereas 3.7% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same. 9.26% of the boys who received high peer acceptability chose to become a social welfare worker whereas 14.81% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose this profession. None of the boys who received high peer acceptability opted to become a cloth presser in an industry whereas 1.85% of the boys who got low peer acceptability chose the same.

The mean scores as well as standard deviations of the variables - peer acceptability and vocational aspiration among boys and girls have been already discussed in the previous subchapters in table no. 11, 12, 6 and 7. The significance of difference between the means of boys and girls on peer acceptability as well as vocational aspirations have also been discussed in the previous subchapters with reference to the tables mentioned above.

**Correlation Matrix for the Total Sample (N=400) indicating the correlation between Peer Acceptability and Vocational Aspiration**

**Table No. 16**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Parental Encouragement</th>
<th>Peer Acceptability</th>
<th>Socio-Economic Status</th>
<th>Vocational Aspiration</th>
<th>Educational Aspiration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parental Encouragement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer Acceptability</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-Economic Status</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>-0.046</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational Aspiration</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The above table shows the correlation matrix for the correlation conducted on the entire sample of 400 students. The values of the coefficient of correlation (r) for the relationship between peer acceptability – vocational aspiration and peer acceptability – socio-economic status came out to be 0.060 and 0.133 respectively. These values were positive i.e. as one variable increased the other also increased slightly.

The ‘r’ value for peer acceptability and vocational aspiration was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This indicated the relationship of parental encouragement and vocational aspiration was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. The ‘r’ value for peer acceptability and socio-economic status has been already discussed in the previous subchapter. Therefore, it can be concluded that peer acceptability was positively correlated with vocational aspiration but it was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

**Correlation Matrix for Female Students (N=200) indicating the correlation between Peer Acceptability and Vocational Aspiration**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Parental Encouragement</th>
<th>Peer Acceptability</th>
<th>Socio-Economic Status</th>
<th>Vocational Aspiration</th>
<th>Educational Aspiration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parental Encouragement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer Acceptability</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-Economic Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>-0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Correlation was performed on the female students of the sample (N=200). The results indicated that the ‘r’ values of peer acceptability – vocational aspiration and peer acceptability – socio-economic status were 0.103 and 0.083 respectively. These values were positive i.e. as one variable increased the other also increased.

The ‘r’ value for peer acceptability and vocational aspirations of girls was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This indicated that the relationship of peer acceptability and vocational aspirations of girls was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. The ‘r’ value of peer acceptability and socio-economic status of girls has been already discussed in the previous subchapter. Thus, it can be concluded that peer acceptability of girls had a positive correlation with their vocational aspirations but it was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

**Correlation Matrix for Male Students (N=200) indicating the correlation between Peer Acceptability and Vocational Aspiration**

**Table No.18**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Parental Encouragement</th>
<th>Peer Acceptability</th>
<th>Socio-Economic Status</th>
<th>Vocational Aspiration</th>
<th>Educational Aspiration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parental Encouragement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer Acceptability</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-Economic Status</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>-0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 55 Educational Aspirations of Students having High and Low Socio-Economic Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Level of Educational Aspiration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VH</td>
<td>Very High Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>High Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>Above average Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Average Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td>Below Average Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Low Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VL</td>
<td>Very Low Educational Aspiration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Correlation analysis was conducted on the male students of the sample (N=200). The values of the coefficient of correlation (r) for the relationship between peer acceptability – vocational aspiration and peer acceptability – socio-economic status came out to be 0.019 and 0.204 respectively. All these values were positive i.e. as one variable increased the other also increased slightly.

The ‘r’ value for peer acceptability and vocational aspiration was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This indicated that the relationship of peer acceptability and vocational aspiration of boys was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. The ‘r’ value for peer acceptability and socio-economic status has been already discussed in the previous subchapter. Therefore, it can be concluded that peer acceptability of boys was positively correlated with their vocational aspirations but it was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

4.5 SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS

Figure 55 shows the level of educational aspirations of the entire sample of 400 students. The investigator has divided the students into two groups according to the independent variable – socio-economic status. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the level of educational aspirations of the students having high socio-economic status and low socio-economic status.

The figure indicated that 4.63% of the students having high socio-economic status had very high educational aspirations while 3.7% of the students having low socio-economic status had the same level of educational aspirations. 27.78% of the students having high socio-economic status had high educational aspirations while 33.33% of the students having low socio-economic status had the same level of
Fig. 56 Educational Aspirations of Girls having High and Low Socio-Economic Status

Symbols | Level of Educational Aspiration
--- | ---
VH | Very High Educational Aspiration
H | High Educational Aspiration
AA | Above average Educational Aspiration
A | Average Educational Aspiration
BA | Below Average Educational Aspiration
L | Low Educational Aspiration
VL | Very Low Educational Aspiration
educational aspirations. The same percentage of students (13.89%) had above average educational aspirations irrespective of their socio-economic status. 32.41% of the students having high socio-economic status had average educational aspirations while 34.26% of the students having low socio-economic status had the same level of educational aspirations. The same percentage of students (8.33%) had below average educational aspirations regardless of their socio-economic status. 12.96% of the students having high socio-economic status had low educational aspirations while 6.48% of the students having low socio-economic status had the same level of educational aspirations. None of the students had very low educational aspirations irrespective of the socio-economic status they had. So, it can be concluded that majority of the students had average educational aspirations regardless of the socio-economic status they had.

Figure 56 indicates the level of educational aspirations of the girls. The investigator has divided the girls into two groups according to the independent variable - socio-economic status. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the level of educational aspirations of the girls having high socio-economic status and low socio-economic status. The figure indicated that 7.4% of the girls having high socio-economic status had very high educational aspirations while 5.56% of the girls having low socio-economic status had the same level of educational aspirations. 25.93% of the girls having high socio-economic status had high educational aspirations while 29.63% of the girls having low socio-economic status had the same level of educational aspirations. The same percentage of girls (11.11%) had above average educational aspirations irrespective of their socio-economic
Fig. 57 Educational Aspirations of Boys having High and Low Socio-Economic Status

- VH: Very High Educational Aspiration
- H: High Educational Aspiration
- AA: Above average Educational Aspiration
- A: Average Educational Aspiration
- BA: Below Average Educational Aspiration
- L: Low Educational Aspiration
- VL: Very Low Educational Aspiration
status. 37.04% of the girls receiving high socio-economic status had average educational aspirations while 40.74% of the girls having low socio-economic status had the same level of educational aspirations. In the case of the girls having high socio-economic status, 7.41% of them had below average educational aspirations while 5.56% of the girls having low socio-economic status had the same level of educational aspirations. 11.11% of the girls having high socio-economic status had low educational aspirations while 7.41% of the girls having low socio-economic status had the same level of educational aspirations. None of the girls had very low educational aspirations irrespective of the socio-economic status they had. So, it can be concluded that majority of the girls had average educational aspirations regardless of the socio-economic status they had.

Figure 57 indicates the level of educational aspirations of the boys. The investigator has divided the boys into two groups according to the independent variable - socio-economic status. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the level of educational aspirations of the boys having high socio-economic status and low socio-economic status. The figure indicated that 1.85% of the boys had very high educational aspirations irrespective of their socio-economic status. 25.93% of the boys having high socio-economic status had high educational aspirations while 35.19% of the boys having low socio-economic status had the same level of educational aspirations. The same percentage of boys (16.67%) had above average educational aspirations regardless of their socio-economic status. 29.63% of the boys having high socio-economic status had average educational aspirations while 25.93% of the boys having low socio-economic status had the same level of educational aspirations.
The same percentage of boys (12.96%) had below average educational aspirations irrespective of their socio-economic status. 12.96% of the boys having high socio-economic status had low educational aspirations while 7.41% of the boys having low socio-economic status had the same level of educational aspirations. None of the boys had very low educational aspirations irrespective of the socio-economic status they had. So, it can be concluded that majority of the boys who had high socio-economic status had high educational aspirations whereas majority of the boys who had low socio-economic status had average educational aspirations.

**Distribution showing Mean and Standard Deviations of Socio-Economic Status and Educational Aspirations**

### Table No. 19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>S.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Socio-Economic Status</td>
<td>641.995</td>
<td>95.472</td>
<td>633.655</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above table shows the mean scores as well as standard deviations of the variables - socio-economic status and educational aspiration among boys and girls.

**Distribution showing t-test scores indicating Significance of Difference between Means of Male/Female Groups of Socio-Economic Status and Educational Aspirations**
The above table shows the values of t-test which was performed to compare the difference between these means. The above table shows that the mean score of girls (641.995) on socio-economic status was higher than that of boys (633.655). But this difference was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. The difference in the mean scores of girls and boys on educational aspirations has already been discussed in the previous subchapters. Thus it can be concluded that the difference between the means of boys and girls on socio-economic status as well as educational aspirations was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

**Correlation Matrix for the Total Sample (N=400) indicating the correlation between Socio-Economic Status and Educational Aspiration**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Parental Encouragement</th>
<th>Peer Acceptability</th>
<th>Socio-Economic Status</th>
<th>Vocational Aspiration</th>
<th>Educational Aspiration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parental Encouragement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer Acceptability</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>-0.046</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-Economic Status</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The above table shows the correlation matrix for the correlation conducted on the entire sample of 400 students. The value of the coefficient of correlation (r) for the relationship between socio-economic status and educational aspirations came out to be -0.046. This value was negative which meant that as one variable increased the other variable decreased. This implied that as socio-economic status increased educational aspirations decreased slightly (because ‘r’ was small). But this correlation was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

The correlations of socio-economic status with other variables like parental encouragement and peer acceptability have been already discussed in the previous subchapters. Therefore, it can be concluded that socio-economic status was negatively correlated with educational aspiration but it was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

**Correlation Matrix for Female Students (N=200) indicating the correlation between Socio-Economic Status and Educational Aspiration**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Parental Encouragement</th>
<th>Peer Acceptability</th>
<th>Socio-Economic Status</th>
<th>Vocational Aspiration</th>
<th>Educational Aspiration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parental Encouragement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer Acceptability</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>-0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-Economic Status</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>-0.048</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Correlation was performed on the female students of the sample (N=200). The results indicated that the ‘r’ value of socio-economic status and educational aspirations of the girls was -0.048. This value was negative which means that as one variable increased the other decreased. This implied that as the socio-economic status of the girls increased their educational aspirations decreased slightly (because ‘r’ was small). But this correlation was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

The correlation of socio-economic status of the girls with all the other variables like parental encouragement as well as peer acceptability have been already discussed in the previous subchapters. Thus, it can be concluded that socio-economic status of girls had a negative correlation with their educational aspirations but it was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

Correlation Matrix for Male Students (N=200) indicating the correlation between Peer Acceptability and Educational Aspiration

**Table No.23**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Parental Encouragement</th>
<th>Peer Acceptability</th>
<th>Socio-Economic Status</th>
<th>Vocational Aspiration</th>
<th>Educational Aspiration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parental Encouragement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer Acceptability</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-Economic Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>-0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Correlation analysis was conducted on the male students of the sample (N=200). The value of the coefficient of correlation (r) for the relationship between socio-economic status and educational aspirations of boys came out to be -0.052. This value was negative which means that as one variable increased the other decreased. This implied that as the socio-economic status of the boys increased their educational aspirations decreased. But this correlation was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

The correlation of socio-economic status of boys with all other variables like parental encouragement and peer acceptability have discussed in the previous subchapters. Therefore, it can be concluded that socio-economic status of boys was negatively correlated with their educational aspirations but it was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

4.6 SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS AND VOCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS

Figure 58 shows the jobs or professions, which, the students would choose, if they were free to choose (any of them they wished), when their schooling was over. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Short-Range Idealistic Goal Period expressed at the Initial Career Point. The investigator has divided the students into two groups according to the independent variable – socio-economic status. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the students having high socio-economic status and low socio-economic status.

The figure indicates that students, who had high socio-economic status, 11.11% of them opted for becoming a government contractor while 5.56% of the students who had low socio-economic status opted for the same. 27.78% of the students who had high socio-
economic status opted for becoming an insurance agent whereas 26.85% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 14.81% of the students who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a member of parliament whereas 12.96% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 24.07% of the students selected the profession of an office clerk irrespective of the socio-economic status they had.

Among the students who had high socio-economic status 17.59% opted for becoming a state governor whereas 16.67% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the students who had high socio-economic status opted to become a maidservant whereas 1.85% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the students who had high socio-economic status opted to become the owner-operator of a printing press whereas 5.56% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 2.78% of the students who had high socio-economic status selected the profession of an electrician whereas 4.63% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. Only 1.85% of the students chose the profession of priest or pujari irrespective of the socio-economic status they had. None of the students opted the profession of a truck driver regardless of the socio-economic status they had.

Figure 59 shows Short-Range Idealistic Goal Period expressed at the Initial Career Point where another group of professions were provided. 0.93% of the students opted for becoming a novelist irrespective of the socio-economic status they had. 11.11% of the students who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a soldier in the army whereas 19.44% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 43.52% of the students who had high
Fig. 60 Short Range Idealistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (Girls) - 1st Grp. Of Professions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GC</td>
<td>Govt. Contractor</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>Insurance Agent</td>
<td>OPP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP</td>
<td>Member of Parliament</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Clerk in Office</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>State Governor</td>
<td>TD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 61 Short Range Idealistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (Girls) - 2nd Grp. Of Professions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Novelist</td>
<td>PP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Soldier in the Army</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM</td>
<td>Bank Manager</td>
<td>LW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaD</td>
<td>Taxi Driver</td>
<td>Ph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CabM</td>
<td>Cabinet Minister(Central Govt.)</td>
<td>CM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Novelist</td>
<td>PP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Soldier in the Army</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM</td>
<td>Bank Manager</td>
<td>LW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaD</td>
<td>Taxi Driver</td>
<td>Ph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CabM</td>
<td>Cabinet Minister(Central Govt.)</td>
<td>CM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Symbols: GC = Govt. Contractor, IA = Insurance Agent, MP = Member of Parliament, C = Clerk in Office, G = State Governor, S = Maid Servant, OPP = Owner-Operator(Printing Press), E = Electrician, P = Priest, TD = Truck Driver, N = Novelist, SA = Soldier in the Army, BM = Bank Manager, TaD = Taxi Driver, CabM = Cabinet Minister(Central Govt.), PP = Petrol Pump Attendant, A = Artist who Paints Pictures, LW = Lady Village Level Worker, Ph = Photographer, CM = Coal Miner.
socio-economic status opted for becoming a bank manager whereas 33.33% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 0.93% of the students who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a taxi driver whereas 2.78% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 22.22% of the students who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a cabinet minister in central government whereas 16.67% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 0.93% of the students opted for becoming a petrol pump attendant irrespective of the socio-economic status they had.

Among the students who had high socio-economic status 11.11% opted for becoming an artist who paints pictures whereas 15.74% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 5.56% of the students who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a lady village level worker whereas 4.63% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 3.7% of the students who had high socio-economic status chose to become photographer whereas 4.63% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the students who had high socio-economic status chose to become a coal miner but 0.93% of the students who had low socio-economic status opted for this profession.

Figure 60 shows the jobs or professions, which, the girl students would choose, if they were free to choose (any of them they wished), when their schooling was over. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Short-Range Idealistic Goal Period expressed at the Initial Career Point. The investigator has divided the girls into two groups according to the independent variable – socio-economic status. This division has been done in order to find out the
variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the girls had high socio-economic status and low socio-economic status.

The figure indicates that 9.26% of girls, who had high socio-economic status, opted for becoming a government contractor while 3.7% of the girls who had low socio-economic status opted for the same. 29.63% of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming an insurance agent whereas 33.33% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 9.26% of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a member of parliament whereas 11.11% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 31.48% of the girls who had high socio-economic status selected the profession of an office clerk whereas 27.78% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same.

Of the girls who had high socio-economic status 20.37% opted for becoming a state governor whereas 11.11% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the students who had high socio-economic status opted to become a maidservant whereas 1.85% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted to become the owner-operator of a printing press whereas 9.26% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the girls selected the profession of an electrician regardless of the socio-economic status they had. None of the girls opted for the profession of priest or pujari whereas 1.85% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the girls chose the profession of a truck driver regardless of the socio-economic status they had.

Figure 61 shows Short-Range Idealistic Goal Period, for girls, expressed at the Initial Career Point. They were provided another
Fig. 62 Short Range Idealistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (Boys)-1st Grp. Of Professions

Symbols | Professions          | Symbols | Professions
---------|----------------------|---------|----------------------
GC       | Govt. Contractor     | S       | Maid Servant         |
IA       | Insurance Agent      | OPP     | Owner-Operator (Printing Press) |
MP       | Member of Parliament | E       | Electrician          |
C        | Clerk in Office      | P       | Priest               |
G        | State Governor       | TD      | Truck Driver         |

Fig. 63 Short Range Idealistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (Boys)-2nd Grp. Of Professions

Symbols | Professions          | Symbols | Professions
---------|----------------------|---------|----------------------
N        | Novelist             | PP      | Petrol Pump Attendant |
SA       | Soldier in the Army  | A       | Artist who Paints Pictures |
BM       | Bank Manager         | I.W     | Lady Village Level Worker |
TaD      | Taxi Driver          | Ph      | Photographer          |
CabM     | Cabinet Minister (Central Govt.) | CM | Coal Miner |
group of professions. None of the girls opted for becoming a novelist irrespective of the socio-economic status they had. 3.7% of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a soldier in the army whereas 11.11% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 50% of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a bank manager whereas 35.19% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 1.85% of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a taxi driver whereas 3.7% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same.

Among the girls who had high socio-economic status 12.96% opted for becoming a cabinet minister in central government whereas 14.81% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 1.85% of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a petrol pump attendant whereas none of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 18.52% of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming an artist who paints pictures whereas 25.93% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 7.41% of the girls opted for becoming a lady village level worker regardless of the socio-economic status they had. 3.7% of the girls who had high socio-economic status chose to become photographer whereas none of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the girls who had high socio-economic status chose to become a coal miner but 1.85% of the girls who had low socio-economic status opted for this profession.

Figure 62 shows the jobs or professions, which, the boys would choose, if they were free to choose (any of them they wished), when their schooling was over. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Short-Range Idealistic Goal Period expressed
at the Initial Career Point. The investigator has divided the boys into two groups according to the independent variable – socio-economic status. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the boys having high socio-economic status and low socio-economic status.

The figure indicates that 16.67% of boys, who had high socio-economic status, opted for becoming a government contractor whereas 7.41% of the boys had got low socio-economic status chose the same. 24.07% of the boys had got high socio-economic status opted for becoming an insurance agent whereas 16.67% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 18.52% of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a member of parliament whereas 14.81% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 12.96% of the boys who had high socio-economic status selected the profession of an office clerk whereas 22.22% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same.

Of the boys who had high socio-economic status 16.67% opted for becoming a state governor whereas 24.07% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted to become a maidservant whereas 1.85% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 1.85% of the boys opted to become the owner-operator of a printing press irrespective of the socio-economic status they had. 5.56% of the boys who had high socio-economic status selected the profession of an electrician whereas 9.26% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 3.7% of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for the profession of priest or pujari whereas 1.85% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the
boys chose the profession of a truck driver regardless of the socio-economic status they had.

Figure 63 shows Short-Range Idealistic Goal Period, for boys, expressed at the Initial Career Point. They were provided another group of professions. 1.85% of the boys opted for becoming a novelist irrespective of the socio-economic status they had. 22.22% of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a soldier in the army whereas 29.63% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 42.59% of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a bank manager whereas 31.48% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a taxi driver whereas 1.85% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same.

Among the boys who had high socio-economic status 27.78% opted for becoming a cabinet minister in central government whereas 20.37% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a petrol pump attendant whereas 1.85% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming an artist who paints pictures whereas 3.7% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 1.85% of the boys opted for becoming a lady village level worker irrespective of the socio-economic status they had. 3.7% of the boys who had high socio-economic status chose to become photographer whereas 7.41% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the boys chose to become a coal miner irrespective of the socio-economic status they had.
Fig. 64 Short Range Realistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (1st Grp. Of Professions)

- **Symbols** | **Professions**
- L | Lawyer
- A | Agriculture Inspector
- D | Doctor
- PST | Primary School Teacher
- IFS | Diplomat in the IFS
- B | Barber
- Psy | Psychologist
- MM | Motor Mechanic
- TS | Travelling Salesman
- Po | Postman

Fig. 65 Short Range Realistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (2nd Grp. Of Professions)

- **Symbols** | **Professions**
- AH | Airline Hostess
- TM | Trained Mechanist
- C | Captain in the Army
- M | Midwife (Dai)
- J | Supreme Court Justice
- W | Restaurant Waiter
- Mu | Instrumental Musician
- O | Machine Operator in a Factory
- L | Librarian
- PI | Plumber
Figure 64 shows the best jobs or professions, which, the students were really sure that they would get, when their schooling was over. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Short-Range Realistic Goal Period expressed at the Initial Career Point. The investigator has divided the students into two groups according to the independent variable—socio-economic status. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the students having high socio-economic status and low socio-economic status. The figure indicates that 12.96% of students, who had high socio-economic status, opted for becoming a lawyer while 15.74% of the students who had low socio-economic status opted for the same. 0.93% of the students who had high socio-economic status, opted for becoming an agriculture inspector while none of the students who had low socio-economic status opted for the same. 51.85% of the students who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a doctor whereas 39.81% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 16.67% of the students who had high socio-economic status selected the profession of primary school teacher whereas 22.22% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same.

Among the students who had high socio-economic status 12.04% opted for becoming a diplomat in the Indian Foreign Service whereas 5.56% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the students opted for becoming a barber irrespective of the socio-economic status they had. 0.93% of the students who had high socio-economic status selected the profession of a psychologist whereas 5.56% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 0.93% of the students who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a motor mechanic whereas 4.63% of the students
who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 2.78% of the students who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a traveling salesman for a wholesale firm whereas 5.56% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 0.93% of the students opted for becoming a postman irrespective of the socio-economic status they had.

Figure 65 shows Short-Range Realistic Goal Period expressed at the Initial Career Point where they were provided another group of professions. 17.59% of the students who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming an airline hostess whereas 11.11% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the students who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a trained machinist whereas 3.7% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 31.48% of the students who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a captain in the army whereas 29.63% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 0.93% of the students who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a midwife whereas 2.78% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same.

Of the students who had high socio-economic status 36.11% opted for becoming Supreme Court justice in central government whereas 25% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the students wanted to become a restaurant waiter regardless of the socio-economic status they had. 4.63% of the students who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming an instrumental musician whereas 12.96% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 0.93% of the students who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a machine operator in a factory
Fig. 66 Short Range Realistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (Girls) - 1st Grp. of Professions
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Lawyer</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Barber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Agriculture Inspector</td>
<td>Psy</td>
<td>Psychologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Doctor</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>Motor Mechanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PST</td>
<td>Primary School Teacher</td>
<td>TS</td>
<td>Travelling Salesman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Diplomat in the IFS</td>
<td>Po</td>
<td>Postman</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 67 Short Range Realistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (Girls) - 2nd Grp. of Professions
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AH</td>
<td>Airline Hostess</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Restaurant Waiter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM</td>
<td>Trained Mechanist</td>
<td>Mu</td>
<td>Instrumental Musician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Captain in the Army</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Machine Operator in a Factory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Midwife (Dai)</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Librarian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>Supreme Court Justice</td>
<td>PI</td>
<td>Plumber</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
whereas 6.48% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose this profession. 8.33% of the students who had high socio-economic status chose to become a librarian whereas 6.48% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the students who had high socio-economic status chose to become a plumber but 1.85% of the students who had low socio-economic status opted for this profession.

Figure 66 shows the best jobs or professions, which, the girls were really sure that they would get, when their schooling was over. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Short-Range Realistic Goal Period expressed at the Initial Career Point. The investigator has divided the girls into two groups according to the independent variable - socio-economic status. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the girls having high socio-economic status and low socio-economic status.

The figure indicates that 14.81% of girls, who had high socio-economic status, them opted for becoming a lawyer while 16.67% of the girls who had low socio-economic status opted for the same. None of the girls opted for becoming an agriculture inspector irrespective of the socio-economic status they had. 55.56% of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a doctor whereas 31.48% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 22.22% of the girls who had high socio-economic status selected the profession of primary school teacher whereas 37.04% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same.

Among the girls who had high socio-economic status 5.56% chose to become a diplomat in the Indian Foreign Service whereas
3.7% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the girls opted to become a barber irrespective of the socio-economic status they had. None of the girls who had high socio-economic status selected the profession of a psychologist whereas 11.11% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the girls opted for becoming a motor mechanic irrespective of the socio-economic status they had. None of the girls opted for becoming a traveling salesman for a wholesale firm regardless of the socio-economic status they had. 1.85% of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a postman whereas none of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same.

Figure 67 shows Short-Range Realistic Goal Period, for girls, expressed at the Initial Career Point. They were given another group of professions. 27.78% of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming an airline hostess whereas 18.52% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a trained machinist whereas 3.7% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 20.37% of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a captain in the army whereas 18.52% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 1.85% of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a midwife whereas 3.7% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 29.63% of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming Supreme Court justice in central government whereas 22.22% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the girls wanted to become a restaurant waiter regardless of the socio-economic status they had.
Fig. 68 Short Range Realistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (Boys)-1st Grp. Of Professions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Lawyer</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Barber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Agriculture Inspector</td>
<td>Psy</td>
<td>Psychologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Doctor</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>Motor Mechanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PST</td>
<td>Primary School Teacher</td>
<td>TS</td>
<td>Travelling Salesman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Diplomat in the IFS</td>
<td>Po</td>
<td>Postman</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 69 Short Range Realistic Aspirations during Initial Career Point (Boys)-2nd Grp. Of Professions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AH</td>
<td>Airline Hostess</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Restaurant Waiter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM</td>
<td>Trained Mechanist</td>
<td>Mu</td>
<td>Instrumental Musician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Captain in the Army</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Machine Operator in a Factory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Midwife (Dai)</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Librarian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>Supreme Court Justice</td>
<td>PI</td>
<td>Plumber</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Of the girls who had high socio-economic status 7.41% opted for becoming an instrumental musician whereas 16.67% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 1.85% of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a machine operator in a factory whereas 3.7% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 11.11% of the girls who had high socio-economic status chose to become a librarian whereas 9.26% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the girls who had high socio-economic status chose to become a plumber whereas 3.7% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same.

Figure 68 shows the best jobs or professions, which, the boys were really sure that they would get, when their schooling was over. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Short-Range Realistic Goal Period expressed at the Initial Career Point. The investigator has divided the boys into two groups according to the independent variable - socio-economic status. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the boys having high socio-economic status and low socio-economic status.

The figure indicates that 9.26% of boys, who had high socio-economic status, them opted for becoming a lawyer while 14.81% of the boys who had low socio-economic status opted for the same. 1.85% of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming an agriculture inspector whereas none of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 48.15% of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a doctor whereas 46.3% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 7.41% of the boys who had high socio-economic status selected the profession of
primary school teacher whereas 9.26% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same.

Among the boys who had high socio-economic status 20.37% chose to become a diplomat in the Indian Foreign Service whereas 7.41% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the boys opted to become a barber regardless of the socio-economic status they had. 1.85% of the boys who had high socio-economic status selected the profession of a psychologist whereas none of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same.

Of the boys who had high socio-economic status 1.85% opted for becoming a motor mechanic whereas 9.26% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 7.41% of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a traveling salesman for a wholesale firm whereas 11.11% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 1.85% of the boys opted for becoming a postman irrespective of the socio-economic status they had.

Figure 69 shows Short-Range Realistic Goal Period, for boys, expressed at the Initial Career Point. They were provided with another group of professions. 11.11% of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming an airline hostess whereas 1.85% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 1.85% of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a trained machinist whereas 3.7% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same.

40.74% of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a captain in the army whereas 42.59% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a midwife
Fig. 70 Long Range Idealistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (1st Grp. Of Professions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>Accountant (Large Govt. Office)</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>Night Watchman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RK</td>
<td>Revenue Record Keeper</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>Radio Announcer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>College Lecturer</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>Police Constable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Fisherman</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Receptionist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dir</td>
<td>Director of a Dept. (State Govt.)</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>Railway Signalman</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 71 Long Range Idealistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (2nd Grp. Of Professions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FO</td>
<td>Farm Owner and Operator</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Sweeper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RG</td>
<td>Railway Guard</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>Owner (Small Hotel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Engineer</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Tailor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Salesman (Home Products)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Cashier in a Firm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>Airline Pilot</td>
<td>RC</td>
<td>Restaurant Cook</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
whereas 1.85% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 40.74% of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming Supreme Court justice in central government whereas 31.48% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the boys wanted to become a restaurant waiter regardless of the socio-economic status they had. 1.85% of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming an instrumental musician whereas 5.56% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose this profession. None of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a machine operator in a factory whereas 9.26% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose this profession. 3.7% of the boys chose to become a librarian regardless of the socio-economic status they had. None of the boys opted to become a plumber irrespective of the socio-economic status they had.

Figure 70 shows the best jobs or professions, which, the students would choose (if they were free to have any of them they wished), when they were 30 years old. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Long-Range Idealistic Goal Period expressed at the Mature Career Point. The investigator has divided the students into two groups according to the independent variable - socio-economic status. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the students having high socio-economic status and low socio-economic status.

The figure indicates that 39.81% of students, who had high socio-economic status, them opted for becoming an accountant in a large government office while 32.41% of the students who had low socio-economic status opted for the same. 1.85% of the students who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a revenue record
keeper whereas 3.7% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 30.56% of the students who had high socio-economic status selected the profession of a college lecturer whereas 13.89% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the students who had high socio-economic status opted the profession of a fisherman whereas 0.93% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same.

Among the students who had high socio-economic status 10.19% opted for becoming the director of a department in the state government whereas 16.67% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the students who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a night watchman whereas 0.93% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 3.7% of the students who had high socio-economic status selected the profession of a radio announcer whereas 5.56% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same.

Of the students who had high socio-economic status 10.19% opted for becoming a police constable whereas 14.81% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 1.85% of the students who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a receptionist whereas 2.78% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 1.85% of the students who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a railway signalman whereas 8.33% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same.

Figure 71 shows Long-Range Idealistic Goal Period expressed at the Mature Career Point where the students were given another group of professions. 9.26% of the students who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a farm owner and operator whereas
Fig. 72 Long Range Idealistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (Girls)-1st Grp. Of Professions

Symbols | Professions | Symbols | Professions
--- | --- | --- | ---
AC | Accountant (Large Govt. Office) | NW | Night Watchman
RK | Revenue Record Keeper | RA | Radio Announcer
CL | College Lecturer | PC | Police Constable
F | Fisherman | R | Receptionist
Dir | Director of a Dept. (State Govt.) | RS | Railway Signalman

Fig. 73 Long Range Idealistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (Girls)-2nd Grp. Of Professions

Symbols | Professions | Symbols | Professions
--- | --- | --- | ---
FO | Farm Owner and Operator | S | Sweeper
RG | Railway Guard | OH | Owner (Small Hotel)
E | Engineer | T | Tailor
S | Salesman (Home Products) | C | Cashier in a Firm
AP | Airline Pilot | RC | Restaurant Cook
5.56% of the students who had low socio- economic status chose the same. 0.93% of the students who had high socio- economic status opted for becoming a railway guard whereas 5.56% of the students who had low socio- economic status chose the same. 62.04% of the students who had high socio- economic status selected the profession of an engineer whereas 57.41% of the students who had low socio- economic status chose the same. 1.85% of the students who had high socio- economic status opted for becoming a door- to -door salesman of home products whereas 3.7% of the students who had low socio- economic status chose the same. 24.07% of the students who had high socio- economic status selected the profession of an airline pilot whereas 15.74% of the students who had low socio- economic status chose the same. None of the students who had high socio- economic status wanted to become a sweeper whereas 1.85% of the students who had low socio- economic status chose the same.

None of the students who had high socio- economic status opted for becoming the owner of a small hotel whereas 2.78% of the students who had low socio- economic status chose the same. 0.93% of the students who had high socio- economic status opted for becoming a tailor whereas 6.48% of the students who had low socio- economic status chose this profession. 0.93% of the students chose to become a cashier in a firm irrespective of the socio- economic status they had. None of the students opted to become a restaurant cook regardless of the socio- economic status they had.

Figure 72 shows the best jobs or professions, which, the girl students would choose (if they were free to have any of them they wished), when they were 30 years old. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Long- Range Idealistic Goal Period
expressed at the Mature Career Point. The investigator has divided the girls into two groups according to the independent variable - socio-economic status. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the girls having high socio-economic status and low socio-economic status. The figure indicates that 38.89% of girls, who had high socio-economic status, then opted for becoming an accountant in a large government office while 29.63% of the girls who had low socio-economic status opted for the same. None of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a revenue record keeper whereas 1.85% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 35.19% of the girls who had high socio-economic status selected the profession of a college lecturer whereas 16.67% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for the profession of a fisherman whereas 1.85% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same.

Among the girls who had high socio-economic status 7.41% chose the profession of the director of a department in the state government whereas 9.26% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the girls opted for becoming a night watchman regardless of the socio-economic status they had. 3.7% of the girls who had high socio-economic status selected the profession of a radio announcer whereas 5.56% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 12.96% of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a police constable whereas 22.22% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 1.85% of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a receptionist whereas 5.56% of the girls who had low socio-economic
status chose the same. None of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a railway signalman whereas 7.41% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same.

Figure 73 shows Long-Range Idealistic Goal Period, for girls, expressed at the Mature Career Point. They were provided with another group of professions. 5.56% of the girls opted for becoming a farm owner and operator irrespective of the socio-economic status they had. None of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a railway guard whereas 1.85% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 74.07% of the girls who had high socio-economic status selected the profession of an engineer whereas 70.37% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the girls opted for becoming a door-to-door salesman of home products irrespective of the socio-economic status they had.

Of the girls who had high socio-economic status 18.52% selected the profession of an airline pilot whereas 9.26% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the girls who had high socio-economic status wanted to become a sweeper whereas 1.85% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the girls opted for becoming the owner of a small hotel irrespective of the socio-economic status they had. None of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a tailor whereas 11.11% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose this profession. 1.85% of the girls who had high socio-economic status chose to become a cashier in a firm whereas none of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose this profession. None of the girls opted to become a restaurant cook regardless of the socio-economic status they had.
**Fig. 74 Long Range Idealistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (Boys) - 1st Grp. Of Professions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accountant (Large Govt. Office)</td>
<td>AC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Record Keeper</td>
<td>RK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Lecturer</td>
<td>CL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisherman</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Director of a Dept. (State Govt.)</td>
<td>Dir</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 75 Long Range Idealistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (Boys) - 2nd Grp. Of Professions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farm Owner and Operator</td>
<td>FO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railway Guard</td>
<td>RG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineer</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salesman (Home Products)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airline Pilot</td>
<td>AP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Symbols and Professions:
- **AC**: Accountant (Large Govt. Office)
- **RK**: Revenue Record Keeper
- **CL**: College Lecturer
- **F**: Fisherman
- **Dir**: Director of a Dept. (State Govt.)
- **NW**: Night Watchman
- **RA**: Radio Announcer
- **PC**: Police Constable
- **R**: Receptionist
- **RS**: Railway Signalman

- **FO**: Farm Owner and Operator
- **RG**: Railway Guard
- **E**: Engineer
- **S**: Salesman (Home Products)
- **AP**: Airline Pilot
- **S**: Sweeper
- **OH**: Owner (Small Hotel)
- **T**: Tailor
- **C**: Cashier in a Firm
- **RC**: Restaurant Cook
Figure 74 shows the best jobs or professions, which, the boys would choose (if they were free to have any of them they wished), when they were 30 years old. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Long-Range Idealistic Goal Period expressed at the Mature Career Point. The investigator has divided the boys into two groups according to the independent variable - socio-economic status. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the boys having high socio-economic status and low socio-economic status.

The figure indicates that 46.3% of boys, who had high socio-economic status, them opted for becoming an accountant in a large government office while 35.19% of the boys who had low socio-economic status opted for the same. 3.7% of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a revenue record keeper whereas 5.56% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 20.37% of the boys who had high socio-economic status selected the profession of a college lecturer whereas 11.11% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the boys opted for the profession of a fisherman regardless of the socio-economic status they had.

Among the boys who had high socio-economic status 12.96% chose the profession of the director of a department in the state government whereas 25.93% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a night watchman whereas 1.85% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 3.7% of the boys who had high socio-economic status selected the profession of a radio
announcer whereas 5.56% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same.

Of the boys who had high socio-economic status 5.56% opted for becoming a police constable whereas 7.41% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 1.85% of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a receptionist whereas none of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 5.56% of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a railway signalman whereas 7.41% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same.

Figure 75 shows Long-Range Idealistic Goal Period, for boys, expressed at the Mature Career Point. They were provided another group of professions. 12.96% of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a farm owner and operator whereas 5.56% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 3.7% of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a railway guard whereas 9.26% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 48.15% of the boys who had high socio-economic status selected the profession of an engineer whereas 44.44% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 3.7% of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a door-to-door salesman of home products whereas 7.41% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same.

Among the boys who had high socio-economic status 29.63% selected the profession of an airline pilot whereas 22.22% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the boys who had high socio-economic status wanted to become a sweeper whereas 1.85% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose
Fig. 76 Long Range Realistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (1st Grp. Of Professions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Dentist</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>Wood Cutter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
<td>Physical Edu. Instructor</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>Newspaper Correspondent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Scientist</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>Bus Driver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Carpenter</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>Steno Typist to an Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Chairman (Large Municipality)</td>
<td>FW</td>
<td>Farm Worker</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 77 Long Range Realistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (2nd Grp. Of Professions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch</td>
<td>Chemist</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>Shoe Shiner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Commercial Artist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OF</td>
<td>Owner (Farm or Factory of 100 people)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Typist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Shop Attendant</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>Social Welfare Worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>District Magistrate</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Cloth Presser in a Laundry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Professions

Ch N OF SA DM SS CA T SW CL
the same. None of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming the owner of a small hotel whereas 5.56% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 1.85% of the boys opted for becoming a tailor regardless of the socio-economic status they had. None of the boys who had high socio-economic status chose to become a cashier in a firm whereas 1.85% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the boys opted to become a restaurant cook regardless of the socio-economic status they had.

Figure 76 shows the best jobs or professions, which, the students were really sure they could get; by the time they were 30 years old. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Long-Range Realistic Goal Period expressed at the Mature Career Point. The investigator has divided the students into two groups according to the independent variable - socio-economic status. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the students having high socio-economic status and low socio-economic status.

The figure indicates that 25% of students, who had high socio-economic status, them opted for becoming a dentist while 18.52% of the students who had low socio-economic status opted for the same. 8.33% of the students who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a physical education instructor whereas 5.56% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 34.26% of the students who had high socio-economic status selected the profession of a scientist whereas 37.04% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 1.85% of the students who had high socio-economic status opted the profession of a carpenter whereas 0.93% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same.
Of the students who had high socio-economic status 12.04% opted for becoming the chairman of a large municipality whereas 10.19% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the students who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a woodcutter whereas 0.93% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 11.11% of the students who had high socio-economic status selected the profession of a newspaper correspondent whereas 5.56% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same.

None of the students who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a bus driver whereas 2.78% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 5.56% of the students who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a steno-typist to an officer whereas 10.19% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 1.85% of the students who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a farm worker whereas 8.33% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same.

Figure 77 shows Long-Range Realistic Goal Period expressed at the Mature Career Point where they were provided another group of professions. 16.67% of the students who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a chemist whereas 14.81% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 12.96% of the students who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a nurse whereas 15.74% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same.

Irrespective of the socio-economic status they had 12.96% of the students selected the profession of owner of a farm or factory, which employs 100 people. 0.93% of the students who had high
Fig. 78 Long Range Realistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (Girls) - 1st Grp. Of Professions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Dentist</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>Wood Cutter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
<td>Physical Edu. Instructor</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>Newspaper Correspondent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Scientist</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>Bus Driver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Carpenter</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>Steno Typist to an Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Chairman (Large Municipality)</td>
<td>FW</td>
<td>Farm Worker</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 79 Long Range Realistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (Girls) - 2nd Grp. Of Professions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Professions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch</td>
<td>Chemist</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>Shoe Shiner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Commercial Artist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OF</td>
<td>Owner (Farm or Factory of 100 people)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Typist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Shop Attendant</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>Social Welfare Worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>District Magistrate</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Cloth Presser in a Laundry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
socio-economic status opted for becoming a shop attendant whereas 3.7% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 35.19% of the students who had high socio-economic status selected the profession of a district magistrate whereas 26.85% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the students who had high socio-economic status opted to become a shoe shiner whereas 2.78% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose the same.

Regardless of the socio-economic status they had 1.85% of the students opted for becoming a commercial artist. 2.78% of the students who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a typist whereas 8.33% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose this profession. 16.67% of the students who had high socio-economic status chose to become a social welfare worker whereas 12.96% of the students who had low socio-economic status chose this profession. None of the students opted to become a cloth presser in an industry regardless of the socio-economic status they had.

Figure 78 shows the best jobs or professions, which, the girls were really sure they could get; by the time they were 30 years old. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Long-Range Realistic Goal Period expressed at the Mature Career Point. The investigator has divided the girls into two groups according to the independent variable - socio-economic status. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of professions of the girls having high socio-economic status and low socio-economic status.

The figure indicates that 31.48% of girls, who had high socio-economic status, them opted for becoming a dentist while 22.22%
of the girls who had low socio-economic status opted for the same. 9.26% of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a physical education instructor whereas 11.11% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 31.48% of the girls who had high socio-economic status selected the profession of a scientist whereas 35.19% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 1.85% of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted the profession of a carpenter whereas none of the girls who got low socio-economic status chose the same.

Irrespective of the socio-economic status they had 3.7% of the girls opted for becoming the chairman of a large municipality. None of the girls opted for becoming a woodcutter regardless of the socio-economic status they had. 14.81% of the girls who had high socio-economic status selected the profession of a newspaper correspondent whereas 7.41% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the girls opted for becoming a bus driver regardless of the socio-economic status they had. 7.41% of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a steno-typist to an officer whereas 11.11% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a farm worker whereas 9.26% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same.

Figure 79 shows Long-Range Realistic Goal Period, for girls, expressed at the Mature Career Point. They were provided with another group of professions. 20.37% of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a chemist whereas 11.11% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 24.07% of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a nurse
Fig. 80 Long Range Realistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (Boys)-1st Grp. Of Professions

Symbols | Professions | Symbols | Professions
---|---|---|---
D | Dentist | WC | Wood Cutter
PI | Physical Edu. Instructor | NC | Newspaper Correspondent
S | Scientist | BD | Bus Driver
C | Carpenter | ST | Steno Typist to an Officer
CM | Chairman (Large Municipality) | FW | Farm Worker

Fig. 81 Long Range Realistic Aspirations during Mature Career Point (Boys)-2nd Grp. Of Professions

Symbols | Professions | Symbols | Professions
---|---|---|---
Ch | Chemist | SS | Shoe Shiner
N | Nurse | CA | Commercial Artist
OF | Owner (Farm or Factory of 100 people) | T | Typist
SA | Shop Attendant | SW | Social Welfare Worker
DM | District Magistrate | CL | Cloth Presser in a Laundry
whereas 27.78% of the girls who got low socio-economic status chose the same.

Among the girls who had high socio-economic status 3.7% selected the profession of owner of a farm or factory, which employs 100 people whereas 9.26% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 1.85% of the girls opted for becoming a shop attendant regardless of the socio-economic status they had.

Of the girls who had high socio-economic status 33.33% selected the profession of a district magistrate whereas 24.07% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted to become a shoe shiner whereas 5.56% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a commercial artist whereas 1.85% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 3.7% of the girls who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a typist whereas 9.26% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 12.96% of the girls who had high socio-economic status chose to become a social welfare worker whereas 9.26% of the girls who had low socio-economic status chose this profession. None of the girls opted to become a cloth presser in an industry irrespective of the socio-economic status they had.

Figure 80 shows the best jobs or professions, which, the boys were really sure they could get; by the time they were 30 years old. The students were given a group of 10 professions. This was their Long-Range Realistic Goal Period expressed at the Mature Career Point. The investigator has divided the boys into two groups according to the independent variable - socio-economic status. This division has been done in order to find out the variation (in proportion) in the choice of
professions of the boys having high socio-economic status and low socio-economic status.

The figure indicates that 16.67% of boys, who had high socio-economic status, them opted for becoming a dentist while 12.96% of the boys who had low socio-economic status opted for the same. 5.56% of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a physical education instructor whereas none of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 35.19% of the boys who had high socio-economic status selected the profession of a scientist whereas 37.04% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 1.85% of the boys opted the profession of a carpenter irrespective of the socio-economic status they had.

Among the boys who had high socio-economic status 24.07% opted for becoming the chairman of a large municipality whereas 20.37% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a woodcutter whereas 1.85% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 9.26% of the boys who had high socio-economic status selected the profession of a newspaper correspondent whereas 3.7% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same.

None of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a bus driver whereas 5.56% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 3.7% of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a steno-typist to an officer whereas 9.26% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 3.7% of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted
for becoming a farm worker whereas 7.41% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same.

Figure 81 shows Long-Range Realistic Goal Period, for boys, expressed at the Mature Career Point. They were provided another group of professions. 16.67% of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a chemist whereas 20.37% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the boys opted for becoming a nurse irrespective of the socio-economic status they had.

Of the boys who had high socio-economic status 24.07% selected the profession of owner of a farm or factory, which employs 100 people whereas 16.67% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a shop attendant whereas 5.56% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 35.19% of the boys who had high socio-economic status selected the profession of a district magistrate whereas 33.33% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. None of the boys opted to become a shoe shiner irrespective of the socio-economic status they had.

Among the boys who had high socio-economic status 5.56% opted for becoming a commercial artist whereas 1.85% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 1.85% of the boys who had high socio-economic status opted for becoming a typist whereas 7.41% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose the same. 16.67% of the boys who had high socio-economic status chose to become a social welfare worker whereas 14.81% of the boys who had low socio-economic status chose this profession. None of the boys opted to become a cloth presser in an industry regardless of the socio-economic status they had.
The difference in means of boys and girls on socio-economic status and vocational aspirations has been already discussed in the previous subchapters in tables 19, 20, 6 and 7.

**Correlation Matrix for the Total Sample (N=400) indicating the correlation between Socio-Economic Status and Vocational Aspiration**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Parental Encouragement</th>
<th>Peer Acceptability</th>
<th>Socio-Economic Status</th>
<th>Vocational Aspiration</th>
<th>Educational Aspiration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parental Encouragement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer Acceptability</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-Economic Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>-0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above table shows the correlation matrix for the correlation conducted on the entire sample of 400 students. The value of the coefficient of correlation (r) for the relationship between socio-economic status - vocational aspirations and vocational aspirations – educational aspirations came out to be 0.260 and 0.081. These values were positive i.e. as one variable increased the other also increased slightly.

The ‘r’ value for socio-economic status and vocational aspiration was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This indicated the relationship of socio-economic status and vocational aspiration was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This implied that as the socio-economic status increased the vocational aspiration of the students also increased slightly (because the ‘r’ value is small).
The ‘r’ value of vocational aspirations and educational aspirations was also positive but it was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. The correlations of socio-economic status all other variables like parental encouragement and peer acceptability have been explained in the previous subchapters. Therefore, it can be concluded that socio-economic status was positively correlated with vocational aspiration and it was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

**Correlation Matrix for Female Students (N=200) indicating the correlation between Socio-Economic Status and Vocational Aspiration**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Parental Encouragement</th>
<th>Peer Acceptability</th>
<th>Socio-Economic Status</th>
<th>Vocational Aspiration</th>
<th>Educational Aspiration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parental Encouragement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer Acceptability</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-Economic Status</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>-0.048</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlation was performed on the female students of the sample (N=200). The results indicated that the ‘r’ values of socio-economic status – vocational aspirations and vocational aspirations – educational aspirations were 0.338 and 0.118. These values were positive i.e. as one variable increased the other also increased.

The ‘r’ value for socio-economic status and vocational aspirations of girls was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This indicated that the relationship between socio-economic status and
vocational aspiration was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This implied that as the socio-economic status of the girls increased their vocational aspirations also increased slightly (because the ‘r’ value was small).

The correlation between vocational aspirations and educational aspirations of girls was positive but not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. The correlations of socio-economic status of girls with all the other variables like parental encouragement and peer acceptability have been explained in the previous subchapters. Thus, it can be concluded that socio-economic status of girls had a positive correlation with their vocational aspirations and it was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

**Correlation Matrix for Male Students (N=200) indicating the correlation between Socio-Economic Status and Vocational Aspiration**

**Table No.26**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Parental Encouragement</th>
<th>Peer Acceptability</th>
<th>Socio-Economic Status</th>
<th>Vocational Aspiration</th>
<th>Educational Aspiration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parental Encouragement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer Acceptability</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-Economic Status</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>-0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Aspiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlation analysis was conducted on the male students of the sample (N=200). The values of the coefficient of correlation (r) for the relationship between socio-economic status – vocational aspirations
and vocational aspirations – educational aspirations were 0.192 and 0.064. All these values were positive i.e. as one variable increased the other also increased slightly.

The ‘$r$’ value for socio-economic status and vocational aspiration of boys was positive but not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This indicated that the relationship of socio-economic status and vocational aspiration was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

Similarly, the ‘$r$’ value of vocational aspirations and educational aspirations of boys was also positive not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. The correlations of socio-economic status with all other variables like parental encouragement and peer acceptability have been explained in the previous subchapters. Therefore, it can be concluded that socio-economic status of boys was positively correlated with their vocational aspirations but it was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

4.7 STUDY OF THE INTERACTIVE EFFECTS

The analysis of variance is carried out to find out the independent and interactive effects of independent variables on the dependent variable. In the present study, the investigator conducted ANOVA to find out the independent as well as interactive influences of independent variables on the dependent variable. Here, the independent variables are parental encouragement; peer acceptability and socio-economic status while the dependent variables are educational and vocational aspirations.

**ANOVA Summary: For the Educational Aspiration Scores of the Sample**
Where:

A = Parental Encouragement, B = Peer Acceptability
C = Socio-Economic Status

**Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement, Peer Acceptability and Socio-Economic Status**

**Table No.27(b)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High Parental Encouragement</th>
<th>Low Parental Encouragement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High SES</td>
<td>Low SES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Peer Accept.</td>
<td>23.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Peer Accept.</td>
<td>24.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANOVA was carried out for the educational aspiration scores of the sample. Table 27(a) shows that F ratio corresponding to the effect of parental encouragement on educational aspirations was not significant at 0.05 level of confidence. This indicates that the adolescents receiving high or low parental encouragement had similar educational
aspirations. Therefore it can be concluded that parental encouragement did not have a significant effect on educational aspirations.

Similarly, the F ratio corresponding to the effect of peer acceptability on educational aspirations was also not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This shows that adolescence having high or low peer acceptability had similar educational aspirations. Therefore peer acceptability also did not have a significant effect on educational aspirations of adolescents.

In the same way, the F ratio corresponding to the effect of socio-economic status (SES) on educational aspirations of adolescents was also not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This indicated that adolescents having high or low SES had similar educational aspirations. Thus SES too did not have a significant effect on educational aspirations of adolescents.

The F ratio for the interaction among all the three variables i.e. parental encouragement, peer acceptability and socio-economic status was also not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This conveys that none of the variables had significant interactive effect on the educational aspirations of the adolescents.

Table 27 (b) shows mean values of educational aspirations of different groups of adolescents in different combinations of variables. Tables 27 (c) and 27 (d) show mean values of educational aspirations of adolescents receiving high parental encouragement and that of adolescents receiving low parental encouragement averaged over both levels of peer acceptability as well as SES.

**Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement and Peer Acceptability**
Table No. 27(c)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>High Parental Encouragement (A1)</th>
<th>Low Parental Encouragement (A2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Peer Acceptability (B1)</td>
<td>24.23</td>
<td>23.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Peer Acceptability (B2)</td>
<td>24.58</td>
<td>23.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.405</td>
<td>23.795</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement and SES

Table No. 27(d)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>High Parental Encouragement (A1)</th>
<th>Low Parental Encouragement (A2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High SES (C1)</td>
<td>24.29</td>
<td>23.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low SES (C2)</td>
<td>24.52</td>
<td>24.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.405</td>
<td>23.795</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 27(a) indicates that F ratio corresponding to the effect of parental encouragement on educational aspirations of the adolescents was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. So the difference between mean values of educational aspirations of adolescents receiving high parental encouragement i.e. 24.405 {from tables 27(c) and 27(d)} and that of adolescents receiving low parental encouragement i.e. 23.795 {from tables 27(c) and 27(d)} will not be considered significant.

This implies that adolescents did not differ significantly in their preference for educational aspirations according to the parental encouragement they received. Therefore the hypothesis that parental
encouragement influenced educational aspirations of adolescents was rejected.

**Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of SES and Peer Acceptability**

Table No. 27(e)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High Peer Acceptability (B1)</th>
<th>Low Peer Acceptability (B2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High SES C1) 23.41</td>
<td>24.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low SES C2) 24.65</td>
<td>24.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tables 27(c) and 27(e) show mean values of educational aspirations of adolescents having high peer acceptability and that of adolescents having low peer acceptability averaged over both levels of parental encouragement as well as SES. Table 27(a) shows the F ratio indicating the effect of peer acceptability on educational aspirations, which was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

So the difference between mean values of educational aspirations of adolescents having high peer acceptability i.e. 24.03 {from Tables 27(c) and 27(e)} and that of adolescents having low peer acceptability i.e. 24.17 {from Tables 27(c) and 27(e)} will not be considered as significant. This implies that adolescents do not differ significantly in their preference for educational aspirations according to the peer acceptability they had. Thus the hypothesis that peer acceptability influenced educational aspirations of adolescents was rejected.

Tables 27(d) and 27(e) show mean values of educational aspirations of adolescents of high SES and that of adolescents of low SES averaged over both levels of parental encouragement and peer
acceptability. Table 27(a) shows that the F ratio corresponding to the effect of SES on educational aspirations was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

So the difference between mean values of educational aspirations of adolescents of high SES i.e. 23.78 {from Tables 27(d) and 27(e)} and that of adolescents of low SES i.e. 24.42 {from Tables 27(d) and 27(e)} will not be considered significant. This indicates that adolescents did not differ significantly in their preference for educational aspirations according to their SES. Therefore the hypothesis that High SES adolescents had higher educational aspirations than that of low SES was rejected.

ANOVA Summary: For the Vocational Aspiration Scores of the Sample

Table No.28(a)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1292.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1292.4</td>
<td>17.7*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>254.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>254.4</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>0.062862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>838.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>838.1</td>
<td>11.48*</td>
<td>0.000775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A x B</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.862580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A x C</td>
<td>52.57</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>52.57</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.396662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B x C</td>
<td>111.31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>111.31</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.218358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A x B x C</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.791476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>28615.34</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>31171.58</td>
<td>399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where:
A = Parental Encouragement  
B = Peer Acceptability  
C = Socio- Economic Status

* Significant at the 0.05 level
Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement, Peer Acceptability and Socio- Economic Status

Table No.28(b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>High Parental Encouragement</th>
<th>Low Parental Encouragement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High SES</td>
<td>Low SES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Peer Accept.</td>
<td>56.78</td>
<td>54.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Peer Accept.</td>
<td>56.62</td>
<td>51.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANOVA was performed for the vocational aspiration scores of the sample. It is evident from the table 28(a) the F ratio corresponding to the effect of parental encouragement on vocational aspirations was found to be significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This conveys that adolescents receiving high as well as low parental encouragement did not have similar vocational aspirations. This implies that parental encouragement had a significant effect on vocational aspirations of adolescents.

The table also shows F ratio corresponding to the effect of peer acceptability on vocational aspirations, which was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. It conveys that adolescents receiving high or low peer acceptability had similar vocational aspirations. Hence it can be concluded that peer acceptability had no significant effect on vocational aspirations of adolescents.

The table also indicates that the F ratio corresponding to the effect of socio- economic status (SES) on vocational aspirations, which was found to be significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This conveys that adolescents of high as well as low SES had different vocational aspirations. This implies that SES had a significant effect on vocational aspirations of adolescents.
The F ratio for interactions among all the three variables i.e. parental encouragement, peer acceptability and socio-economic status were not significant i.e. the F ratios of all the interactions were not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This conveys that none of the variables had a significant interactive effect on the vocational aspirations of adolescents.

Table 28(b) shows the mean values of vocational aspirations of adolescents in different combinations of all the three variables.

Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement and Peer Acceptability

Table No. 28(c)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>High Parental Encouragement (A1)</th>
<th>Low Parental Encouragement (A2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Peer Acceptability (B1)</td>
<td>55.61</td>
<td>52.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Peer Acceptability (B2)</td>
<td>54.17</td>
<td>50.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54.89</td>
<td>51.295</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement and SES

Table No. 28(d)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>High Parental Encouragement (A1)</th>
<th>Low Parental Encouragement (A2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High SES (C1)</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>52.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low SES (C2)</td>
<td>53.08</td>
<td>50.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54.89</td>
<td>51.295</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tables 28(c) and 28(d) show comparison of mean values of vocational aspirations of adolescents receiving high parental encouragement as well as that of adolescents receiving low parental encouragement averaged over two levels of peer acceptability as well as
Mean values of vocational aspirations of adolescents receiving high parental encouragement i.e. 54.89 \{from tables 28(c) and 28(d)\} which was greater than that of adolescents receiving low parental encouragement i.e. 51.295 \{from tables 28(c) and 28(d)\}.

Moreover, the F ratio (17.7) in table 28(a) was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This indicates that parental encouragement had a significant effect on vocational aspirations of adolescents. Thus the hypothesis that parental encouragement influenced vocational aspirations of adolescents has been accepted.

Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of SES and Peer Acceptability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table No. 28(e)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Peer Acceptability (B1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High SES (C1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low SES (C2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Peer Acceptability (B1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tables 28(c) and 28(e) show the mean values of vocational aspirations of adolescents having high peer acceptability and that of adolescents having low peer acceptability averaged over two levels of parental encouragement as well as SES. Table 28(a) shows the F ratio (3.48) indicating the effect of peer acceptability on vocational aspirations of adolescents, which was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

So the difference between the mean values of vocational aspirations of adolescents having high peer acceptability i.e. 53.89 \{from tables 28(c) and 28(e)\} and that of adolescents having low peer acceptability i.e. 52.295 \{from tables 28(c) and 28(e)\} will not be considered as significant. This implies that adolescents did not differ
significantly in their preference for vocational aspirations according to the peer acceptability they had. Therefore, the hypothesis that peer acceptability influenced vocational aspirations of adolescents was rejected.

Tables 28(d) and 28(e) show the comparison of mean values of vocational aspirations of adolescents of high SES as well as that of the adolescents of low SES averaged over two levels of parental encouragement as well as peer acceptability. Mean values of vocational aspirations of adolescents of high SES i.e. 54.54 {from tables 28(d) and 28(e)} which was greater than that of the adolescents of low SES i.e. 51.645 {from tables 28(d) and 28(e)}.

As the F ratio (11.48) from table 28(a) was also significant at 0.05 level of confidence so it indicates that SES had a significant effect on vocational aspirations of adolescents. Therefore the hypothesis that high SES adolescents had higher vocational aspirations than that of the low SES was accepted.

**ANOVA Summary: For the Educational Aspiration Scores of Girls**

**Table No.29(a)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.357709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>11.04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.04</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.463329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.484775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A x B</td>
<td>22.45</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22.45</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.297784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A x C</td>
<td>55.12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>55.12</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>0.103254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B x C</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.920449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A x B x C</td>
<td>17.42</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17.42</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.357709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>3951.99</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>20.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4085.79</td>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Where:

\[ A = \text{Parental Encouragement}, \quad B = \text{Peer Acceptability} \]

\[ C = \text{Socio- Economic Status} \]

**Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement, Peer Acceptability and Socio- Economic Status**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>High Parental Encouragement</th>
<th>Low Parental Encouragement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High SES</td>
<td>Low SES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Peer Accept.</td>
<td>24.72</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Peer Accept.</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANOVA was carried out for the educational aspiration scores of girls. Table 29(a) shows that F ratio corresponding to the effect of parental encouragement on educational aspirations was not significant at 0.05 level of confidence. This indicates that the girls receiving high or low parental encouragement had similar educational aspirations. Therefore it can be concluded that parental encouragement did not have a significant effect on educational aspirations.

Similarly, the F ratio corresponding to the effect of peer acceptability on educational aspirations was also not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This shows that girls having high or low peer acceptability had similar educational aspirations. Therefore peer acceptability also did not have a significant effect on educational aspirations of girls.

In the same way, the F ratio corresponding to the effect of socio- economic status (SES) on educational aspirations of girls was also
not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This indicated that girls having high or low SES had similar educational aspirations. Thus SES too did not have a significant effect on educational aspirations of girls.

The F ratio for the interaction among all the three variables i.e. parental encouragement, peer acceptability and socio-economic status was also not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This conveys that none of the variables had significant interactive effect on the educational aspirations of the girls.

Table 29(b) shows mean values of educational aspirations of different groups of girls in different combinations of variables.

**Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement and Peer Acceptability**

**Table No. 29(c)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>High Parental Encouragement (A1)</th>
<th>Low Parental Encouragement (A2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Peer Acceptability (B1)</td>
<td>24.16</td>
<td>24.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Peer Acceptability (B2)</td>
<td>23.96</td>
<td>25.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.06</td>
<td>24.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement and SES**

**Table No. 29(d)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>High Parental Encouragement (A1)</th>
<th>Low Parental Encouragement (A2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High SES (C1)</td>
<td>24.36</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low SES (C2)</td>
<td>23.76</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.06</td>
<td>24.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tables 29(c) and 29(d) show mean values of educational aspirations of girls receiving high parental encouragement and that of
girls receiving low parental encouragement averaged over both levels of peer acceptability as well as SES.

Table 29(a) indicates that F ratio corresponding to the effect of parental encouragement on educational aspirations of the girls was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. So the difference between mean values of educational aspirations of girls receiving high parental encouragement i.e.24.06 {from tables 29(c) and 29(d)} and that of girls receiving low parental encouragement i.e.24.65 {from tables 29(c) and 29(d)} will not be considered significant.

This implies that girls did not differ significantly in their preference for educational aspirations according to the parental encouragement they received.

**Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of SES and Peer Acceptability**

**Table No. 29(e)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>High Peer Acceptability (B1)</th>
<th>Low Peer Acceptability (B2)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High SES (C1)</td>
<td>23.86</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>24.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low SES (C2)</td>
<td>24.38</td>
<td>24.78</td>
<td>24.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.12</td>
<td>24.59</td>
<td>24.355</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tables 29(c) and 29(e) shows mean values of educational aspirations of girls having high peer acceptability and that of girls having low peer acceptability averaged over both levels of parental encouragement as well as SES. Table 29(a) shows the F ratio indicating the effect of peer acceptability on educational aspirations, which was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

So the difference between mean values of educational aspirations of girls having high peer acceptability i.e.24.12 {from Tables
29(c) and 29(e)} and that of girls having low peer acceptability i.e.24.59 {from Tables 29(c) and 29(e)} will not be considered as significant. This implies that girls do not differ significantly in their preference for educational aspirations according to the peer acceptability they had.

Tables 29(d) and 29(e) show mean values of educational aspirations of girls of high SES and that of girls of low SES averaged over both levels of parental encouragement and peer acceptability. Table 29(a) shows that the F ratio corresponding to the effect of SES on educational aspirations was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

So the difference between mean values of educational aspirations of girls of high SES i.e.24.13 {from Tables 29(d) and 29(e)} and that of girls of low SES i.e.24.58 {from Tables 29(d) and 29(e)} will not be considered significant. This indicates that girls did not differ significantly in their preference for educational aspirations according to their SES.

ANOVA Summary: For the Vocational Aspiration Scores of Girls

Table No.30(a)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>651.61</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>651.61</td>
<td>9.89*</td>
<td>0.001926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>63.85</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>63.85</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.325920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>804.01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>804.01</td>
<td>12.21*</td>
<td>0.000590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A x B</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.428335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A x C</td>
<td>78.12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>78.12</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.276697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B x C</td>
<td>149.64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>149.64</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>0.133543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A x B x C</td>
<td>102.25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>102.25</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.214653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>12645.92</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>65.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14536.8</td>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where: A = Parental Encouragement
B = Peer Acceptability

*Significant at the 0.05 level
C = Socio- Economic Status

**Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement, Peer Acceptability and Socio- Economic Status**

**Table No. 30(b)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>High Parental Encouragement</th>
<th>Low Parental Encouragement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High SES</td>
<td>Low SES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Peer Accept.</td>
<td>54.52</td>
<td>54.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Peer Accept.</td>
<td>55.64</td>
<td>49.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANOVA was performed for the vocational aspiration scores of girls. It is evident from the table 30(a) the F ratio corresponding to the effect of parental encouragement on vocational aspirations was found to be significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This conveys that girls receiving high as well as low parental encouragement did not have similar vocational aspirations. This implies that parental encouragement had a significant effect on vocational aspirations of girls.

The table also shows F ratio corresponding to the effect of peer acceptability on vocational aspirations, which was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. It conveys that girls receiving high or low peer acceptability had similar vocational aspirations. Hence it can be concluded that peer acceptability had no significant effect on vocational aspirations of girls.

The table also indicates that the F ratio corresponding to the effect of socio- economic status (SES) on vocational aspirations,
which was found to be significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This conveys that girls of high as well as low SES had different vocational aspirations. This implies that SES had a significant effect on vocational aspirations of girls.

The F ratio for interactions among all the three variables i.e. parental encouragement, peer acceptability and socio-economic status were not significant i.e. the F ratios of all the interactions were not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This conveys that none of the variables had a significant interactive effect on the vocational aspirations of girls.

Table 30(b) shows the mean values of vocational aspirations of girls in different combinations of all the three variables.

**Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement and Peer Acceptability**

**Table No. 30(c)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>High Parental Encouragement (A1)</th>
<th>Low Parental Encouragement (A2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Peer Acceptability (B1)</td>
<td>54.72</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Peer Acceptability (B2)</td>
<td>52.68</td>
<td>49.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>50.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement and SES**

**Table No. 30(d)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>High Parental Encouragement (A1)</th>
<th>Low Parental Encouragement (A2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High SES (C1)</td>
<td>55.08</td>
<td>52.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low SES (C2)</td>
<td>52.32</td>
<td>47.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>50.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tables 30(c) and 30(d) show comparison of mean values of vocational aspirations of girls receiving high parental encouragement as well as that of girls receiving low parental encouragement averaged over two levels of peer acceptability as well as SES. Mean values of vocational aspirations of girls receiving high parental encouragement i.e. 53.7 {from tables 30(c) and 30(d)} which was greater than that of girls receiving low parental encouragement i.e. 50.09 {from tables 30(c) and 30(d)}.

Moreover, the F ratio (9.89) in table 30(a) was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This indicates that parental encouragement had a significant effect on vocational aspirations of girls.

**Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of SES and Peer Acceptability**

**Table No. 30(e)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>High Peer Acceptability (B1)</th>
<th>Low Peer Acceptability (B2)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High SES (C1)</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>53.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low SES (C2)</td>
<td>51.32</td>
<td>48.46</td>
<td>49.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>52.46</td>
<td>51.33</td>
<td>51.895</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tables 30(c) and 30(e) show the mean values of vocational aspirations of girls having high peer acceptability and that of girls having low peer acceptability averaged over two levels of parental encouragement as well as SES. Table 30(a) shows the F ratio (0.97) indicating the effect of peer acceptability on vocational aspirations of girls, which was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

So the difference between the mean values of vocational aspirations of girls having high peer acceptability i.e. 52.46 {from tables
30(c) and 30(e)\} and that of girls having low peer acceptability i.e. 51.33 \{from tables 30(c) and 30(e)\} will not be considered as significant. This implies that girls did not differ significantly in their preference for vocational aspirations according to the peer acceptability they had.

Tables 30(d) and 30(e) show the comparison of mean values of vocational aspirations of girls of high SES as well as that of the girls of low SES averaged over two levels of parental encouragement as well as peer acceptability. Mean values of vocational aspirations of girls of high SES i.e. 53.9 \{from tables 30(d) and 30(e)\} which was greater than that of the girls of low SES i.e. 49.89 \{from tables 30(d) and 30(e)\}.

As the F ratio (12.21) from table 30(a) was also significant at 0.05 level of confidence so it indicates that SES had a significant effect on vocational aspirations of girls.

**ANOVA Summary: For the Educational Aspiration Scores of Boys**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>120.13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>120.13</td>
<td>5.55*</td>
<td>0.019489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>13.01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.01</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.439531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>102.25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>102.25</td>
<td>4.73*</td>
<td>0.030863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A \times B</td>
<td>66.12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>66.12</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>0.081840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A \times C</td>
<td>11.04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.04</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.476006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B \times C</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.295585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A \times B \times C</td>
<td>15.13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15.13</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.403824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>4154.72</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>21.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4506.2</td>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where:

A = Parental Encouragement
B = Peer Acceptability
C = Socio- Economic Status

*Significant at the 0.05 level
Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement, Peer Acceptability and Socio- Economic Status

Table No. 31(b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>High Parental Encouragement</th>
<th>Low Parental Encouragement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High SES</td>
<td>Low SES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Peer Accept.</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Peer Accept.</td>
<td>25.08</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANOVA was conducted on the educational aspiration scores of boys. It is evident from the table 31(a) the F ratio corresponding to the effect of parental encouragement on educational aspirations was found to be significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This conveys that boys receiving high as well as low parental encouragement did not have similar educational aspirations. This implies that parental encouragement had a significant effect on educational aspirations of boys.

The table also shows F ratio corresponding to the effect of peer acceptability on educational aspirations, which was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. It conveys that boys receiving high or low peer acceptability had similar educational aspirations. Hence it can be concluded that peer acceptability had no significant effect on educational aspirations of boys.

The table also indicates that the F ratio corresponding to the effect of socio-economic status (SES) on educational aspirations, which was found to be significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This conveys that boys of high as well as low SES had different educational aspirations. This implies that SES had a significant effect on educational aspirations of boys.
The F ratio for interactions among all the three variables i.e. parental encouragement, peer acceptability and socio-economic status were not significant i.e. the F ratios of all the interactions were not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This conveys that none of the variables had a significant interactive effect on the educational aspirations of boys.

Table 31(b) shows the mean values of educational aspirations of boys in different combinations of all the three variables. Tables 31(c) and 31(d) show mean values of educational aspirations of boys receiving high parental encouragement and that of boys receiving low parental encouragement averaged over both levels of peer acceptability as well as SES.

**Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement and Peer Acceptability**

**Table No. 31(c)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peer Acceptability</th>
<th>High Parental Encouragement (A1)</th>
<th>Low Parental Encouragement (A2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Acceptability (B1)</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Acceptability (B2)</td>
<td>24.94</td>
<td>22.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.62</td>
<td>23.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement and SES**

**Table No. 31(d)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SES</th>
<th>High Parental Encouragement (A1)</th>
<th>Low Parental Encouragement (A2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High SES (C1)</td>
<td>24.14</td>
<td>22.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low SES (C2)</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>24.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.62</td>
<td>23.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tables 31(c) and 31(d) show comparison of mean values of educational aspirations of boys receiving high parental encouragement as well as that of boys receiving low parental encouragement averaged over two levels of peer acceptability as well as SES. Mean values of educational aspirations of boys receiving high parental encouragement i.e. 24.62 \{from tables 31(c) and 31(d)\} which was greater than that of boys receiving low parental encouragement i.e. 23.07 \{from tables 31(c) and 31(d)\}.

Moreover, the F ratio (5.55) in table 31(a) was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This indicates that parental encouragement had a significant effect on educational aspirations of boys.

**Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of SES and Peer Acceptability**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>High Peer Acceptability (B1)</th>
<th>Low Peer Acceptability (B2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High SES (C1)</td>
<td>23.04</td>
<td>23.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low SES (C2)</td>
<td>25.16</td>
<td>23.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>23.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tables 31(c) and 31(e) show the mean values of educational aspirations of boys having high peer acceptability and that of boys having low peer acceptability averaged over two levels of parental encouragement as well as SES. Table 31(a) shows the F ratio (0.6) indicating the effect of peer acceptability on educational aspirations of boys, which was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

So the difference between the mean values of educational aspirations of boys having high peer acceptability i.e. 24.1 \{from tables 31(c) and 31(e)\} and that of boys having low peer
acceptability i.e. 23.59 \{from tables 31(c) and 31(e)\} will not be considered as significant. This implies that boys did not differ significantly in their preference for educational aspirations according to the peer acceptability they had.

Tables 31(d) and 31(e) show the comparison of mean values of educational aspirations of boys of high SES as well as that of the boys of low SES averaged over two levels of parental encouragement as well as peer acceptability. Mean values of educational aspirations of boys of high SES i.e. 23.13 \{from tables 31(d) and 31(e)\} which was lesser than that of the boys of low SES i.e. 24.56 \{from tables 31(d) and 31(e)\}.

As the F ratio (4.73) from table 31(a) was also significant at 0.05 level of confidence so it indicates that SES had a significant effect on educational aspirations of boys.

**ANOVA Summary: For the Vocational Aspiration Scores of Boys**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>544.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>544.5</td>
<td>7.07*</td>
<td>0.008500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>38.72</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>38.72</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.480357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>216.32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>216.32</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>0.095306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A x B</td>
<td>23.12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23.12</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.584519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A x C</td>
<td>369.92</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>369.92</td>
<td>4.8*</td>
<td>0.029664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B x C</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A x B x C</td>
<td>79.38</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>79.38</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.311436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>14789.04</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>77.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>16061.18</td>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where:

A = Parental Encouragement
B = Peer Acceptability
C = Socio- Economic Status

*Significant at the 0.05 level
Mean Values for Different Groups of Subjects based on Combination of Parental Encouragement, Peer Acceptability and Socio-Economic Status

Table No. 32(b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parental Encouragement</th>
<th>SES</th>
<th></th>
<th>Parental Encouragement</th>
<th>SES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Peer Accept.</td>
<td>59.04</td>
<td>53.04</td>
<td>High Peer Accept.</td>
<td>52.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Peer Accept.</td>
<td>57.64</td>
<td>54.04</td>
<td>Low Peer Accept.</td>
<td>52.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANOVA was performed on the vocational aspiration scores of boys. It is evident from the table 32(a) the F ratio corresponding to the effect of parental encouragement on vocational aspirations was found to be significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This conveys that boys receiving high as well as low parental encouragement did not have similar vocational aspirations. This implies that parental encouragement had a significant effect on vocational aspirations of boys.

The table also shows F ratio corresponding to the effect of peer acceptability on vocational aspirations, which was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. It conveys that boys receiving high or low peer acceptability had similar vocational aspirations. Hence it can be concluded that peer acceptability had no significant effect on vocational aspirations of boys.

The table also indicates that the F ratio corresponding to the effect of socio-economic status (SES) on vocational aspirations was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This conveys that boys of high as well as low SES had similar vocational aspirations. This implies that SES had no significant effect on vocational aspirations of boys.
The F ratio for interactions between parental encouragement as well as SES was found to be significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This indicates that both these variables had a significant interactive effect on the vocational aspirations of boys.

The F ratio for interactions parental encouragement - peer acceptability, SES - peer acceptability as well as that for interactions among all the three variables (i.e. parental encouragement X peer acceptability X SES) were not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence. This conveys that all the three variables together did not have a significant interactive effect on the vocational aspirations of boys.

Table 32(b) shows the mean values of vocational aspirations of boys in different combinations of all the three variables.

**Table showing Mean Differences**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison Means</th>
<th>Table No. 32(c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(High Par.Encourag. -High SES)</td>
<td>M₁ = 56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(High Par.Encourag. -Low SES)</td>
<td>M₂ = 53.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Low Par.Encourag. -High SES)</td>
<td>M₃ = 52.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Low Par.Encourag. -Low SES)</td>
<td>M₄ = 50.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T₀.₀₅ = 4.48

Since the F ratio for the significant interaction of Parental Encouragement and SES on vocational aspirations of boys did not indicate the exact source of interaction, post-hoc analysis was done by Tukey test. Difference in means of different pairs has been shown in the
Table no. 32(c). The Tukey test value was also determined and mean differences were compared with it.

It is evident from the table that there was significant difference in only one pair of means i.e. group 1 and group 4. The value of mean difference (i.e. 6.49) was greater than the value of the Tukey test (4.48) at the 0.05 level of confidence. Therefore, the mean value of the vocational aspirations of boys receiving high parental encouragement and having high SES was greater than that of boys receiving low parental encouragement and having low SES. In other pairs of means no significant differences were found.
CHAPTER V

FINDINGS

The main findings of the present study have been listed below:

5.1 PARENTAL ENCOURAGEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS

- The mean score of girls (66.675) on parental encouragement was higher than that of boys (66.495). But this difference was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.
- The mean score of girls (24.355) on educational aspirations was higher than that of boys (23.845). But this difference was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.
- Parental encouragement received by the students was positively correlated to their educational aspirations but was not significant.
- Parental encouragement received by the girls was also positively correlated to their educational aspirations but was not significant.
- Parental encouragement received by the boys was also positively correlated to their educational aspirations but was not significant.
- Parental encouragement received by the students was also positively correlated to their socio-economic status and was significant.
- Parental encouragement received by the girls was also positively correlated to their socio-economic status but was not significant.
- Parental encouragement received by the boys was also positively correlated to their socio-economic status and was significant.
- Parental encouragement received by the students was also positively correlated to their peer acceptability but was not significant.
• Parental encouragement received by the girls was also positively correlated to their peer acceptability but was not significant.

• Parental encouragement received by the boys was also positively correlated to their peer acceptability but was not significant.

5.2 PARENTAL ENCOURAGEMENT AND VOCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS

• The mean score of boys (54.290) on vocational aspiration was higher than that of girls (51.895). This difference was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

• Parental encouragement received by the students was positively correlated to their vocational aspirations and it was significant.

• Parental encouragement received by the girls was also positively correlated to their vocational aspirations and it was also significant.

• Parental encouragement received by the boys was also positively correlated to their vocational aspirations but was not significant.

5.3 PEER GROUP ACCEPTABILITY AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS

• The mean score of girls (11.125) on peer group acceptability was higher than that of boys (11.025). But this difference was also not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.

• Peer group acceptability of the students was positively correlated to their educational aspirations but was not significant.

• Peer group acceptability of the girls was also positively correlated to their educational aspirations but was not significant.

• Peer group acceptability of the boys was also positively correlated to their educational aspirations but was not significant.
5.4 PEER GROUP ACCEPTABILITY AND VOCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS

- Peer group acceptability of the students was positively correlated to their vocational aspirations but was not significant.
- Peer group acceptability of the girls was also positively correlated to their vocational aspirations but was not significant.
- Peer group acceptability of the boys was also positively correlated to their vocational aspirations but was not significant.
- Peer group acceptability of the students was also positively correlated to their socio-economic status and was significant.
- Peer group acceptability of the girls was also positively correlated to their socio-economic status but was not significant.
- Peer group acceptability of the boys was also positively correlated to their socio-economic status and was significant.

5.5 SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS

- The mean score of girls (641.995) on socio-economic status was higher than that of boys (633.655). But this difference was not significant at the 0.05 level of confidence.
- Socio-economic status of the students was negatively correlated to their educational aspirations but was not significant.
- Socio-economic status of the girls was also negatively correlated to their educational aspirations but was not significant.
- Socio-economic status of the boys was also negatively correlated to their educational aspirations but was not significant.

5.6 SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS AND VOCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS

- Socio-economic status of the students was positively correlated to their vocational aspirations and it was significant.
Socio-economic status of the girls was also positively correlated to their vocational aspirations and it was also significant.

Socio-economic status of the boys was also positively correlated to their vocational aspirations but was not significant.

Vocational aspirations of the students was also positively correlated to their educational aspirations but was not significant.

Vocational aspirations of the girls was also positively correlated to their educational aspirations but was not significant.

Vocational aspirations of the boys was also positively correlated to their educational aspirations but was not significant.

5.7 STUDY OF THE INTERACTIVE EFFECTS

When ANOVA was conducted on the entire sample of 400 students, the influence of all the three variables i.e. parental encouragement, peer group acceptability and socio-economic status was not significant, independently or in an interactive manner, on the educational aspirations.

When ANOVA was conducted on vocational aspirations of the entire sample of 400 students, it was found that parental encouragement and socio-economic status had significant independent influence on the vocational aspirations but there was no interactive influence.

The influence of peer group acceptability on vocational aspirations was not significant, independently as well as in an interactive manner.

In case of girls, the influence of all the three variables i.e. parental encouragement, peer group acceptability and socio-economic
status was not significant, independently or in an interactive manner, on their educational aspirations.

- Parental encouragement and socio-economic status of girls had a significant independent influence on their vocational aspirations but there was no interactive influence.
- The influence of peer group acceptability of girls on their vocational aspirations was not significant, independently as well as in an interactive manner.
- In case of boys, parental encouragement and socio-economic status had a significant independent influence on their educational aspirations but there was no interactive effect.
- Peer Acceptability did not have an independent or an interactive effect on the educational aspirations of boys.
- Parental encouragement of boys had a significant independent influence on their vocational aspirations.
- The influence of peer group acceptability of boys was not significant, independently or in an interactive manner on their vocational aspirations.
- Socio-economic status of boys did not have a significant independent influence on their vocational aspirations.
- Parental encouragement and socio-economic status of boys had an interactive influence on their vocational aspirations.
CHAPTER – VI

RESULTS AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS

In the present study, parental encouragement, peer acceptability and socio-economic status were the main independent variables while educational and vocational aspirations were the main dependent variables.

The present study indicates that majority of the students who received high parental encouragement wanted to become insurance agents, bank managers, state governors, doctors etc. Most of the students who received low parental encouragement wanted to become soldiers in the army, dentists, primary school teachers, airhostesses etc.

The following were the main conclusions of the present study:

6.1 PARENTAL ENCOURAGEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS

Mean scores as well as standard deviations for all the above mentioned variables were calculated. Mean scores were calculated separately for boys and girls. t-test was performed to find out whether the difference between these means was significant or not. The means of boys and girls of the variables – parental encouragement and educational aspirations - were equivalent except for one. No significant gender differences were found among the above variables.

Correlation was conducted to find out the degree of relationship between the variables i.e. to determine the extent to which change in one variable was accompanied by or was dependent upon changes in the other variable. When correlation was conducted for the entire sample of 400 adolescents, it was found that parental encouragement was positively correlated with educational aspirations but
was not significant. Similar results were found when correlation was conducted for girls and boys separately.

It was also found that parental encouragement received by the students (N=400) was positively correlated with their socio-economic status and it was significant. This implied that as the socio-economic status of the students increased the parental encouragement received by them also increased. Similar results were found when correlation was conducted for the boys. But in case of the girls, the parental encouragement received by them was not significantly correlated with their socio-economic status.

The present study shows that the parental encouragement received by the students (N=400) was positively correlated with their peer group acceptability but it was not significant. Similar results were found when correlation was conducted for the boys as well as girls.

6.2 PARENTAL ENCOURAGEMENT AND VOCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS

In case of vocational aspirations, the mean score of boys (54.290) was higher than that of girls (51.895). Here, the t-value was significant at the 0.05 level of confidence i.e. the difference between the means of boys and girls on vocational aspirations were significant at the 5% level. This implies that vocational aspirations of boys were higher than that of girls.

When correlation was conducted for the entire sample of 400 adolescents, it was found that parental encouragement was positively correlated with vocational aspirations and was significant. This implied that as the parental encouragement received by the students increased their vocational aspirations also increased. Similar results were found when correlation was conducted for girls. But in case of the boys the
correlation between the parental encouragement received by them and their vocational aspirations was not significant.

6.3 PEER GROUP ACCEPTABILITY AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS

In case of the peer group acceptability mean scores of girls and boys were equivalent i.e. no significant gender differences were found. When correlation was conducted for the entire sample of 400 adolescents, it was found that peer group acceptability was positively correlated with educational aspirations but was not significant. Similar results were found when correlation was conducted for girls and boys separately.

6.4 PEER GROUP ACCEPTABILITY AND VOCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS

When correlation was conducted for the entire sample of 400 adolescents, it was found that peer group acceptability was positively correlated with vocational aspirations but was not significant. Similar results were found when correlation was conducted for girls and boys separately.

When correlation was conducted for the entire sample of 400 adolescents, it was found that peer acceptability was positively correlated with socio-economic status and was significant. This implied that as the socio-economic status of the students increased their peer group acceptability also increased. Similar results were found when correlation was conducted for boys. But in case of the girls the correlation between the peer group acceptability and their socio-economic status was not significant.

6.4 SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS

In case of the socio-economic status mean scores of girls and boys were equivalent i.e. no significant gender differences were found. When correlation was conducted for the entire sample of 400
adolescents, it was found that socio-economic status was negatively correlated with educational aspirations but was not significant. Similar results were found when correlation was conducted for boys as well as girls.

6.6 SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS AND VOCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS

When correlation was conducted for the entire sample of 400 adolescents, it was found that socio-economic status was positively correlated with vocational aspirations and was significant. This implied that as the socio-economic status of the students increased their vocational aspirations also increased. Similar results were found when correlation was conducted for girls. But in case of the boys the correlation between the socio-economic status and their vocational aspirations was not significant.

In the present study the investigator has also observed that vocational aspirations of the students were positively correlated with their educational aspirations but it was not significant. Similar results were also found in case of boys as well as girls.

6.7 STUDY OF THE INTERACTIVE EFFECTS

ANOVA was performed to find out the independent and the interactive effects of the independent variables i.e. parental encouragement, peer acceptability and socio-economic status on the dependent variables (educational and vocational aspirations).

When ANOVA was conducted for the educational aspiration scores on the entire sample of 400 adolescents, it was found that there was no significant effect of parental encouragement, peer acceptability and SES on them. This conveys that educational aspirations
of adolescents irrespective of the parental encouragement they received, their peer acceptability as well as their SES were similar.

There was no significant interactive effect of parental encouragement, peer acceptability as well as SES on the educational aspirations of adolescents. This implies that educational aspirations of adolescents were not affected significantly by the interactive effect of all the three variables.

Therefore, parental encouragement, peer acceptability as well as SES did not have a significant influence, independently or in an interactive manner, on the educational aspirations of adolescents. Hence, the hypotheses that parental encouragement and peer acceptability influenced the educational aspirations of adolescents were not accepted. Similarly, the hypothesis that high SES adolescents had higher educational aspirations than that of low SES was also not accepted. Similarly, the hypothesis that parental encouragement, peer group acceptability and SES influenced the educational aspirations of adolescents was also not accepted.

When ANOVA was conducted for the vocational aspiration scores on the entire sample of 400 adolescents, it was found that significant effect of parental encouragement existed on them. In fact high parental encouragement had a stronger effect on the vocational aspirations of adolescents than low parental encouragement.

Effect of peer acceptability on vocational aspirations of adolescents was not significant. Therefore, adolescents having high and low peer acceptability tended to be similar with regard to their preference for vocational aspirations.
There was significant effect of SES on the vocational aspirations of adolescents. This implied that high SES had a stronger effect on the vocational aspirations of adolescents than low SES.

Interactive effect of all the three variables i.e. parental encouragement, peer acceptability and SES on vocational aspirations of adolescents was not significant. Therefore vocational aspirations of adolescents were not affected significantly by the interactive effect of all the three variables.

Hence the hypothesis that parental encouragement influenced the vocational aspirations of adolescents was accepted. Similarly, the SES of the adolescents also influenced their vocational aspirations. Therefore, the hypothesis that high SES adolescents had higher vocational aspirations than that of the low SES was accepted. But the hypothesis peer group acceptability influenced the vocational aspirations of adolescents was not accepted. Similarly, the hypothesis that parental encouragement, peer group acceptability and SES influenced the vocational aspirations of adolescents was also not accepted.

When ANOVA was carried out for the educational aspiration scores of girls it was found that parental encouragement, peer acceptability and SES did not have a significant effect on them. This indicated that educational aspirations of girls were similar irrespective of the parental encouragement they received, their peer acceptability as well as their SES.

Educational aspirations of girls were not significantly affected by the interactive effect of all the three variables i.e. parental encouragement, peer acceptability as well as SES.
When ANOVA was carried out for the vocational aspiration scores of girls, parental encouragement had a significant effect on them. This implies that high parental encouragement had a stronger effect on the vocational aspirations of girls than low parental encouragement.

There was no significant effect of peer acceptability on the vocational aspirations of girls. This implies that girls having high and low peer acceptability tended to be similar with regard to their preference for vocational aspirations.

Effect of SES on vocational aspirations of girls was found to be significant. This indicated that high SES had a stronger effect on the vocational aspirations of girls than low SES.

There were no significant interactive effects of all the three variables (i.e. parental encouragement, peer acceptability and SES) on the vocational aspirations of girls. This indicated that vocational aspirations of girls were not affected significantly by the interactive effect of all the three variables.

In the case of educational aspirations of boys parental encouragement had a significant effect on them. This implies that high parental encouragement has a stronger effect on the educational aspirations of boys than low parental encouragement.

There was no significant effect of peer acceptability on educational aspirations of boys. Therefore, boys having high and low peer acceptability tended to be similar with regard to their preference for educational aspirations.

There was a significant effect of SES on educational aspirations of boys. In fact, low SES had a stronger effect on the educational aspirations of boys than high SES.
There were no significant interactive effects of all the three variables on the educational aspirations of boys. This indicated that educational aspirations of boys were not affected significantly by the interactive effect of all the three variables.

As regards the vocational aspirations of boys, parental encouragement had a significant effect on them. This implies that high parental encouragement had a stronger effect on vocational aspirations of boys than low parental encouragement.

There was no significant effect of peer acceptability on vocational aspirations of boys. Therefore, boys having high and low peer acceptability tended to be similar with regard to their preference for vocational aspirations.

SES also did not have a significant effect on vocational aspirations of boys. Hence, boys having high and low SES tended to be similar with regard to their preference for vocational aspirations.

There was a significant interactive effect of parental encouragement as well as SES on the vocational aspirations of boys. Therefore, high parental encouragement and high SES had a more powerful effect on the vocational aspirations of boys than low parental encouragement and low SES.

There were no significant interactive effects of all the three variables together on the vocational aspirations of boys. This indicated that vocational aspirations of boys were not affected significantly by the interactive effect of all the three variables together.

Therefore, two of the hypotheses were partially accepted as the variables i.e. parental encouragement and socio-economic status had a significant independent influence on the vocational aspirations only and not on the educational aspirations. Thus the hypothesis that high SES
adolescents had higher educational and vocational aspirations than that of the low SES was partially accepted because high SES adolescents had only high vocational aspirations and not educational aspirations. But the hypothesis that peer group acceptability influenced educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents was not accepted. Similarly, the hypothesis that parental encouragement, peer group acceptability and socio-economic status had an interactive influence on the educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents was also not accepted.

6.8 EDUCATIONAL IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS:-

The study revealed that educational aspirations of adolescents were not affected significantly by the independent as well as interactive effects of all the three variables (i.e. parental encouragement, peer acceptability as well as SES) when gender was not taken into account. Among the girls the independent or interactive effects of all the three variables did not affect educational aspirations significantly.

But among the boys it was found that parental encouragement had a significant effect on their educational aspirations i.e. high parental encouragement had a stronger effect on the educational aspirations than low parental encouragement. Among the boys it was also found that low SES had a more powerful effect on their educational aspirations than the high SES.

The above results can be of great help to the career counselors who can guide the adolescents to plan their educational future. As the study had revealed that parental encouragement and SES both affected the educational aspirations of boys in different ways as explained in the above manner. So the counselors can help the adolescents to choose a particular stream or field of education according
to his SES, educational aspirations etc. They can provide them knowledge about the various avenues of higher education open to them according to their SES e.g. if the adolescents belong to low SES there may be certain courses which his family may not be able to afford. The counselors can provide them with appropriate options and choices.

They can guide them to prepare for various competitions, acquaint them with pre-requisites of various courses (especially financial needs) etc. They can arrive at a consensus regarding the educational future of the adolescents, taking into account their educational aspirations, their SES and the extent of the parental encouragement they received. They can suggest various alternatives for their educational future.

The present study indicated that vocational aspirations of adolescents were affected significantly by parental encouragement they received as well as their SES when gender was not taken into account. This showed that high parental encouragement had a more powerful effect on the vocational aspirations of adolescents than low parental encouragement. Similarly, high SES too had a stronger effect on the vocational aspirations of adolescents than low SES. There were no significant interactive effects on the vocational aspirations of the adolescents.

Among the girls the same trends were observed. Among the boys too, parental encouragement showed a similar effect on their vocational aspirations. But there was a significant interactive effect of parental encouragement as well as SES on the vocational aspirations of boys.

The above results were useful to the career counselors who assist the adolescents in planning their future. There are certain
vocations (or professions), which are not preferred by their parents. As a result the parents might discourage them to pursue such careers.

Similarly, the counselors can suggest various career options according to the SES of the adolescents. The adolescents of low SES may not be able to take up certain professions due to the financial burden on their families. So accordingly, the counselors have to help them to arrive at a common ground regarding their career taking into account their own vocational aspirations, their SES as well as the extent of parental encouragement they receive.

6.9 SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH
1. A comparative study of the educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents of rural and urban background can be studied.
2. Educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents of different regions e.g. South India and North India can be investigated.
3. A study of the educational and vocational aspirations of over achievers and under achievers can also be studied.
4. The influence of mass media e.g. internet, cable television, newspapers and magazines etc. on the educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents can be investigated.
5. The effect of parental education of the educational and vocational aspirations of adolescents can also be studied.
6. A comparative study of the educational and vocational aspirations of developed and developing countries.
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APPENDIX - B
निर्देश

आगामी पृष्ठों में कुछ सवाल दिए गए हैं। सवालों का ध्यान से पढ़ें। पढ़ने के पश्चात उत्तर नीचे लिखें।

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>नाम</th>
<th>कक्षा</th>
<th>आयु</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| लिंग               | जाति | आयु {}
| पिता की शिक्षा   | माता की शिक्षा | पिता का व्यवसाय |
| पिता का नाम       | पिता का व्यवसाय | पिता का नाम |
| माता का नाम       | माता का नाम | माता का नाम |

पिछली परीक्षा का प्राप्तक अधिकता —

Estd. 1971

NATIONAL PSYCHOLOGICAL CORPORATION
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Srinagar (Garhwal)
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CONSUMABLE BOOKLET

P E S
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>स्मृतियों के चयन में मेरे माता-पिता</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>(अ) कोई ध्यान नहीं देते।</td>
<td>(ब) मुझे ध्यान नहीं देते।</td>
<td>(स) मेरी हात तथा क्रमता का ध्यान रखते हुए विचार बदल रहे हैं।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>जब मैं पढ़ने बैठता/बैठती हूं तो मेरे माता-पिता</td>
<td>(अ) मुझे पर के किसी काम मे लगा देते हैं।</td>
<td>(ब) मुझे पढ़ने के लिए समय देते हैं।</td>
<td>(स) पढ़ने में मेरी सहायता करते हैं।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>अपना गुण-कार्य करते हुए जब मुझे किसी प्रकार की कठिनाई होती है तो मेरे माता-पिता</td>
<td>(अ) कोई ध्यान नहीं देते।</td>
<td>(ब) मेरी कठिनाई हल करते समय कोस्ते हैं।</td>
<td>(स) मेरी कठिनाई सहारा हल कर देते हैं।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>जब मुझे विद्यालय जाने में देर हो जाती है तो मेरे माता-पिता</td>
<td>(अ) मुझसे कुछ नहीं कहते।</td>
<td>(ब) मुझसे देर होने का कारण पूछते हैं।</td>
<td>(स) कहीं अथाक्ष मुझे डोट न दें, इस आशाका से ये मुझे विद्यालय तक पहुँचा देते हैं।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>विद्यालयी कार्यक्रमों में मेरे द्वारा भाग लेने पर मेरे माता-पिता</td>
<td>(अ) मुझे भाग लेने के लिए मना कर देते हैं।</td>
<td>(ब) प्रसन्न होते हैं।</td>
<td>(स) मेरे कार्यक्रमों को देखने का मना मूझे प्रसारित करते है।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>जब मैं अपने माता-पिता से विद्यालयी कार्यक्रमों को देखने की प्रार्थना करता/कहती हूं तो मेरे माता-पिता</td>
<td>(अ) विद्यालय नहीं जाते है।</td>
<td>(ब) प्रसन्नता व्यक्त करते है।</td>
<td>(स) मेरा पूर्ववर्ती के विद्यालय जाते हैं।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>जब मैं अपने माता-पिता के सामने कोई समस्या रखता/रखती हूं तो वे</td>
<td>(अ) व्यस्त नहीं कर देते है।</td>
<td>(ब) ध्यानपूर्वक सुनते है।</td>
<td>(स) किसी व्यस्त रहने पर भी मेरी समस्या का समाधान करते हैं।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consumable Booklet of Parental Encouragement Scale (PES)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>मेरे हारा विद्यालय जाने में आनकामी करने बाद मेरे माता-पिता</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(अ) सुझाव सुझाव नहीं कहते हैं।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ब) सुझाव सुझाव न देना का कारण बूढ़िया है।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ग) स्वयं सुझाव भी मुझे छोड़ देते हैं।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>मेरा प्रगति-पत्र घर पहुँचने पर मेरे माता-पिता</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(अ) उसकी ओर कोई ध्यान नहीं देते।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ब) मेरी प्रगति की जानकारी रखने में हांच रखते हैं।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ज) स्वयं सुझाव झककर मेरी प्रगति के समन्वय में मेरे अभ्यास को स्वीकार नहीं करते हैं।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>जब मेरा परीक्षा परिणाम सन्योगजनक नहीं होता है तो मेरे माता-पिता</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(अ) कोई ध्यान नहीं देते।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ब) चिंता और दुख फूल करते हैं।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ज) मेरे होशियार नहीं होने पर भी मुझे उत्तराधिकार करने का प्रयास करते हैं।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>परीक्षा में अच्छे अंक प्राप्त करने पर मेरे माता-पिता</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(अ) प्रसन्नता फूल करते हैं।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ब) प्रतिभा विषय में मेरे अंक जानने का प्रयास करते हैं।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ज) मुझे उपयोगी देश उत्तराधिकार करते हैं।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>मेरे विद्यालय से लौटने समय दे हो जाने पर मेरे माता-पिता</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(अ) कोई ध्यान नहीं देते हैं।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ब) मेरी प्रतीक्षा करते रहते हैं।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ज) मुझे विद्यालय से लेने समय आज़ाद या है।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>जब मेरे परीक्षा की तैयारी करता/करती हूँ। मेरे माता-पिता</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(अ) मुझे ध्यान का ध्यान करते हैं।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ब) मेरे हारा विद्यालय का नाम नहीं ध्यान करते हैं।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ज) मेरे परीक्षा की तैयारी करते हैं।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>मेरे कभी मेरे परीक्षा स्वतंत्र करता/करती हूँ। मेरे माता-पिता</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(अ) मेरे बात की तरफ कोई ध्यान नहीं देते।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ब) मेरे सभी बात ध्यानपूर्वक सुनते हैं।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(र) नया सुझाव दिखाते हैं।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(य) स्वयं सुझाव देते हैं।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(र) स्वयं स्वादिष्ट विद्यालय के प्रसंग सुनाने के लिए कहते हैं।</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
15. जब मैं अपनी योग्यता से अधिक कदम करना चाहता/चाहती हूँ, तो मेरे माता-पिता

- (अ) कुछ नहीं करते हैं।
- (ब) प्रसन्न होते हैं।
- (छ) स्वभाविक उदाहरण देकर मेरा उत्साह बढ़ाते हैं।

16. जब मेरे माता-पिता किसी विषय पर वाल करते हैं तो मेरे बोलने पर

- (अ) मुझे उठते हैं।
- (ब) बोल मेरे बोलने के लिए मना करते हैं।
- (छ) अपने बातों रोककर, मेरी बातों को ध्यानपूर्वक सुनते हैं।

17. मेरे अध्यापकों द्वारा मेरे कार्य की प्रशंसा करने पर मेरे माता-पिता

- (अ) प्रसन्नता प्रकट नहीं करते।
- (ब) मुझे शांति देते हैं।
- (छ) भाई-बहनों के सामने मेरे कार्य की प्रशंसा करते हैं।

18. मेरी किसी गलत आदत का पता चलते पर मेरे माता-पिता

- (अ) उस तरफ कोई ध्यान नहीं देते।
- (ब) मुझे उस समय से पूछते हैं।
- (छ) मुझे गलत आदत छोड़ने के लिए समझाते हैं।

19. मेरी प्रगति के सामने मेरे माता-पिता

- (अ) कभी भी बात नहीं करते हैं।
- (ब) कभी-कभी बात करते हैं।
- (छ) अक्सर बात करते हैं।

20. मेरी किसी विषय में कमजोर होने पर मेरे माता-पिता

- (अ) उस तरफ कोई ध्यान नहीं देते हैं।
- (ब) विषय अध्यापक से गिनते हैं।
- (छ) स्वयं अपना तृप्तित्व द्वारा मेरी कमजोरी दूर करने का प्रयास करते हैं।

21. मेरे भविष्य के विषय में मेरे माता-पिता

- (अ) कभी नहीं सोचते हैं।
- (ब) कभी-कभी सोचते हैं।
- (छ) अक्सर चिंतित रहते हैं।
22. मेरे पिता/मेरी माता के घर आने पर मेरे
पिता
(अ) उसकी ओर ध्यान नहीं देते हैं।  □
(ब) मुझे उससे बात करने का समय देते हैं। □
(त) स्वयं भी उससे पुलमिल कर बात करते हैं। □

23. बिद्रोही उंसल में आमने-सामने किए जाने पर मेरे पिता/पिताई
(अ) उसे देखने नहीं जाते हैं। □
(ब) जाने में आनंद नहीं करते हैं। □
(त) सहर जाते हैं। □

24. समाचार-पत्र या पत्रकारिता मौजूदे पर मेरे
पिता
(अ) मुझे दोट देते हैं। □
(ब) कभी-कभी ले आते हैं। □
(त) श्रवण समाचार-पत्र तथा पत्रकारिता लाते हैं। □

25. खाली समय में कहानी की पुस्तक पढ़ने पर मेरे
पिता
(अ) मुझे दोट देते हैं। □
(ब) मुझे मना नहीं करते। □
(त) स्वयं भी कहानी की पुस्तक लाकर देते हैं। □

26. मनोरंजन के साधन को मेरे पिता/पिताई
(अ) कोई महल नहीं देते। □
(ब) कभी महल देते हैं। □
(त) अधिक महल देते हैं तथा घर में जुदाते हैं। □

27. घर में पढ़ने के ज्ञान के समय में मेरे
पिता/पिताई
(अ) कुछ घर नहीं देते। □
(ब) पारंपरिक के स्तरों को मेरे पढ़ने के ज्ञान पर जाने से रोकते हैं। □
(त) एकान्त घर की ज्ञान बढ़ते हैं। □

28. पाठ्य-पुस्तकों मांगे जाने पर मेरे पिता/पिताई
(अ) मुख्य अनुशंसा नहीं देते। □
(ब) गोटिया/पुरानी पुस्तकों के दिन दिनते हैं। □
(त) अच्छी तथा नई पुस्तकों के दिनते हैं। □

29. जब में कोई विशेष शौक (हंसी) विकसित करता/करती हूँ तो मेरे
पिता/पिताई
(अ) मुझे हंसिया नहीं करते। □
(ब) मुझे नोटसहित करते हैं। □
(त) मेरी सहायता करते हैं। □
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>30. जब में सेक्स-सामग्री पांगता/मांगती हूँ तो मेरे माता-पिता</th>
<th>(अ) ठग देते हैं।</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ब) परिया किस्म की सामग्री दिलाते हैं।</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(स) तुरे उल्लास किस्म की सामग्री दिलाते हैं।</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. मेरे लिए भोजन के समय में मेरे माता-पिता</td>
<td>(अ) कुछ ध्यान नहीं देते।</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ब) समय पर भोजन की व्यवस्था करते हैं।</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(स) समानांतर तथा पीठधक भोजन देते हैं।</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. मेरे परीक्षाफल के समय में मेरे माता-पिता</td>
<td>(अ) कभी चिंता नहीं करते।</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ब) पाप पास हो जाने से सन्तुष्ट हो जाते हैं।</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(स) अच्छी परीक्षा में पास होने की आशा रखते हैं।</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. जब में विद्यालय से लौटता/लौटती हूँ तो मेरे माता-पिता</td>
<td>(अ) मेरी ओर से कोई ध्यान नहीं देते हैं।</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ब) मुझे स्वच्छ चाच तैयार करने को कहते हैं।</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(स) मेरे लिए तुरन्त नारों को व्यवस्था करते हैं।</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34. खेलने का समय होने पर जब में खेलने जाता/जाती हूँ तो मेरे माता-पिता</td>
<td>(अ) मुझे बाटो हैं।</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ब) बड़ी मुशकल से अनुपस्त देते हैं।</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(स) मुझे सहायता जाने देते हैं।</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. जब में परीक्षा में बेलने से मना करता/कहती हूँ तो मेरे माता-पिता</td>
<td>(अ) कुछ नहीं कहते हैं।</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ब) न बेलने का कारण पूछते हैं।</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(स) परीक्षा में बेलने के लिए प्रेम रखते हैं।</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36. अस्त्य होने पर जब में विद्यालय न जाने के लिए कहता/कहती हूँ तो मेरे माता-पिता</td>
<td>(अ) इसे बाहर समझते हैं।</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ब) मुझे विद्यालय जाने से मना करते हैं।</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(स) मेरे स्वास्थ्य की जांच करवाते हैं।</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37. मेरा हस्तलेख खराब होने पर मेरे माता-पिता</td>
<td>(अ) उस तरफ कोई ध्यान नहीं देते।</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ब) साफ तथा स्वच्छ लिखने की मदद देते हैं।</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(स) प्रविद्ध सुलझाए लिखवाते हैं।</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
38. जब में रेडियो अथवा दूरदर्शन पर प्रसारित होने वाले शिक्षाप्रद कार्यक्रमों को देखने के लिए अपने माता-पिता से प्रार्थना करता/करती हूँ, तो वे

| (अ) मना कर देते हैं। |  □  |
| (ब) कभी-कभी अनुमति देते हैं। |  □  |
| (स) सदैव ऐसे कार्यक्रमों को देखने के लिए अनुमति देते हैं। |  □  |

39. जब में कभी अपने माता-पिता से शिक्षाप्रद कहानियों अथवा घटनाओं को सुनने के लिए आपात करता/करती हूँ तो वे

| (अ) मेरे आपात पर कोई ध्यान नहीं देते। |  □  |
| (ब) कभी-कभी सुनते हैं। |  □  |
| (स) सदैव सुनते हैं। |  □  |

40. जब मुझे किसी प्रतियोगिता में भाग लेने को इच्छा होती है तो मेरे माता-पिता

<p>| (अ) मुझे भाग लेने से रोक देते हैं। |  □  |
| (ब) बहुत आपात करने पर अनुमति देते हैं। |  □  |
| (स) मुझे प्रतियोगिता में भाग लेने के लिए प्रोत्साहित करते हैं। |  □  |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>कृपया निम्न विवरण दीजिए—</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>नाम</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>पिता का नाम</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>विद्यालय का नाम</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>नाम</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>पश्चात्</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

निदेश

कृपया वास्तविक कार्य करने से पूर्व इन्हें पढ़ें—

1. यह किसी प्रकार की परीक्षा नहीं है और न ही इसका आपकी पढ़ाई से कोई सम्बन्ध है।
2. पंजीक ने पूरे पर कुछ कार्य दिए गए हैं, इन विविध कार्यों के लिए आप जिन सहयोगीयो को चुनना चाहें।
3. आप जिस भी सहयोगी का नाम चाहे लिख सकते हैं, भले ही यह आप अनुपस्थित हो किन्तु वह आप ही 
के वर्ग और आप ही की कक्षा का होंगा चाहिए।
4. यदि आप जिस भी सहयोगी को एंड से अधिक कार्य के लिए चुन सकते हैं।
5. प्रत्येक कार्य के लिए आप तीन सहयोगी के नाम लिखें।
6. आप किसी किसी अपनी पत्रद के विद्याधियो के नाम लिख दें। जो कुछ भी आप लिखेंगे, गुप्त 
रखें आएगा।

NATIONAL PSYCHOLOGICAL CORPORATION
4/230, KACHERI GHAT, AGRA - 282 004
अपने नाम और (इलाज) का पूरा नाम लिखिये—

1. यदि आपकी कक्षा में बैठने की अवसर आपकी इच्छानुसार की जाए तो आप किन सहायकों के पास बैठना पसंद करेंगे?

   सबसे अधिक—

   उससे कम—

   सबसे कम—

2. यदि आपको अपने सहायकों की सहायता से कोई कार्य करना हो तो आप किन सहायकों के साथ कार्य करना चाहेंगे?

   सबसे अधिक—

   उससे कम—

   सबसे कम—

3. आप किन सहायकों के साथ खेलना पसंद करेंगे?

   सबसे अधिक—

   उससे कम—

   सबसे कम—

4. आप किन सहायकों के साथ यथावचार (इश्तेन्द) का समय बिताना पसंद करेंगे?

   सबसे अधिक—

   उससे कम—

   सबसे कम—
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Sociometric Measures. S. L. Chopra. Hindi Version (TSAAP)
Please fill up the following informations:

Name: 

Sex: Age: 

Religion: Education: 

Home Address: 

**INSTRUCTIONS**

1. Facts in respect of yourself and your parents are under investigation and are to be utilised for the purpose of research work.

2. Informations given by you will be kept strictly confidential.

3. In case of your father or mother not being alive, information to be provided as of their life time.

4. Kindly read the informations very carefully before filling with ticks in the boxes. A sincere effort to follow instructions will be deeply appreciated.
Instructions:

There are five answer probabilities to the enquiries. Informations in respect of your father, mother and yourself (Case) are to be recorded in separate boxes provided for each, in accordance with the following weightage:—

- for 'very high' tick box against 1
- for 'high' tick box against 2
- for 'ordinary' tick box against 3
- for 'low' tick box against 4
- for 'very low' tick box against 5

1. Social Perspective:—
   
   (a) What is the status attained by you in the society as a social worker?
   1. □ □ □
   2. □ □ □
   3. □ □ □
   4. □ □ □
   5. □ □ □

   (b) Capacity for social-work depends on your education, training, health, financial position and the urge for social work. Considering all the above factors how do you evaluate your social service capacity?
   1. □ □ □
   2. □ □ □
   3. □ □ □
   4. □ □ □
   5. □ □ □

2. Family Perspective:—
   
   (a) How do your neighbours look at your family for its utility in terms of social service?
   1. □ □ □
   2. □ □ □
   3. □ □ □
   4. □ □ □
   5. □ □ □

   (b) How do your neighbours consider your family for its capacity in terms of social service?
   1. □ □ □
   2. □ □ □
   3. □ □ □
   4. □ □ □
   5. □ □ □
(c) How do your neighbours regard and respect your family for the actual social service done by it as upto the present date?

1.  
2.  
3.  
4.  
5.  

(d) The number of institutions rendering social service, of which an individual is a member is also a criteria for determining the scope of social service rendered considering this criteria, how would you evaluate the scope of social service activity of your family?

1.  
2.  
3.  
4.  
5.  

3. Educational Perspective:—

Instruction: Given below are seven educational spans. Put proper ticks in the proper box.

(a) D. Litt./D. Sc./LL.D./Ph.D./M.D./M.S./M.E.

(b) M.A. / M.Com. / M.Sc. / M.Ed.

(c) M. B. B. S. / B. E. / LL. B.

(d) B.A. / B.Sc. / B.Com.

(e) Intermediate / Higher Secondary

(f) Upto High School

(g) Illiterate

4. Professional Perspective:—

(a) If in Medicine:

Professor in a Medical College

M.B.B.S. in Govt. Medical Service

Psychologist/Homeopath/Diploma-holder

Unani/Witch craft

(b) If in Education & a Principal:

P. G. / Graduate / Engg. / Medical College

Intermediate / H.S. or Equivalent College

Junior / Primary School.
(c) If a Government Officer:

Comissioner / District Magistrate

Civil Judge / Administrator.

I. A. S / P. C. S / Equivalent post

(d) If in Indian Army:

Air Force

- Commissioned
- Non-commissioned

Ground Force

- Commissioned
- Non-commissioned

Navy Force

- Commissioned
- Non-commissioned

C. R. P. / B. S. F. / Police

- Officer
- Subordinate

(e) If an Officer:

Income Tax / Railway / Education / Forest

(f) If a lawyer

(g) If a Teacher:

- College lecturer
- Intermediate / H. S.
- School—Junior & Primary

(h) If an author:

- Literature
- Text-books
- Notes / guides etc.

(i) If a Trader:

- Owner of a big factory
- Owner of a small factory
- Chemist / Cloth merchant
- Hotel / Restaurant / General merchant / Book-seller / Gold smith / Food material
- Carpet / Vessel ware / Foot wear industries
(j) If an Artist:
Musicalan
Dancer / Artist / Dramatist

(k) If an Engineer:
Civil / Electrical / Mechanical
Architect

(l) If a leader:
Minister / M.P.
M.L.A. / M.L.C. / Unions / Professional
Student / Accidental

(m) If a manager:
Trade / Bank
Farm / Dairy / Cinema

(n) If a farmer:
Owner of a farm
Less land cultivator
Agricultural labourer

(o) Are you / your parents any of these?
Contractor / Selling and purchasing officer
Insurance Corporation/Bank employee/Accountant
Craftsman / Peon
Labourer

5. Property Perspective:

Kindly make an evaluation of your movable-immovable property in terms of cash value. Also give an account of property and cash acquired by you:
Over 50 lacs.
From 35 lacs to 49 lacs.
From 15 lacs to 34 lacs.
From 5 lacs to 14 lacs.
From 26 thousand to 4 lacs.
Below 25,000
6. Monthly Income Perspective:

Note down the income of the family. In case of father, mother or both, not being alive, existing income as accrues from their assets left by them, (Landed property, fixed deposits, house rent etc.) may be noted down in their columns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monthly Income (Rs.)</th>
<th>Father</th>
<th>Mother</th>
<th>Self</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rs. 46,000/- or above</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Rs. 21,000/- to Rs. 45,000/-</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Rs. 11,000/- to Rs. 30,000/-</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Rs. 3,100/- to Rs. 10,000/-</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Rs. 1,000/- to Rs. 3,000/-</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rs. 1,000/- or below of it</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Caste Perspective:

- High caste
- Backward caste
- Scheduled caste/tribe

---

**TABLE 1**

Put area-wise total of weighted scores from the test for Father, Mother and Self

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREAS</th>
<th>Father</th>
<th>Mother</th>
<th>Self</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profession</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caste</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly Income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 2

*Put area-wise Z-Scores (From Manual Tables — D, E and F) corresponding to area-wise total of weighted scores of Table 1 for Father, Mother and Self separately, to determine any status score take help with the Manual Table C*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREAS</th>
<th>Ascribed</th>
<th>Achieved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Father</td>
<td>Mother</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profession</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caste</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**

- [A] (Ascribed) Social Status
- [B] (Achieved) Social Status
- [C] (As a whole) Social Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Assets</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monthly Income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**

- [D] (Ascribed) Economic Status
- [E] (Achieved) Economic Status
- [F] (As a whole) Economic Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[G] (Ascribed)</td>
<td>[H] (Achieved)</td>
<td>[I] (As a whole)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Socio-Economic Status</td>
<td>Socio-Economic Status</td>
<td>Socio-Economic Status</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**TABLE 3**

*Put various status score from Table 2 and write the category with the help of T-Scores*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Score</th>
<th>T-Score</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Social Status (ascribed)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Social Status (achieved)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Social Status (as a whole)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Economic Status (ascribed)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Economic Status (achieved)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Economic Status (as a whole)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Socio-Eco. Status (ascribed)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Socio-Eco. Status (achieved)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Socio-Eco. Status (as a whole)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
निदेश

गत अनेक वर्षों से आप विभिन्न परीक्षाओं में बैठ रहे हैं। परीक्षा का शायद आपको यथेष्ट अनुभव हो रहा होगा ही। समानान्तर के अंक छात्रों की विभिन्न परीक्षाओं में प्राप्त होते हैं उनमें न केवल उनकी परीक्षा ही है जो गई महत्व अथवा अध्ययन करने की शिक्षा ही प्रमुख कारक के रूप में होते हैं बल्कि और अनेक कारण भी हो सकते हैं। हमें यहाँ कारणों की जानकारी नहीं करनी है। अंततः कुछ कठिनाई दिये हुए हैं। इसके अवसर के आपके सामने दो कथन प्रस्तुत होंगे, आपका अंक केवल उनका ही है कि आप उन दोनों में से किसी अधिक महत्व देंगे हैं, जिसके परिणामस्वरूप आपको परीक्षा में अधिक अंक की प्राप्ति का तौर पर हुई है, या होने की आशा थी, या भविष्य में है। आप अपना उत्तर दाँते, बाझू में कथन के सामने दिए सही (✓) चिह्न द्वारा अंकित कीजिए। यह कोई परीक्षा नहीं है! अतः आप अपने उत्तर का विश्वास ना करें, यदि चीजों को किसी तरह नहीं दिखाए जाए तो निर्देश के अनुसार काम न करें।
1. अ दस साल बाद किसी भी परिक्षा में प्राप्त होने वाले अंतर्जन अंक और
   ब दस साल बाद किसी भी परिक्षा के लिए अध्ययन करने की शक्ति

2. अ गत वार्षिक परीक्षा के लिए अध्ययन करने की शक्ति और
   ब गत वार्षिक परीक्षा में शेष विद्यार्थियों द्वारा अध्ययन करने की शक्ति

3. अ वर्तमान जीव लायक परीक्षा में अंतर्जन स्तर, और
   ब वर्तमान जीव लायक परीक्षा में कश्चे या आवश्यक का उपलब्धि स्तर

4. अ गत वार्षिक परीक्षा में प्राप्त किए जाने वाले अंदाजन अंक
   ब दस साल बाद किसी भी परिक्षा में प्राप्त होने वाले अंदाजन अंक

5. अ वर्तमान जीव लायक परीक्षा में प्राप्त अंक और
   ब वर्तमान जीव लायक परीक्षा में प्राप्त होने वाले अंदाजन अंक की शक्ति

6. अ गत वार्षिक परीक्षा के लिए किए गए अध्ययन कार्य की शक्ति और
   ब गत वार्षिक परीक्षा में प्राप्त होने वाले वास्तविक अंक

7. अ दस साल बाद किसी परीक्षा के लिए अध्ययन की शक्ति और
   ब दस साल बाद किसी परीक्षा के लिए सामान्य कश्चे की शक्ति

8. अ गत वार्षिक परीक्षा के लिए किए गए अध्ययन कार्य की शक्ति और
   प्रयास की मात्रा तथा .
   ब दस साल बाद किसी परीक्षा के लिए किए जाने वाले अध्ययन की
   शक्ति और परीक्षा के लिए किए गए प्रयास की मात्रा .

9. अ गत वार्षिक परीक्षा में प्राप्त वास्तविक अंक और
   ब गत वार्षिक परीक्षा के लिए प्रयास की मात्रा

10. अ वर्तमान जीव लायक परीक्षा में प्राप्त होने वाले अंदाजन अंक और
    ब दस साल बाद किसी भी परीक्षा के लिए प्राप्त होने वाले अंदाजन अंक

11. अ वर्तमान जीव लायक परीक्षा के लिए अध्ययन कार्य की शक्ति
    ब वर्तमान जीव लायक परीक्षा में कश्चे के अन्य या शक्ति की अध्ययन कार्य की शक्ति

12. अ वर्तमान जीव लायक परीक्षा में प्राप्त होने वाले अंदाजन अंक और
    ब वर्तमान जीव लायक परीक्षा के लिए किए जाने वाले प्रयास की मात्रा

13. अ गत वार्षिक परीक्षा के लिए अध्ययन कार्य की शक्ति और
    ब वर्तमान जीव लायक परीक्षा के लिए अध्ययन कार्य की शक्ति

14. अ वर्तमान जीव लायक परीक्षा में प्राप्त अंक और
    ब वर्तमान जीव लायक परीक्षा के लिए अध्ययन कार्य की शक्ति

15. अ गत वार्षिक परीक्षा में प्राप्त किए गए अंक और
    ब वर्तमान जीव लायक परीक्षा में प्राप्त होने वाले अंक

16. अ गत वार्षिक परीक्षा में पाए जाने वाले अंदाजन अंक
    ब गत वार्षिक परीक्षा में प्राप्त वास्तविक अंक
17. अ वर्तमान जाँच परीक्षा में प्राप्त होने वाले अंक और वर्तमान जाँच परीक्षा के लिये प्रयत्न की मात्रा 

18. अ दस साल बाद किसी भी परीक्षा में प्राप्त होने वाले अन्दाजन अंक व दस साल बाद किसी भी परीक्षा के लिये किए जाने वाले प्रयत्न की मात्रा 

19. अ गत वार्षिक परीक्षा के लिये किए गए अध्ययन कार्य की शक्ति और व गत वार्षिक परीक्षा के लिये किए जाने वाले अध्ययन कार्य की शक्ति 

20. अ गत वार्षिक परीक्षा में प्राप्त होने वाले अन्दाजन अंक और व गत वार्षिक परीक्षा के लिये किए गए अध्ययन कार्य की शक्ति 

21. अ वर्तमान जाँच परीक्षा के लिये किए गए अध्ययन कार्य की शक्ति और परीक्षा के लिये किए गए प्रयत्न की मात्रा, तथा दस साल बाद किसी भी परीक्षा के लिये किए जाने वाले अध्ययन कार्य को शक्ति और प्रयत्न की मात्रा 

22. अ गत वार्षिक परीक्षा में प्राप्त होने वाले अन्दाजन अंक और व गत वार्षिक परीक्षा में कक्षा के रेखा विद्यार्थियों को प्राप्त होने वाले अन्दाजन अंक 

23. अ दस साल बाद किसी भी परीक्षा में प्राप्त होने वाले वास्तविक अंक और दस साल बाद किसी भी परीक्षा में प्राप्त होने वाले अन्दाजन अंक 

24. अ गत वार्षिक परीक्षा के लिये किए गए अध्ययन कार्य की शक्ति और कक्षा के रेखा छात्रों द्वारा उसी वार्षिक परीक्षा के लिये किए गए अध्ययन कार्य की शक्ति 

25. अ दस साल बाद किसी भी परीक्षा के लिये प्रयत्न की मात्रा और दस साल बाद किसी भी परीक्षा में प्राप्त होने वाले वास्तविक अंक 

26. अ गत वार्षिक परीक्षा के लिये किए गए अध्ययन कार्य की शक्ति और दस साल बाद किसी भी परीक्षा के लिये किए जाने वाले अध्ययन कार्य की शक्ति 

27. अ वर्तमान परीक्षा के लिये किए जाने वाले प्रयत्न की मात्रा और दस साल बाद किसी भी परीक्षा के लिये किए जाने वाले प्रयत्न की मात्रा 

28. अ वर्तमान जाँच परीक्षा में प्राप्त होने वाले वास्तविक अंक और वर्तमान जाँच परीक्षा में कक्षा के रेखा छात्रों द्वारा प्राप्त किए हुए वास्तविक औसत अंक 

29. अ दस साल बाद किसी भी परीक्षा में प्राप्त होने वाले अन्दाजन अंक और दस साल बाद किसी भी परीक्षा में प्राप्त होने वाले रेखा छात्रों के औसत अन्दाजन अंक 

30. अ गत वार्षिक परीक्षा में प्राप्त होने वाले अन्दाजन अंक और गत वार्षिक परीक्षा के लिये किए गए प्रयत्न की मात्रा
31. अ वर्तमान जौंच परीक्षा में प्राप्त होने वाले वास्तविक अंक और 

ब दस साल बाद किसी भी परीक्षा में प्राप्त होने वाले वास्तविक अंक और 

32. अ गत वार्षिक परीक्षा में प्राप्त होने वाले वास्तविक अंक और 

ब दस साल बाद किसी भी परीक्षा में प्राप्त होने वाले वास्तविक अंक 

33. अ गत वार्षिक परीक्षा के लिए किए गए प्रयास की मात्रा और 

ब गत वार्षिक परीक्षा के लिए किए गए अध्ययन कार्य की शक्ति 

34. अ गत वार्षिक परीक्षा में प्राप्त होने वाले अदालतांक और 

ब वर्तमान जौंच परीक्षा में प्राप्त होने वाले अदालतांक 

35. अ गत वार्षिक परीक्षा में प्राप्त हुए वास्तविक अंक और 

ब गत वार्षिक परीक्षा में शेष छात्रों द्वारा प्राप्त किए गए औसत अंक 

36. अ गत वार्षिक परीक्षा के लिए किए गए प्रयास की मात्रा और 

ब दस साल बाद किसी भी परीक्षा के लिए हार जाने वाले प्रयास की मात्रा 

37. अ दस साल बाद किसी भी परीक्षा में प्राप्त होने वाले वास्तविक अंक 

ब दस साल बाद किसी भी परीक्षा में कक्षा के शेष छात्रों द्वारा प्राप्त होने वाले वास्तविक औसत अंक 

38. अ वर्तमान जौंच परीक्षा के लिए किए गए प्रयास की मात्रा और 

ब वर्तमान जौंच परीक्षा के समय अध्ययन कार्य की क्षमता 

39. अ दस साल बाद किसी भी परीक्षा में प्राप्त होने वाले वास्तविक अंक 

ब दस साल बाद किसी भी परीक्षा के लिए अध्ययन कार्य करने की शक्ति 

40. अ गत वार्षिक परीक्षा के लिए किए गए अध्ययन की शक्ति और प्रयास की मात्रा तथा 

ब वर्तमान परीक्षा के लिए किए जा रहे अध्ययन की शक्ति एवं प्रयास की मात्रा 

41. अ दस साल बाद किसी भी परीक्षा के लिए किए जाने वाले प्रयास की मात्रा और 

ब दस साल बाद किसी भी परीक्षा के लिए कक्षा के शेष छात्रों द्वारा किये जाने वाले प्रयास की मात्रा 

42. अ वर्तमान जौंच परीक्षा के लिए कक्षा के लिए कक्षा के शेष छात्रों द्वारा किये गए प्रयास की मात्रा और 

ब वर्तमान जौंच परीक्षा के लिए किए गए प्रयास की मात्रा 

43. अ दस साल बाद किसी भी परीक्षा के लिए अध्ययन की शक्ति और 

ब दस साल बाद किसी भी परीक्षा के लिए अध्ययन की शक्ति 

44. अ वर्तमान परीक्षा के लिए किए गए अध्ययन करने की शक्ति और 

ब दस साल बाद किसी भी परीक्षा के लिए किए जाने वाले अध्ययन करने की शक्ति 

45. अ वर्तमान जौंच परीक्षा में प्राप्त होने वाले अदालतांक और 

ब वर्तमान जौंच परीक्षा के लिए किए गए अध्ययन करने की शक्ति
Please fill up the following informations:—

Name ____________________________
Age ______ Sex ______ Date ______
School ____________________________

DIRECTIONS

This set of questions concern your interest in different kinds of jobs. There are eight questions. Each one asks you to choose one job out of ten presented. Read each question carefully. They are all different. Answer each one the best you can, by placing a Cross Mark (×) against the occupation of your preference. Please do not omit any item.

SCORING TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw Score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Standard Scores________ T. Score________ Percentile Rank________
Question 1. Of the jobs listed in this question, which one is the **BEST ONE** you are **REALLY SURE YOU CAN GET** when your **SCHOOLING IS OVER**?

1-01. _____Lawyer  
1-02. _____Agriculture Inspector  
1-03. _____Doctor  
1-04. _____Primary School Teacher  
1-05. _____Diplomat in the Indian Foreign Service  
1-06. _____Barber  
1-07. _____Psychologist  
1-08. _____Motor Mechanic  
1-09. _____Travelling Salesman for a Wholesale Firm  
1-10. _____Postman

Question 2. Of the jobs listed in this question which one would you choose if you were **FREE TO CHOOSE ANY** of them you wished when your **SCHOOLING IS OVER**?

2-01. _____Govt. Contractor  
2-02. _____Insurance Agent  
2-03. _____Member of Parliament  
2-04. _____Clerk in an Office  
2-05. _____State Governor  
2-06. _____Maid Servant  
2-07. _____Owner-Operator of a Printing Press  
2-08. _____Electrician  
2-09. _____Priest (Pujari)  
2-10. _____Truck Driver

Question 3. Of the jobs listed in this question which one would you choose if you were **FREE TO CHOOSE ANY** of them you wished when your **SCHOOLING IS OVER**?

3-01. _____Airline Hostess  
3-02. _____Trained Machinist  
3-03. _____Captain in the Army  
3-04. _____Midwife (Dai)  
3-05. _____Supreme Court Justice  
3-06. _____Restaurant Waiter  
3-07. _____Instrumental Musician  
3-08. _____Machine Operator in a Factory  
3-09. _____Librarian  
3-10. _____Plumber

Question 4. Of the jobs listed in this question which one would you choose if you were **FREE TO CHOOSE ANY** of them you wished when your **SCHOOLING IS OVER**?

4-01. _____Novelist  
4-02. _____Soldier in the Army  
4-03. _____Bank Manager  
4-04. _____Taxi Driver  
4-05. _____Cabinet Minister in the Central Government  
4-06. _____Petrol Pump Attendant  
4-07. _____Artist who paints pictures  
4-08. _____Lady Village Level Worker (Gram Sevika)  
4-09. _____Photographer  
4-10. _____Coal-Miner
Question 5. Of the jobs listed in this question, which is the **BEST ONE** you are **REALLY SURE YOU CAN HAVE** by the time you are 30 years old?

5-01. .....Dentist
5-02. .....Physical Education Instructor
5-03. .....Scientist
5-04. .....Carpenter
5-05 .....Chairman of a Large Municipality
5-06. .....Wood-Cutter
5-07 .....News-Paper Correspondent
5-08 .....Bus Driver
5-09 .....Steno-Typist to an Officer
5-10. .....Farm-Worker

Question 6. Of the jobs listed in this question which **ONE** would you choose to have when you are 30 years old, if you were **FREE TO HAVE ANY** of them you wished?

6-01. .....Accountant for a large govt. office
6-02. .....Revenue Record - keeper (Patwari)
6-03. .....College Lecturer
6-04. .....Fisherman
6-05 .....Director of a department in State Government
6-06. .....Night Watchman (Chaukidar)
6-07 .....Radio Announcer
6-08 .....Police Constable
6-09 .....Receptionist
6-10. .....Railway Signal-man

Question 7. Of the jobs listed in this question, which is the **BEST ONE** you are **REALLY SURE YOU CAN HAVE** by the time you are 30 years old?

7-01. .....Chemist
7-02. .....Nurse
7-03 .....Owner of a farm or factory which employs 100 people
7-04. .....Shop Attendant
7-05 .....District Magistrate
7-06. .....Shoe-Shiner
7-07 .....Commercial Artist
7-08 .....Typist
7-09 .....Social Welfare Worker
7-10. .....Cloth Presser in a Laundry

Question 8. Of the jobs listed in this question which **ONE** would you choose to have when you are 30 years old, if you were **FREE TO HAVE ANY** of them you wished?

8-01. .....Farm Owner and Operator
8-02. .....Railway Guard
8-03. .....Engineer
8-04. .....Door-to-door Salesman of Home-Products
8-05 .....Airline Pilot
8-06. .....Sweeper
8-07 .....Owner of a Small Hotel
8-08 .....Tailor
8-09 .....Cashier in a Firm
8-10. .....Restaurant Cook
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